

Lee Butler

1. RAMIFICATION AND THE DIFFERENT

Let A be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K , and let L be a finite, separable extension of K . Let B be the integral closure of A in L .

Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime ideal of B and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A$. We'll assume throughout this talk that B/\mathfrak{P} is a separable extension of A/\mathfrak{p} . \mathfrak{P} lies over \mathfrak{p} so we have

$$\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{P}^{e_{\mathfrak{P}}} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{Q}|\mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{Q} \neq \mathfrak{P}}} \mathfrak{Q}^{e_{\mathfrak{Q}}}.$$

Recall from lecture 9 that L/K is called *unramified at \mathfrak{P}* if $e_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1$.

Let σ range over the embeddings $L \hookrightarrow \overline{K}$, then we have the canonical, nondegenerate, symmetric, bilinear form on the K -vector space L called the *trace form*:

$$T(x, y) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(xy) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(xy).$$

Definition. Let

$$B^* = \{y \in L : \forall x \in B, \text{Tr}(xy) \in A\}.$$

It is a sub- B -module of L called *Dedekind's complementary module*, or the *inverse different* or *codifferent* of B over A . It's a fractional ideal of L so has an inverse, which we denote $\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}$ or $\mathfrak{D}_{L/K}$, called the *different of B over A* (or of L/K).

2. UNRAMIFIED EXTENSIONS

Theorem 1. L/K is unramified at \mathfrak{P} if and only if $\mathfrak{P} \nmid \mathfrak{D}_{B/A}$.

The proof of this needs a couple of lemmata.

Lemma 1 (Localisation). *Suppose $S \subseteq A$ is closed under multiplication, $1 \in S$, and $0 \notin S$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{S^{-1}B/S^{-1}A} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}.$$

Proof. For such sets S and fractional ideals \mathfrak{J} we have

$$(S^{-1}\mathfrak{J})^{-1} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{J}^{-1},$$

so it suffices to show that

$$S^{-1}B^* = (S^{-1}B)^*.$$

Suppose $x = s^{-1}y \in S^{-1}B^*$, and let $z = t^{-1}w \in S^{-1}B$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(zx) &= \text{Tr}((st)^{-1}wy) \\ &= (st)^{-1} \text{Tr}(wy) \in S^{-1}A \end{aligned}$$

since $y \in B^*$. So $x \in (S^{-1}B)^*$.

Now suppose $x \in (S^{-1}B)^*$. B is an A -module with generators b_i , say. By the bilinearity of Tr it suffices to show there is an $s \in S$ such that $\text{Tr}((sx)b_i) \in A$ for each i . We have

$$\text{Tr}(xb_i) \in S^{-1}A$$

so there exist $s_i \in S$ and $a_i \in A$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(xb_i) = s_i^{-1}a_i.$$

Let $s = \prod s_i$ and $a'_i = a_i \prod_{j \neq i} s_j$, then

$$\text{Tr}(xb_i) = s^{-1}a'_i$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2 (Completion). *Let $B_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the completions of B and A with respect to \mathfrak{P} and \mathfrak{p} respectively. Then*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{B/A}B_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathfrak{D}_{B_{\mathfrak{P}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Proof. By letting $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ in lemma 1 we may assume that A is a discrete valuation ring, that is a PID with a unique maximal ideal. We will show that B^* is dense in $(B_{\mathfrak{P}})^*$. We have

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K} = \sum_{\Omega | \mathfrak{p}} \text{Tr}_{L_{\Omega}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Let $x \in B^*$ and $y \in B_{\mathfrak{P}}$. By weak approximation we can find $\alpha \in L$ with

$$|y - \alpha|_{\mathfrak{P}} < \varepsilon$$

and $|\alpha|_{\mathfrak{P}'} < \varepsilon$ for $\mathfrak{P}' | \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P}$. Let

$$T = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(x\alpha) = \text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x\alpha) + \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{P}' | \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P}}} \text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}'}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x\alpha).$$

Since $x \in B^*$ and $\alpha \in L$ we have that $T \in A \subseteq A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. But α is close to zero with respect to $v_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ hence the summands in the sum on the right are all in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ too. So $\text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x\alpha) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thence, by choosing ε small enough we will have $\text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(xy) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, in particular we have $x \in (B_{\mathfrak{P}})^*$.

Now suppose that $x \in (B_{\mathfrak{P}})^*$ and use weak approximation to find $\xi \in L$ close to x with respect to $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and close to zero with respect to \mathfrak{P}' for $\mathfrak{P}' | \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P}$. Let $y \in B$, then

$$\text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\xi y) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

since

$$\text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(xy) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Likewise,

$$\text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}'}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\xi y) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for $\mathfrak{P}' | \mathfrak{p}$ since ξ , and hence these traces, are close to zero with respect to $v_{\mathfrak{P}'}$. So, using the formula for $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ we have

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\xi y) = \text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\xi y) + \sum_{\mathfrak{P}'} \text{Tr}_{L_{\mathfrak{P}'}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\xi y) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap K = A.$$

So $\xi \in B^*$. Thus B^* is dense in $(B_{\mathfrak{P}})^*$, i.e. $B^*B_{\mathfrak{P}} = (B_{\mathfrak{P}})^*$, whence the lemma. \square

Proof of theorem 1. By lemmata 1 and 2 we may assume that A is a complete, discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} . Being complete means that \mathfrak{p} is nonsplit, i.e. $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{P}^e$. Let the residue field be $A/\mathfrak{p} = k$. In particular, B is also a discrete valuation ring. \mathfrak{P} being unramified means that $B\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}$ and that B/\mathfrak{P} is a separable extension of A/\mathfrak{p} . So it's equivalent to $B/\mathfrak{p}B$ being a separable field extension of k .

Let $\{b_i\}$ be a basis of B over A and set

$$d = \det(\mathrm{Tr}(b_i b_j)).$$

We have that d is the generator of the principal ideal $\mathfrak{d}_{B/A}$, called the *discriminant*. By its definition we have that $\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{d}_{B/A}$ if and only if $\det(\mathrm{Tr}(b_i b_j)) \in \mathfrak{p}A$, i.e. if and only if the image \bar{d} of d in k is zero. So $\mathfrak{P} \nmid \mathfrak{d}_{B/A}$ if and only if $\bar{d} \neq 0$ in k .

Let \bar{b}_i be the images of the b_i in $B/\mathfrak{p}B$. These form a basis of $B/\mathfrak{p}B$ over k , and this basis has discriminant \bar{d} . Big, bad algebra textbooks will tell you that $\bar{d} \neq 0$ is equivalent to $B/\mathfrak{p}B$ being a separable k -algebra. But B is a discrete valuation ring, hence a Noetherian local ring, and so $B/\mathfrak{p}B$ is a local ring. But being a local ring and a separable k -algebra is just saying that $B/\mathfrak{p}B$ is a separable field extension of k . \square

Corollary. L/K is unramified at \mathfrak{p} if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{d}_{B/A}$.

Proof. We have the relation $\mathfrak{d}_{B/A} = N_{L/K}(\mathfrak{d}_{B/A})$. \square

Theorem 2. Let A be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k and field of fractions K . Let k_s be the separable closure of k (i.e. the largest separable extension of k within a given algebraic closure of k), and let K_i be the unramified extensions of K corresponding to finite subextensions of k_s , ordered by inclusion. Let

$$K_{nr} = \varinjlim K_i$$

be the inductive limit of this system. Then K_{nr} is Galois over K with residue field k_s , and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_{nr}/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k).$$

The field K_{nr} is called the maximal unramified extension of K and is unique, up to unique isomorphism.

Example. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Unramified extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p are in 1-1 correspondence with finite extensions of \mathbb{F}_p . But for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a unique extension of \mathbb{F}_p of degree n , namely the splitting field of $x^{p^n} - x$. So for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a unique unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree n , namely $K_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n-1})$. So

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{nr} = \varinjlim_{(n,p)=1} K_n.$$

We have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z},$$

whence

$$\mathrm{Gal}((\mathbb{Q}_p)_{nr}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$