

ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY – LECTURE 8

Michael Harvey

“It has long been an axiom of mine that the little things are infinitely the most important.”

– Sherlock Holmes

1. LATTICES

Recall that a lattice in \mathbb{R}^n is a discrete additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^n . If it is generated by the vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ then its fundamental domain T is given by

$$T = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i : 0 \leq a_i < 1 \right\}.$$

We then define the volume of T to be

$$\text{vol}(T) = |\det(e_1 \dots e_n)|.$$

2. GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

Our aim is to embed a number field K into a real vector space of dimension $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. From there we will establish a correspondence between ideals of \mathcal{O}_K and lattices in this vector space.

We know there are n distinct embeddings $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, say $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$. Let s be the number of real embeddings and $2t$ be the number of complex embeddings, so $n = s + 2t$. After reordering we can let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ be the real embeddings, and $\sigma_{s+1} = \overline{\sigma_{s+t+1}}, \dots, \sigma_{s+t} = \overline{\sigma_{s+2t}}$ be the pairs of complex embeddings.

Define $L^{st} = \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$, i.e. it is the set of $s + t$ -tuples

$$\underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_s)}_{\in \mathbb{R}}, \underbrace{(x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{s+t})}_{\in \mathbb{C}}.$$

L^{st} as a vector space over \mathbb{R} has dimension $s + 2t = n$. Define a map $\sigma : K \rightarrow L^{st}$ by

$$\sigma(\alpha) = (\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_s(\alpha), \sigma_{s+1}(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_{s+t}(\alpha)).$$

Theorem 1. *If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ form a basis for K over \mathbb{Q} then $\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\alpha_\ell) &= x_k^{(\ell)} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq s, 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ \sigma_{s+j}(\alpha_\ell) &= y_j^{(\ell)} + iz_j^{(\ell)} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq \ell \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sigma(\alpha_\ell) = (x_1^{(\ell)}, \dots, x_s^{(\ell)}, y_1^{(\ell)} + iz_1^{(\ell)}, \dots, y_t^{(\ell)} + iz_t^{(\ell)}).$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{(1)} & \cdots & x_s^{(1)} & y_1^{(1)} & z_1^{(1)} & \cdots & y_t^{(1)} & z_t^{(1)} \\ \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ x_1^{(n)} & \cdots & x_s^{(n)} & y_1^{(n)} & z_1^{(n)} & \cdots & y_t^{(n)} & z_t^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2i)^t} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{(1)} & \cdots & x_s^{(1)} & y_1^{(1)} + iz_1^{(1)} & y_1^{(1)} - iz_1^{(1)} & \cdots & y_t^{(1)} - iz_t^{(1)} \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ x_1^{(n)} & \cdots & x_s^{(n)} & y_1^{(n)} + iz_1^{(n)} & y_1^{(n)} - iz_1^{(n)} & \cdots & y_t^{(n)} - iz_t^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2i)^t} \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_s(\alpha_1) & \sigma_{s+1}(\alpha_1) & \overline{\sigma_{s+1}(\alpha_1)} & \cdots & \overline{\sigma_{s+t}(\alpha_1)} \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_n) & \cdots & \sigma_s(\alpha_n) & \sigma_{s+1}(\alpha_n) & \overline{\sigma_{s+1}(\alpha_n)} & \cdots & \overline{\sigma_{s+t}(\alpha_n)} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2i)^t} \sqrt{\Delta[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]} \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2. *If $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ is an ideal with a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, then $\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ is a lattice in L^{st} with generators $\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)$.*

3. CLASS GROUP

Recall that \mathcal{F} is the group of fractional ideals and \mathcal{P} is the subgroup of principal fractional ideals. The class group is defined to be $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P}$. We aim to show that it's a finite group.

We define an equivalence relation on \mathcal{F} by setting, for $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{b}$ for some $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{P}$. We write $[\mathfrak{a}]$ for the equivalence class containing \mathfrak{a} .

Proposition 3. *Every equivalence class contains an ideal.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathfrak{a} = \gamma^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$ for an ideal \mathfrak{b} and some $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_k$. So $\mathfrak{b} = \gamma\mathfrak{a} = (\gamma)\mathfrak{a}$. Since (γ) is a principal ideal we have $\mathfrak{b} \sim \mathfrak{a}$. □

Recall Minkowski's theorem: Given a lattice $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with fundamental domain T , and a bounded, convex, symmetric set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then if

$$\text{vol}(X) > 2^n \text{vol}(T)$$

then X contains a nonzero lattice point of M .

Lemma 4. Let M be a lattice of dimension $s + 2t$ in L^{st} . Let T be the fundamental domain of M , and set $V = \text{vol}(T)$. If $c_1, \dots, c_{s+2t} > 0$ satisfy

$$c_1 \cdots c_{s+2t} > \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^t V$$

then there exists a nonzero element $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1}, x_{s+2t})$ in M with

$$(*) \begin{cases} |x_i| < c_i & (1 \leq i \leq s) \\ |x_{s+j}|^2 < c_{s+j} & (1 \leq j \leq t). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let X be the region in L^{st} described by $(*)$. X is convex, symmetric, and bounded. It has volume

$$\text{vol}(X) = 2^s \pi^t c_1 \cdots c_{s+2t}.$$

Minkowski's theorem says if $\text{vol}(X) > 2^{s+2t} V$ then we're done. So we're done when

$$c_1 \cdots c_{s+2t} > \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^t V.$$

□

Now we want to find V when M is $\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$.

Theorem 5. Let $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ be an ideal, then V for $\sigma(\mathfrak{a})$ is

$$2^{-t} N(\mathfrak{a}) \sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

Proof. V is the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1^{(1)} & \cdots & x_s^{(1)} & y_1^{(1)} & z_1^{(1)} & \cdots & y_t^{(1)} & z_t^{(1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ x_1^{(n)} & \cdots & x_s^{(n)} & y_1^{(n)} & z_1^{(n)} & \cdots & y_t^{(n)} & z_t^{(n)} \end{vmatrix}$$

from the proof of theorem 1, so

$$V = \left| \frac{1}{(2i)^t} \sqrt{\Delta[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]} \right|.$$

From Lecture 6 we know that

$$N(\mathfrak{a}) = \left| \frac{\Delta[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]}{|\Delta|} \right|^{1/2},$$

so

$$V = 2^{-t} N(\mathfrak{a}) \sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

□

We'll use lemma 4 and theorem 5 to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. If $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$ is an ideal then there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$N(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{2}{t}\right)^t N(\mathfrak{a}) \sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $c_1, \dots, c_{s+t} > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \cdots c_{s+t} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^t N(\mathfrak{a})\sqrt{|\Delta|} + \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$c_1 \cdots c_{s+t} > \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^t \underbrace{2^{-t} N(\mathfrak{a})\sqrt{|\Delta|}}_V,$$

by lemma 4 there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_i(\alpha)| &< c_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq s) \\ |\sigma_{s+j}(\alpha)|^2 &< c_{s+j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq t). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying these together we get

$$\begin{aligned} |N(\alpha)| &< c_1 \cdots c_{s+t} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^t N(\mathfrak{a})\sqrt{|\Delta|} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality holds for some set of α for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking the intersection of these sets of α over all $\varepsilon > 0$ gives at least one $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$N(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^t N(\mathfrak{a})\sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

□

Corollary 7. *Every nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$ is equivalent to an ideal with norm at most $(2/\pi)^t \sqrt{|\Delta|}$.*

Proof. Consider the equivalence class $[\mathfrak{a}^{-1}] \in \mathcal{H}$. By proposition 3, $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \sim \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$, and by theorem 6 there exists some $\beta \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that

$$N(\beta) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^t N(\mathfrak{b})\sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

Recall that $\beta \in \mathfrak{b}$ means $\mathfrak{b} \mid (\beta)$, so $(\beta) = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathcal{O}_k$. We have

$$|N(\beta)| = N((\beta)) = N(\mathfrak{b})N(\mathfrak{c}),$$

so

$$N(\mathfrak{c}) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^t \sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \sim \mathfrak{b}$, so $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b}^{-1}$, and $\mathfrak{b}^{-1}(\beta) = \mathfrak{c}$ so $\mathfrak{b}^{-1} \sim \mathfrak{c}$. Hence $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{c}$. □

Theorem 8. *The class number $h = |\mathcal{H}| < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $[\mathfrak{b}] \in \mathcal{H}$. So $[\mathfrak{b}]$ contains an ideal \mathfrak{a} by proposition 3, and by corollary 7, $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{c}$ for an ideal \mathfrak{c} with

$$N(\mathfrak{c}) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^t \sqrt{|\Delta|}.$$

We learnt in Lecture 6 that only finitely many ideals have a given norm, so there are only finitely many such \mathfrak{c} , hence only finitely many classes $[\mathfrak{b}]$. □