

Lee Butler

“I have yet to see any problem, however complicated, which, when you looked at it in the right way, did not become still more complicated.”

– Poul Anderson

## 1. THE UNIT GROUP

We’ve learnt quite a bit about the class group  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P}$  of a number field  $K$ , and quite right too. This group and the group of units  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  are two of the most studied objects in algebraic number theory. But why? The answer lies in the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \longrightarrow K^\times \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Recall an exact sequence means the image of each map is the kernel of the next one. The middle map  $K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is given by  $a \mapsto (a)$ .

So  $\mathcal{H}$  measures the expansion that takes place passing from elements  $a$  to ideals  $(a)$ . The bigger the image of this map, the smaller  $\mathcal{H}$  will be, and vice versa. The unit group  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ , meanwhile, measures the contraction in this process. If  $K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  has a big kernel then  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is big, and vice versa. So both these groups are worth studying.

**Definition.** The group of units of a number field  $K$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{u \in \mathcal{O}_K : \exists v \in \mathcal{O}_K uv = 1\}.$$

A finite subgroup of this group is the group of roots of unity

$$\mu(K) = \{\alpha \in K : \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \alpha^m = 1\}.$$

Usually  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  itself isn’t finite. But how big is it? The answer is contained in Dirichlet’s unit theorem and says that the size of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  depends on the number of real and complex embeddings of  $K$ . To prove it we need some set up.

## 2. LATTICES AND VECTOR SPACES

Recall from Mike’s talk on the finiteness of the class number that a number field  $K$  of degree  $n$  can be embedded into a real vector space of dimension  $n$ . If  $K$  has  $r$  real embeddings  $\rho_i$  and  $s$  pairs of complex embeddings  $\sigma_j, \bar{\sigma}_j$ , then he defined  $L^{rs} = \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{C}^s$  and a map  $j : K \rightarrow L^{rs}$  by

$$j(a) = (\rho_1(a), \dots, \rho_r(a), \sigma_1(a), \dots, \sigma_s(a)).$$

The image of this map is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $K_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{r+2s}$ . If one writes vectors explicitly as  $(r + 2s)$ -tuples then one has vectors looking like

$$(\rho_1(a), \dots, \rho_r(a), \operatorname{Re} \sigma_1(a), \operatorname{Im} \sigma_1(a), \dots, \operatorname{Re} \sigma_s(a), \operatorname{Im} \sigma_s(a)).$$

A second  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space can be gleaned from this, the space  $[\prod_{\tau} \mathbb{R}]^+$  of points like the above where  $\operatorname{Re} \sigma_1(a) = \operatorname{Im} \sigma_1(a)$ . So the  $s$  pairs of points are all of the form  $(x, x)$ , hence we may map them to, say,  $2x$  and identify  $[\prod_{\tau} \mathbb{R}]^+$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{r+s}$ . If this looks like a funky definition it’s because it’s a restriction to complex-conjugation invariant points of a complex vector space.

Anyway, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K^\times & \xrightarrow{j} & K_{\mathbb{R}}^\times & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \left[ \prod_{\tau} \mathbb{R} \right]^+ \\
 \downarrow N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} & & \downarrow N & & \downarrow Tr \\
 \mathbb{Q}^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^\times & \xrightarrow{\log|\cdot|} & \mathbb{R}
 \end{array}$$

where  $\ell$  is the homomorphism induced by  $\log|\cdot|$ ,  $N$  is the product of the coordinates, and  $Tr$  is the sum of the coordinates. We take subgroups of the top row:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{O}_K^\times &= \{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K : N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon) = \pm 1\}, & \text{the group of units,} \\
 S &= \{y \in K_{\mathbb{R}}^\times : N(y) = \pm 1\}, & \text{the “norm-one surface”,} \\
 H &= \{x \in [\prod_{\tau} \mathbb{R}]^+ : Tr(x) = 0\}, & \text{the “trace-zero” hyperplane.}
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{j} S \xrightarrow{\ell} H,$$

and we let  $\lambda = \ell \circ j : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow H$ . We let  $\Gamma = \lambda(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) \subseteq H$ , and then get the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Gamma \longrightarrow 0.$$

Our new task, then, is to understand  $\Gamma$ .

We know that there are only finitely many ideals  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  of a given norm, and the same holds true for elements.

**Proposition 1.** *Up to multiplication by units, there are only finitely many elements in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of a given norm.*

$H$  is a subspace of  $[\prod_{\tau} \mathbb{R}]^+$  given by one equation (hence a hyperplane), so it has dimension  $r + s - 1 = t$ . Using proposition 1, one can show the following.

**Proposition 2.** *The group  $\Gamma$  is a complete lattice in  $H$ , so is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^t$ .*

*Sketch proof.* To be a lattice it suffices to show any bounded domain in  $H$  contains only finitely many elements of  $\Gamma$ . To do this one takes the preimage of a bounded domain under  $\ell$  and uses the fact that  $j(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is a lattice in  $[\prod_{\tau} \mathbb{C}]^+$ .

For completeness it suffices to show the existence of a bounded subset  $M \subseteq H$  such that  $M + \gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , covers  $H$ . To do this one finds such a subset in  $S$  and transfers it to  $H$  with  $\ell$ .  $\square$

### 3. DIRICHET’S UNIT THEOREM

We can now prove the following.

**Theorem** (Dirichlet’s unit theorem). *The group  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mu(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1}$ .*

This means there are  $t = r + s - 1$  units  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t$ , called the fundamental units, such that any unit  $\varepsilon$  can be written uniquely as

$$\varepsilon = \zeta \varepsilon_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \varepsilon_t^{\nu_t}$$

for a root of unity  $\zeta$  and integers  $\nu_i$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Gamma \longrightarrow 0.$$

By proposition 2,  $\Gamma$  is a free abelian group of rank  $t$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_t$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\Gamma$ , let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t$  be the preimage of each  $v_i$  under  $\lambda$ , and let  $A \subset \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  generated by these  $\varepsilon_i$ . Then  $\lambda$  gives the isomorphism  $A \cong \Gamma$ , so in particular  $\mu(K) \cap A = \{1\}$ . So  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cong \mu(K) \otimes A$ .  $\square$

Since  $\Gamma$  is a lattice it has a fundamental parallelepiped. The volume of this is equal to  $R\sqrt{r+s}$ , where  $R$  is called the regulator of  $K$ . It is equal to the absolute value of any minor of rank  $t$  of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \log |\rho_1(\varepsilon_1)| & \cdots & \log |\rho_1(\varepsilon_t)| \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \log |\rho_r(\varepsilon_1)| & \cdots & \log |\rho_r(\varepsilon_t)| \\ \log |\sigma_1(\varepsilon_1)| & \cdots & \log |\sigma_1(\varepsilon_t)| \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \log |\sigma_s(\varepsilon_1)| & \cdots & \log |\sigma_s(\varepsilon_t)| \end{pmatrix}.$$