

1 Cheeger differentiation

*after J. Cheeger [1] and S. Keith [3]
A summary written by John Mackay*

Abstract

We construct a measurable differentiable structure on any metric measure space that is doubling and satisfies a Poincaré inequality.

1.1 Introduction

A key result of geometric function theory is Rademacher's theorem: any real-valued Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable almost everywhere. In [1], Cheeger found a deep generalization of this result in the context of doubling metric measure spaces that satisfy a Poincaré inequality. We will outline the construction of a measurable differentiable structure on such spaces, following both his work and clarifications and extensions due to Keith [3]. We refer to these papers for further discussion of the background and consequences of this work.

Recall that a function $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ between metric spaces is *(C-)Lipschitz* if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq Cd(x, y). \quad (1)$$

The infimal value of C that satisfies this condition is denoted by $\text{LIP} f$. We define $\text{LIP}(X)$ to be the vector space of all real-valued Lipschitz functions on X .

We will measure the infinitesimal behavior of a real-valued function near a point in two different ways.

Definition 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function on a metric space X . For $x \in X$, let*

$$(\text{lip} f)(x) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r} \quad (2)$$

and

$$(\text{Lip} f)(x) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r}. \quad (3)$$

A metric measure space (X, d, μ) consists of a set X with a metric d and a Borel measure μ . When f is a Lipschitz function on (X, d, μ) both $\text{lip} f$ and $\text{Lip} f$ are Borel [3, Lemma 4.1.2]. In fact, for each $x \in X$,

$$|f|_x = (\text{Lip} f)(x) \tag{4}$$

defines a semi-norm on $\text{LIP}(X)$ [3, Definition 4.2.1].

The following definitions will give us a framework to talk about the infinitesimal behaviour of a collection of functions on a metric measure space.

Definition 2. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ vanishes to first order at $x \in X$ if $f(x) = 0$ and $|f|_x = 0$. (Equivalently, $f(\cdot) = o(d(x, \cdot))$ near x .)

Definition 3. An N -tuple of functions $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$, where $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, is dependent to first order at $x \in X$ if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ so that $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{f}(\cdot) - \lambda \cdot \mathbf{f}(x)$ vanishes to first order.

Let $S(\mathbf{f})$ be the set of all points in X where \mathbf{f} is not dependent to first order.

Definition 4. We say that the differentials have uniformly bounded dimension if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that any N -tuple of Lipschitz functions (f_1, \dots, f_N) is dependent to first order almost everywhere.

As we shall see in Propositions 11 and 12, if our metric measure space is doubling, locally compact and satisfies a Poincaré inequality, then the differentials have uniformly bounded dimension.

Rademacher's theorem essentially states that the infinitesimal behaviour of any Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is well approximated at almost every point by some linear function; that is, a linear combination of the coordinate functions.

Definition 5. Say that an N -tuple of real-valued functions on X , $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, spans the differentials almost everywhere if for any Lipschitz function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for almost every $x \in X$ there exists $df(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ so that

$$|f(\cdot) - df(x) \cdot \mathbf{x}(\cdot)|_x = 0. \tag{5}$$

Then Rademacher's theorem may be stated as

Theorem 6 (Rademacher). Denote the coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^n by $x_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then \mathbf{x} spans the differentials almost everywhere for \mathbb{R}^n .

Cheeger constructed such ‘coordinates’ for certain metric measure spaces. In this case, we may well require different tuples of coordinates (possibly of different cardinalities) in different locations.

Definition 7 (Cheeger, Keith). *A strong measurable differentiable structure on a metric measure space (X, d, μ) is a countable collection of pairs $\{(X_\alpha, \mathbf{x}_\alpha)\}$, called coordinate patches, that satisfy the following conditions.*

Firstly, each X_α is measurable with positive measure and the union of every X_α equals X .

Secondly, each \mathbf{x}_α is a $N(\alpha)$ -tuple of Lipschitz functions. There exists some N so that $N(\alpha) \leq N$ for all α . The smallest such N is called the dimension of the differentiable structure.

Finally, for each α , \mathbf{x}_α spans the differentials almost everywhere for X_α . Moreover, for each $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$, equation (5) defines the measurable function $df^\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N(\alpha)}$ uniquely up to sets of measure zero.

Remark 8. *If $N(\alpha) = 0$ for some α then the strong measurable differentiable structure is degenerate. For such α interpret \mathbf{x}_α to be the empty function. Equation (5) then means that for every Lipschitz function f and almost every $x \in X_\alpha$, $|f|_x = 0$.*

When X is quasi-convex (for example, it supports a Poincaré inequality), distance functions $d(z, \cdot)$ violate this condition, and so any strong measurable differentiable structure will be non-degenerate.

A strong measurable differentiable structure leads to a finite dimensional (L^∞) cotangent bundle on X . (The uniqueness of df^α implies that any ‘transition functions’ between patches are suitable well behaved.)

1.2 Main theorem and structure of proof

As stated in the abstract, our main theorem is the following [3, Theorem 2.3.1], [1, Theorem 4.38].

Theorem 9. *If (X, d, μ) is a metric measure space that is doubling and supports a p -Poincaré inequality (with constant $L \geq 1$) for some $p \geq 1$, then X admits a strong measurable differentiable structure with dimension bounded above by a constant depending only on L and the doubling constant.*

Recall that a measure μ on a metric space (X, d) is *doubling* if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every ball $B = B(x, r) \subset X$ we have

$\mu(2B) \leq C\mu(B)$, where $2B$ denotes the ball $B(x, 2r)$ with the same center as B and twice the radius.

For a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and set $U \subset X$ with $0 < \mu(U) < \infty$, we define

$$f_U := \int_U f d\mu := \frac{1}{\mu(U)} \int_U f d\mu. \quad (6)$$

Definition 10. Fix $p \geq 1$. A metric measure space (X, d, μ) admits a p -Poincaré inequality (with constant $L \geq 1$) if every ball in X has positive and finite measure, and for every $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$ and every ball $B = B(x, r)$

$$\int_B |f - f_B| d\mu \leq Lr \left(\int_{LB} (\text{lip}f)(x)^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}. \quad (7)$$

This is a different, but equivalent, definition to the usual definition of a Poincaré inequality [1, (4.3)]. (Note that $\text{lip}f$ is an upper gradient for f .) Essentially, a space satisfies a Poincaré inequality if there are “lots of rectifiable curves” in every location and on every scale.

We will sketch a proof of Theorem 9 following Keith [3]. The three key propositions are as follows. In each case, (X, d, μ) is a locally compact, doubling, metric measure space.

Proposition 11 (Prop. 4.3.1, [3]). *Suppose X admits a p -Poincaré inequality (with constant $L \geq 1$) for some $p \geq 1$. Then there exists $K > 0$, depending only on L and the doubling constant, so that:*

$$\forall f \in \text{LIP}(X), (\text{Lip}f)(x) \leq K(\text{lip}f)(x) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X. \quad (8)$$

Cheeger showed the much deeper result that $K = 1$, that is, $\text{lip}f = \text{Lip}f$ almost everywhere [1, Theorem 6.1].

Proposition 12 (Prop. 7.2.2, [3]). *Fix $K > 0$. Suppose that the Lip-lip bound (8) holds. Then for every N and every N -tuple \mathbf{f} of Lipschitz functions, the set $S(\mathbf{f})$ is measurable. If $\mu(S(\mathbf{f}))$ is positive, then $N \leq N_0$, where N_0 depends only on K and the doubling constant.*

In other words, the differentials have uniformly bounded dimension.

Proposition 13 (Proof of Prop. 7.3.1, [3]). *If the differentials have uniformly bounded dimension N_0 , and if $S(\mathbf{f})$ is measurable for every tuple of Lipschitz functions \mathbf{f} , then X admits a strong measurable differentiable structure whose dimension is at most N_0 .*

By breaking down the proof into these steps we lose some information. In another paper [2], Keith gets a stronger result using the Poincaré inequality throughout. He shows that there exists a strong measurable differential structure where every coordinate function x_α^i is equal to some distance function $d(z, \cdot)$.

1.3 Outline of proofs

We now outline the main steps in the proof of each proposition.

Proof of Proposition 13. We have N_0 fixed by the hypotheses.

It suffices to show that given any measurable $A \subset X$ with positive measure, we can find a measurable $V \subset A$ with positive measure so that for each $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$, equation (5) defines the measurable function $df : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ uniquely up to sets of measure zero, for some $N \leq N_0$. This is because (X, d, μ) is a σ -finite measure space, and so a short argument using Zorn's lemma gives the required countable decomposition.

Now consider the maximal N so that there exists some positive measure set $V \subset A$, and some N -tuple of Lipschitz functions \mathbf{f} , so that $V \subset S(\mathbf{f})$. By Proposition 12 we have $0 \leq N \leq N_0$.

Take any Lipschitz function $g \in \text{LIP}(X)$, and consider the $(N + 1)$ -tuple of functions (\mathbf{f}, g) . By the maximality of N this is dependent to first order almost everywhere in V , and by the assumption on \mathbf{f} we can find some function $dg : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ so that $|g(\cdot) - dg(x) \cdot \mathbf{f}(\cdot)|_x = 0$ for μ -almost every x in V .

It is not difficult to show that dg will be measurable and unique up to sets of measure zero. \square

The most difficult of the three steps is to show that the differentials have uniformly bounded dimension; for reasons of space we will be somewhat sketchy.

Proof of Proposition 12. The *variation* of a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a ball $B(x, r)$ is defined to be

$$\text{var}_{(x,r)} f := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r} : y \in B(x, r) \right\}. \quad (9)$$

A function $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$ is K -quasi-linear if the variation on every ball is at least $\frac{1}{K} \text{LIP} f$.

The first step in the proof is to show that a vector space of K -quasi-linear functions on a doubling metric measure space has dimension bounded from above by a constant dependent only on the data [3, Theorem 6.1.2].

Secondly, it is shown that for every $f \in \text{LIP}(X)$, for almost every $x \in X$ there exists some tangent space $(X_\infty, d_\infty, x_\infty)$ so that every tangent function f_∞ satisfies

$$\text{lip}f(x) \leq \text{var}f_\infty \leq \text{LIP}f_\infty \leq \text{Lip}f(x). \quad (10)$$

(The variation is over any ball in the tangent space.) Combined with the $(K-)$ Lip-lip bound, we see that tangent functions are K -quasi-linear [3, Proposition 6.2.1].

Thirdly, for an N -tuple of Lipschitz functions \mathbf{f} we immediately obtain, almost everywhere, the K -quasi-linearity of tangent functions $(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{f})_\infty$, where λ lies in a countable dense subset of \mathbb{R}^N .

Fourthly, we show that, by choosing appropriate a priori bounds, on a set of positive measure the set of tangent functions $(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{f})_\infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$, is a N -dimensional vector space of K -quasi-linear functions.

The proof follows. □

Finally, we show the existence of a Lip-lip bound on spaces satisfying a Poincaré inequality. (I thank Bruce Kleiner for explaining this proof of this proposition to me, as well as the terminology of Definitions 2–4.)

Proof of Proposition 11. A doubling and complete metric space (X, d, μ) is proper: closed balls are compact. Semmes [1, Appendix] showed that if X satisfies a Poincaré inequality then it is *quasi-convex*, that is, any two points can be joined by a rectifiable path of comparable length.

Since $\text{lip}f$ is Borel it is approximately continuous almost everywhere. Let x be a point of approximate continuity and fix $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

There exists a constant C depending only on the doubling constant so that if $r > 0$ is sufficiently small, for any two intersecting λr -balls $B_1, B_2 \subset B(x, r)$ we have

$$\left| \int_{B_1} f - \int_{B_2} f \right| \leq C\lambda r(\text{lip}f)(x). \quad (11)$$

This follows from the Poincaré inequality (7), doubling, the approximate continuity of f at x and the fact that $(\text{lip}f)(z) \leq \text{LIP}f$ for every $z \in X$.

Chaining together λr -balls from x to $y \in B(x, r)$, we get the desired conclusion up to an additive error term comparable to $\lambda(\text{LIP}f)$. Since $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ was arbitrary, we are done. □

References

- [1] J. Cheeger, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9** (1999), no. 3, 428–517.
- [2] S. Keith, *Modulus and the Poincaré inequality on metric measure spaces*, *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), no. 2, 255–292.
- [3] ———, *A differentiable structure for metric measure spaces*, *Adv. Math.* **183** (2004), no. 2, 271–315.

JOHN MACKAY, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
email: jmmackay@umich.edu