

# Some density results in number theory

John Cremona

University of Warwick

—  
with Manjul Bhargava (Princeton) and Tom Fisher (Cambridge)

Coates birthday conference, Cambridge, 25 March 2015

# Overview

1. Introduction
2. Description of three classes of equations to be discussed
3. Remarks on distributions and random sampling
4. Statement of results A: quadrics
5. Statement of results B: cubics
6. Statement of results C: quartics

# Overview

1. Introduction
2. Description of three classes of equations to be discussed
3. Remarks on distributions and random sampling
4. Statement of results A: quadrics
5. Statement of results B: cubics
6. Statement of results C: quartics

See (A) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.05992>  
and (B) <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5578>.

## Introduction

I will discuss a number of results in number theory (Diophantine equations or Diophantine geometry) all of the form

*“What is the probability that a random equation of the form . . . has a solution?”*

# Introduction

I will discuss a number of results in number theory (Diophantine equations or Diophantine geometry) all of the form

*“What is the probability that a random equation of the form . . . has a solution?”*

I will of course be more precise what I mean by

- ▶ *equation* (there will be three families), by
- ▶ *probability* and *random*, and by
- ▶ *solution*.

# Introduction

I will discuss a number of results in number theory (Diophantine equations or Diophantine geometry) all of the form

*“What is the probability that a random equation of the form . . . has a solution?”*

I will of course be more precise what I mean by

- ▶ *equation* (there will be three families), by
- ▶ *probability* and *random*, and by
- ▶ *solution*.

All equations will be (possibly weighted) homogeneous, and we will consider *local solubility* (over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ) as well as *global solubility* (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) or in some cases *everywhere local solubility* (over all completions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

## Equations A: quadrics in $n$ variables

We consider quadratic forms  $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  in  $n$  variables (“ $n$ -ary quadrics”)

$$Q = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

given by  $N = n(n + 1)/2$  homogeneous coefficients  $a_{ij}$  in a field  $K$ , and seek solutions (zeros) in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We call  $Q$  *isotropic over*  $K$  if there is a solution in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(K)$ .

## Equations A: quadrics in $n$ variables

We consider quadratic forms  $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  in  $n$  variables (“ $n$ -ary quadrics”)

$$Q = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} X_i X_j$$

given by  $N = n(n+1)/2$  homogeneous coefficients  $a_{ij}$  in a field  $K$ , and seek solutions (zeros) in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We call  $Q$  *isotropic over  $K$*  if there is a solution in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(K)$ .

We will consider this for  $K = \mathbb{R}$ , for  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  (where we may assume  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by homogeneity) and for  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  (with  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), recalling that the Hasse principle holds for quadrics.



## Equations B: ternary cubics

Here we consider ternary cubic forms  $f(X, Y, Z)$  with 10 coefficients in  $K$ , and seek solutions (zeros) in  $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$ .

## Equations B: ternary cubics

Here we consider ternary cubic forms  $f(X, Y, Z)$  with 10 coefficients in  $K$ , and seek solutions (zeros) in  $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$ .

Again, by homogeneity when  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  we may assume that the coefficients are integral.

## Equations B: ternary cubics

Here we consider ternary cubic forms  $f(X, Y, Z)$  with 10 coefficients in  $K$ , and seek solutions (zeros) in  $\mathbb{P}^2(K)$ .

Again, by homogeneity when  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  we may assume that the coefficients are integral.

Since there is no Hasse principle for plane cubics, over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we will only ask for everywhere local solubility. As solubility over  $\mathbb{R}$  is obviously automatic, this amounts to solubility over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for all primes  $p$ .

## Equations C: elliptic quartics

We consider quartic (hyper)elliptic equations  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  with  $f$  a binary form of degree 4 over  $K$ , defined by 5 coefficients.

## Equations C: elliptic quartics

We consider quartic (hyper)elliptic equations  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  with  $f$  a binary form of degree 4 over  $K$ , defined by 5 coefficients.

Again, over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we only ask for everywhere local solubility; solubility over  $\mathbb{R}$  is now a non-trivial question.

## Equations C: elliptic quartics

We consider quartic (hyper)elliptic equations  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  with  $f$  a binary form of degree 4 over  $K$ , defined by 5 coefficients.

Again, over  $\mathbb{Q}$  we only ask for everywhere local solubility; solubility over  $\mathbb{R}$  is now a non-trivial question.

We could more generally consider hyperelliptic curves of higher genus, defined by similar equations for  $\deg(f) = 2g + 2$ ; the odd degree case is trivial since then the unique point at infinity is  $K$ -rational. So far we have only partial results for  $g > 1$ , which we will mention briefly towards the end.

## Local questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

( $p$ ) Local question at  $p$ : if the coefficients  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  are chosen at random, what is the probability that  $Q$  is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ?

## Local questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

( $p$ ) Local question at  $p$ : if the coefficients  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  are chosen at random, what is the probability that  $Q$  is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ?  
More precisely, what is the  $p$ -adic measure  $\rho_n(p)$  of the subset

$$\{(a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N \mid Q \text{ isotropic}/\mathbb{Q}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^N$$

(or the density of soluble quadrics, since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has measure 1)?



## Local questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

( $p$ ) Local question at  $p$ : if the coefficients  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  are chosen at random, what is the probability that  $Q$  is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ?  
More precisely, what is the  $p$ -adic measure  $\rho_n(p)$  of the subset

$$\{(a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N \mid Q \text{ isotropic}/\mathbb{Q}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^N$$

(or the density of soluble quadrics, since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has measure 1)?  
We give an exact formula for  $\rho_n(p)$ .

## Local questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

( $p$ ) Local question at  $p$ : if the coefficients  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  are chosen at random, what is the probability that  $Q$  is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ?  
More precisely, what is the  $p$ -adic measure  $\rho_n(p)$  of the subset

$$\{(a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^N \mid Q \text{ isotropic}/\mathbb{Q}_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^N$$

(or the density of soluble quadrics, since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has measure 1)?  
We give an exact formula for  $\rho_n(p)$ .

( $\infty$ ) Local question over  $\mathbb{R}$ : let  $D$  be a “nice” distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , that is, a piecewise smooth rapidly decaying function whose integral over  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is 1. What is

$$\rho_n^D(\infty) = \int_{Q \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{isotropic}/\mathbb{R}} D(Q) dQ?$$

## Which real distributions for quadrics?

We will consider two distributions: the uniform distribution (U) on  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^N$ , and the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE):

## Which real distributions for quadrics?

We will consider two distributions: the uniform distribution (U) on  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^N$ , and the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE): in the GOE,  $(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(M + M^t)$  where the  $n^2$  entries of  $M$  are i.i.d. Gaussians.

## Which real distributions for quadrics?

We will consider two distributions: the uniform distribution (U) on  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^N$ , and the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE): in the GOE,  $(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(M + M^t)$  where the  $n^2$  entries of  $M$  are i.i.d. Gaussians.

We can evaluate  $\rho_n^{GOE}(\infty)$  exactly, but only have numerical approximations for  $\rho_n^U(\infty)$ .

## Global questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

We will make precise what we mean by taking a random *integral* quadratic form with respect to some distribution  $D$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and asking for the probability that it is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

## Global questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

We will make precise what we mean by taking a random *integral* quadratic form with respect to some distribution  $D$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and asking for the probability that it is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

By the Hasse-Minkowski theorem we expect that the global probability is the product for the local ones, but this needs to be stated and proved carefully!

## Global questions A: quadrics in $n$ variables

We will make precise what we mean by taking a random *integral* quadratic form with respect to some distribution  $D$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and asking for the probability that it is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

By the Hasse-Minkowski theorem we expect that the global probability is the product for the local ones, but this needs to be stated and proved carefully!

For  $K = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  define

$$\rho_n^D(K) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{Q \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \text{isotropic}/K D(Q/X)}{\sum_{Q \in \mathbb{Z}^N} D(Q/X)}.$$



## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (1)

### Theorem (A0)

$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) = \rho_n^D(\infty)$ , **and**  $\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \rho_n(p)$  (*independent of  $D$* ).

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (1)

### Theorem (A0)

$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) = \rho_n^D(\infty)$ , and  $\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \rho_n(p)$  (independent of  $D$ ).

In words: the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as the probability that a  $D$ -random real quadratic form is isotropic.

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (1)

### Theorem (A0)

$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) = \rho_n^D(\infty)$ , and  $\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \rho_n(p)$  (independent of  $D$ ).

In words: the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as the probability that a  $D$ -random real quadratic form is isotropic.

Similarly, the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the same as the probability that a random quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^N$ ) is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (1)

### Theorem (A0)

$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) = \rho_n^D(\infty)$ , and  $\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \rho_n(p)$  (independent of  $D$ ).

In words: the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as the probability that a  $D$ -random real quadratic form is isotropic.

Similarly, the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the same as the probability that a random quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^N$ ) is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

### Theorem (A1)

$$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}) = \rho_n^D(\infty) \prod_p \rho_n(p) = \rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) \prod_p \rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (1)

### Theorem (A0)

$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) = \rho_n^D(\infty)$ , and  $\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \rho_n(p)$  (independent of  $D$ ).

In words: the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as the probability that a  $D$ -random real quadratic form is isotropic.

Similarly, the probability that a  $D$ -random integral quadratic form is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the same as the probability that a random quadratic form over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^N$ ) is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

### Theorem (A1)

$$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}) = \rho_n^D(\infty) \prod_p \rho_n(p) = \rho_n^D(\mathbb{R}) \prod_p \rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

For  $D = U$  this follows from a result of Poonen and Voloch.

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (2)

### Theorem (A2)

The probability  $\rho_n(p)$  that a random  $n$ -ary quadric over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is

$n$	$\rho_n(p)$
1	0
2	$1/2$
3	$1 - \frac{p}{2(p+1)^2}$
4	$1 - \frac{p^3}{4(p+1)^2(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)}$
5	1

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (2)

### Theorem (A2)

The probability  $\rho_n(p)$  that a random  $n$ -ary quadric over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is

$n$	$\rho_n(p)$
1	0
2	$1/2$
3	$1 - \frac{p}{2(p+1)^2}$
4	$1 - \frac{p^3}{4(p+1)^2(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)}$
5	1

Our proof is uniform in  $p$  and  $n$ , and gives a new proof that all quadrics in  $\geq 5$  variables are isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , as well as an algorithm for deciding isotropy for  $n \leq 4$ .

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (3)

Theorem (A3, joint also with J. Keating and N. Jones (Bristol))

*The probability that a GOE-random  $n$ -ary quadric over  $\mathbb{R}$  is isotropic is*

$$\rho_n^{GOE}(\infty) = 1 - \frac{\text{Pf}(S)}{2^{(n-1)(n+4)/4} \prod_{m=1}^n \Gamma(m/2)},$$

*where  $S$  is the skew-symmetric matrix of size  $2\lceil n/2 \rceil$  whose  $i, j$  entry is*

$$\begin{cases} 2^{i+j-2} \Gamma\left(\frac{i+j}{2}\right) \left(\beta_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{i}{2}, \frac{j}{2}\right) - \beta_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{j}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\right)\right) & \text{for } i < j \leq n \\ 2^{i-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) & \text{for } i < j = n + 1 \text{ (} n \text{ odd)} \end{cases}$$



## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (4)

Table of values of  $\rho_n^{GOE}(\infty)$ , the probability that a random real quadratic form is isotropic:

$n$	$\rho_n^{GOE}(\infty)$	
1	0	0
2	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$	0.7071067811
3	$\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{2}\pi^{-1}$	0.9501581580
4	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2} + \pi^{-1}$	0.9950865814
5	$\frac{3}{4} + (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{2})\pi^{-1}$	0.9997197706
6	$\frac{3}{4} + \frac{7}{64}\sqrt{2} + (\frac{37}{48} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2})\pi^{-1}$	0.9999907596
7	$\frac{7}{8} + (\frac{47}{120} + \frac{109}{480}\sqrt{2})\pi^{-1} - \frac{32}{45}\sqrt{2}\pi^{-2}$	0.9999998239
...	...	...
$n$	$\in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[\pi^{-1}]$	$\approx 1$

## Results A: quadrics in $n$ variables (5)

### Corollary

If  $D=U$  or  $GOE$  then

$$\rho_n^D(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 3; \\ \rho_4^D(\infty) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p^3(p-1)}{4(p+1)^2(p^5-1)}\right) & \text{if } n = 4; \\ \rho_n^D(\infty) & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_4^{GOE}(\mathbb{Q}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p^3(p-1)}{4(p+1)^2(p^5-1)}\right) \\ &\approx 0.983, \end{aligned}$$

$\rho_n^{GOE}(\mathbb{Q}) = \rho_n^{GOE}(\infty) > 0.999$  for  $n \geq 5$ , and  $\rho_n^{GOE}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $n \leq 3$ .

## Local questions B: ternary cubics

For plane cubics we can similarly define  $\rho(p)$  to be the probability that a random (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{10}$ ) ternary cubic form over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point. We will give a uniform formula for this for all primes  $p$ .

## Local questions B: ternary cubics

For plane cubics we can similarly define  $\rho(p)$  to be the probability that a random (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{10}$ ) ternary cubic form over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point. We will give a uniform formula for this for all primes  $p$ .

Instead of global solubility, we define  $\rho(\mathbb{Q})$  to be the probability that a random integral ternary cubic has  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational points for all  $p$ .

## Local results B: plane cubics

Since for cubics real solubility is automatic, we do not need to specify a distribution on the space  $\mathbb{R}^{10}$ .

As with quartics we find that the the probability of a random integral ternary cubic (with respect to any nice distribution) has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -point is the same as  $\rho(p)$ , the probability that a random cubic over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -point.

The Poonen-Voloch result mentioned above implies

**Theorem (B1)**

$$\rho(\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_p \rho(p).$$

(recall that here  $\rho(\mathbb{Q})$  is the probability of everywhere local solubility, not of global solubility).

## Local results B: plane cubics (continued)

### Theorem (B2)

*For all primes  $p$ , the probability that a random plane cubic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point is*

$$\rho(p) = 1 - f(p)/g(p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(p) &= p^9 - p^8 + p^6 - p^4 + p^3 + p^2 - 2p + 1, \\ g(p) &= 3(p^2 + 1)(p^4 + 1)(p^6 + p^3 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f(p)/g(p) \sim 1/3p^3$ , so  $\rho(p) \rightarrow 1$  rapidly as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ :  
 $\rho(2) = 0.98319$ ,  $\rho(3) = 0.99259$ ,  $\rho(5) = 0.99799$ ,  $\rho(7) = 0.99918$ .

## Local results B: plane cubics (concluded)

### Corollary (B3)

*A random integral plane cubic is everywhere locally soluble with probability  $\rho(\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_p (1 - f(p)/g(p)) \approx 0.97256$ .*

## Local results B: plane cubics (concluded)

### Corollary (B3)

*A random integral plane cubic is everywhere locally soluble with probability  $\rho(\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_p (1 - f(p)/g(p)) \approx 0.97256$ .*

### Remark

*It is unexpected that  $\rho(p)$  be given by a single rational function of  $p$ . On general grounds it is expected, according to Denef and Loeser, to be expressible as a rational function of the counts of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points on a finite number of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes. In our proof of Theorem B2, we treat all primes uniformly throughout.*



## Local results B: plane cubics (concluded)

### Corollary (B3)

*A random integral plane cubic is everywhere locally soluble with probability  $\rho(\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_p (1 - f(p)/g(p)) \approx 0.97256$ .*

### Remark

*It is unexpected that  $\rho(p)$  be given by a single rational function of  $p$ . On general grounds it is expected, according to Denef and Loeser, to be expressible as a rational function of the counts of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points on a finite number of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes. In our proof of Theorem B2, we treat all primes uniformly throughout.*

### Remark

*Corollary B3 is used in Manjul Bhargava's result that a positive proportion of plane cubics fail the Hasse principle.*

## Local questions C: elliptic quartics

Here we define  $\rho(p)$  to be the probability that a random (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^5$ ) binary quartic form  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is soluble in the sense that the curve  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point. We give a formula for all *odd* primes  $p$  which needs adjustment at  $p = 2$ .

## Local questions C: elliptic quartics

Here we define  $\rho(p)$  to be the probability that a random (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^5$ ) binary quartic form  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is soluble in the sense that the curve  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point. We give a formula for all *odd* primes  $p$  which needs adjustment at  $p = 2$ .

However, if we instead consider *generalized binary quartics*, equations of the form  $Z^2 + g(X, Y)Z = f(X, Y)$  with  $\deg(g) = 2$  and  $\deg(f) = 4$ , distributed over  $\mathbb{Z}_p^8$ , then we obtain a uniform formula for all  $p$  (which agrees with the non-generalized formula for odd  $p$ ).

## Local questions C: elliptic quartics

Here we define  $\rho(p)$  to be the probability that a random (with respect to the  $p$ -adic measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^5$ ) binary quartic form  $f(X, Y)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is soluble in the sense that the curve  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point. We give a formula for all *odd* primes  $p$  which needs adjustment at  $p = 2$ .

However, if we instead consider *generalized binary quartics*, equations of the form  $Z^2 + g(X, Y)Z = f(X, Y)$  with  $\deg(g) = 2$  and  $\deg(f) = 4$ , distributed over  $\mathbb{Z}_p^8$ , then we obtain a uniform formula for all  $p$  (which agrees with the non-generalized formula for odd  $p$ ).

Again, instead of global solubility, we define  $\rho(\mathbb{Q})$  to be the probability that a random integral binary quartic has  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational points for all  $p$  and real points; here we need to specify a distribution  $D$  on  $\mathbb{R}^5$ .

## Local results C: binary quartics (1)

### Theorem (C1)

The density  $\rho(p)$  of binary quartic forms  $f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y]$  for which the curve  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point is

$$\rho(p) = \frac{F(p)}{G(p)} = \frac{8p^{10} + 8p^9 - 4p^8 + 2p^6 + p^5 - 2p^4 + p^3 - p^2 - 8p - 5}{8(p+1)(p^9 - 1)}$$

for  $p \geq 3$ , and

$$\rho(2) = \frac{23087}{24529}.$$

The density in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^8$  of pairs of forms  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y]$  of degree 4 and 2 for which the curve  $Z^2 + g(X, Y)Z = f(X, Y)$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rational point is  $\rho(p)$  (as above) for  $p \geq 3$  and for  $p = 2$  is  $\rho'(2) = F(2)/G(2) = 11887/12264$ .

## Local results C: binary quartics (2)

Our proof of Theorem C1 works only with the case of generalized binary quartics, and is completely uniform in  $p$ . At the end we deduce the “non-generalized” version by computing the proportion of generalized equations which can be put into the simple form (which is 1 for odd  $p$ ).

## Local results C: binary quartics (2)

Our proof of Theorem C1 works only with the case of generalized binary quartics, and is completely uniform in  $p$ . At the end we deduce the “non-generalized” version by computing the proportion of generalized equations which can be put into the simple form (which is 1 for odd  $p$ ).

Over  $\mathbb{R}$  we have not yet been able to derive an exact formula for  $\rho^D(\mathbb{R})$ , the probability that a random real quartic  $f$  is not negative definite (so that  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  has real solutions), for some distribution  $D$  on the space of all real binary quartics. A numerical approximation to this (for the uniform distribution) is between 0.872 and 0.875. However, it may be that (as for random real symmetric matrices) there is a better distribution to use than the uniform one, for which an exact expression can be obtained. Work in progress!

## Global results C: binary quartics

### Theorem (C2)

*When genus 1 curves of the form  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$ , with  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  homogeneous quartic, are ordered by the height of  $f$ , the proportion which are everywhere locally soluble is*

$$\rho(\mathbb{Q}) = \rho(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \frac{23087}{24529} \cdot \prod_{p \geq 3} \frac{F(p)}{G(p)} \approx 0.759.$$



## Remarks on higher genus hyperelliptic curves

We only have partial results so far for higher genus curves, given by equations  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  where  $f$  is homogeneous of degree  $2g + 2$ :

## Remarks on higher genus hyperelliptic curves

We only have partial results so far for higher genus curves, given by equations  $Z^2 = f(X, Y)$  where  $f$  is homogeneous of degree  $2g + 2$ :

- ▶ the local density  $\rho_g(p)$  is a rational function of  $p$  for all  $p \gg 0$ .
- ▶ we have upper and lower bounds for  $\rho_g$  which are quite close, and hope to deduce some limiting results as  $g \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ▶ an exact formula for  $\rho_2(p)$  is within reach; for small primes separate treatment is needed, since a smooth curve of genus  $g > 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  need not have any  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points! This does not happen when  $g = 1$ .

## Sketch of proof method (plane cubics) (1)

Let  $C \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y, Z]$  be a cubic form; its reduction  $\overline{C} \in \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y, Z]$  is one of  $p^{10} - 1$  possible forms over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (or 0), and we divide into cases, each of which must be counted precisely to give the probability of being in that case.

## Sketch of proof method (plane cubics) (1)

Let  $C \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y, Z]$  be a cubic form; its reduction  $\bar{C} \in \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y, Z]$  is one of  $p^{10} - 1$  possible forms over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (or 0), and we divide into cases, each of which must be counted precisely to give the probability of being in that case.

- ▶ if  $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  has a smooth point, it lifts and  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- ▶ if  $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \emptyset$ , then  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$ ;
- ▶ otherwise  $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  consists of one or more singular points, and we “blow up” these in a recursive fashion.

## Sketch of proof method (plane cubics) (1)

Let  $C \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y, Z]$  be a cubic form; its reduction  $\bar{C} \in \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y, Z]$  is one of  $p^{10} - 1$  possible forms over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (or 0), and we divide into cases, each of which must be counted precisely to give the probability of being in that case.

- ▶ if  $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  has a smooth point, it lifts and  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- ▶ if  $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \emptyset$ , then  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$ ;
- ▶ otherwise  $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  consists of one or more singular points, and we “blow up” these in a recursive fashion.

The only configuration for which we can conclude that  $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \emptyset$  is when  $\bar{C}$  is a product of 3 non-concurrent lines, defined and conjugate over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ .

## Sketch of proof method (plane cubics) (2)

The two configurations for which we must recurse are when  $\bar{C}$  is a product of 3 concurrent lines, defined and conjugate over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ , when the only  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -point is the intersection, which is singular; or a triple line  $C = L^3$  on which all  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points are singular.

## Sketch of proof method (plane cubics) (2)

The two configurations for which we must recurse are when  $\overline{C}$  is a product of 3 concurrent lines, defined and conjugate over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ , when the only  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -point is the intersection, which is singular; or a triple line  $C = L^3$  on which all  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points are singular.

For example, if  $\overline{C} = L^3$ , with loss  $C \equiv X^3$ , so any primitive point has  $X \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so we replace  $X$  by  $pX$ , divide by  $p$  and continue, dividing into cases as before (but the counts are not the same).

## Sketch of proof method (plane cubics) (2)

The two configurations for which we must recurse are when  $\bar{C}$  is a product of 3 concurrent lines, defined and conjugate over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , when the only  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -point is the intersection, which is singular; or a triple line  $C = L^3$  on which all  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points are singular.

For example, if  $\bar{C} = L^3$ , with loss  $C \equiv X^3$ , so any primitive point has  $X \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , so we replace  $X$  by  $pX$ , divide by  $p$  and continue, dividing into cases as before (but the counts are not the same).

After a finite number of steps we always return to a configuration seen before. This leads to a system of linear equations for the probabilities, which have a unique solution.

All the counts and conditional probabilities are rational functions of  $p$  (and all this generalises to unramified extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , simply replacing  $p$  by  $q$  in all formulae), and nowhere is the specific value of  $p$  relevant.