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#### Abstract

We evaluate the action of Hecke operators on Siegel Eisenstein series of arbitrary degree, level and character. For square-free level, we simultaneously diagonalize the space with respect to all the Hecke operators, computing the eigenvalues explicitly, and obtain a multiplicity-one result. For arbitrary level, we simultaneously diagonalize the space with respect to the Hecke operators attached to primes not dividing the level, again computing the eigenvalues explicitly.
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## 1. Introduction

Automorphic forms appear in almost every area of modern number theory; Eisenstein series are fundamental examples of automorphic forms. In the case of classical elliptic modular forms (i.e. holomorphic automorphic forms of integral weight), Eisenstein series are well-understood: For instance, the Fourier expansions of a "natural" basis of Eisenstein series have long been known; as well, it has long been known that the space of Eisenstein series of weight $k$, level $\mathcal{N}$ and character $\chi$ has a basis of simultaneous eigenforms for the Hecke operators $\{T(p): p$ prime, $p \nmid \mathcal{N}\}$, and for $\{T(p): p$ prime $\}$ when $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free (see, e.g., [9, Chap. IV]). The Fourier coefficients of these simultaneous eigenforms are (after appropriate normalization) the Hecke eigenvalues, and are doubly-twisted divisor functions; that is, the $m$ th Fourier coefficient of such a (normalized) form of weight $k$ is

$$
\sum_{d \mid m} \chi_{1}(d) \chi_{2}(m / d) d^{k-1}
$$

where $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ are Dirichlet characters, reflecting the fact that the Fourier coefficients of Hecke eigenforms carry number theoretic information.

In the case of Siegel Eisenstein series, our knowledge is much less complete (for instance, we have limited knowledge of Fourier coefficients for arbitrary degree, level, and character). However we do have analogues of some of the classical results regarding the action of Hecke operators. By studying the abstract Hecke algebra, Evdokimov [3, 4] and Freitag [5] showed that the space of Siegel modular forms of arbitrary level and character can be diagonalized with respect to the Hecke operators associated to primes not dividing the level. These results also show that the subspace of Siegel Eisenstein series is invariant under these Hecke operators. Further, in [5] Freitag computed some of the eigenvalues of Siegel Eisenstein series under the Hecke operator $T(p)^{m}$ where $p$ is a prime not dividing the level, and $m$ is a suitable power. Following his proof of the injectivity of the Hecke operator $T(p)$ when $p$ is a prime exactly dividing the level of a space of Siegel modular forms [1], in [2], Böcherer applied powers of $T(p)$ to the level 1 Siegel Eisenstein series, obtaining a basis for the space of Siegel Eisenstein series of level $p$ and trivial character, and thereby also obtaining Fourier expansions for this basis. In [12], for $p$ any prime, we applied an explicit set of matrices for $T(p), T_{1}\left(p^{2}\right), T_{2}\left(p^{2}\right)$ directly to a basis for the subspace of Siegel Eisenstein series of degree 2, square-free level, and arbitrary character; we then constructed a basis of simultaneous eigenforms and computed all their eigenvalues. Recently in [8], Klosin used adelic methods to compute the Hecke eigenvalues (for primes not dividing the level) on the space of Hermitian forms on $U(2,2)$.

In the current paper, we extend the techniques of [12] to allow arbitrary degree $n$, level $\mathcal{N}$, and character $\chi$ modulo $\mathcal{N}$. In Sec. 3, for each $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we define a Eisenstein series with character $\chi$ corresponding to the $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$-orbit of $\Gamma_{\infty} \gamma$. We identify necessary conditions for one of these series to be non-zero, and in the case that $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, we show that these conditions are also sufficient (Proposition 3.6). Next we consider square-free level $\mathcal{N}$ and arbitrary character $\chi$ modulo $\mathcal{N}$. We subscript each element of our basis for this space of Eisenstein series by some $\sigma=\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{n}\right)$ where $\mathcal{N}_{0} \cdots \mathcal{N}_{n}=\mathcal{N}$. Using an explicit set of matrices giving the action of $T(q)$ where $q$ is a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$, we directly evaluate the action of $T(q)$ on each basis element $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$, computing precisely the coefficients in the linear combination of Eisenstein series that is equal to $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T(q)$ (Theorem 4.1). This allows us to show that we can (algorithmically) diagonalize the space of Eisenstein series with respect to $\{T(q): q$ prime, $q \mid \mathcal{N}\}$, obtaining a new basis $\left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma}$ for the space. With $\sigma=\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{n}\right)$ and $q$ a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}_{d}$, we show that

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T(q)=\lambda_{\sigma}(q) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \quad \text { with }\left|\lambda_{\sigma}(q)\right|=q^{k d-d(d+1) / 2}
$$

(Corollary 4.3; note that this recovers a result from [2] in the case that $\mathcal{N}$ is prime and $\chi$ is trivial). Since we must have $k>n+1$ for absolute convergence of the Eisenstein series, this shows we have "multiplicity-one"; that is, for $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \neq \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\rho}$, there is some prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ so that $\lambda_{\sigma}(q) \neq \lambda_{\rho}(q)$. Following this, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we directly evaluate the action of $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$ on the original basis elements $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ where we still assume
that $q$ is a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$ (Theorem 4.4). We then compute the $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$-eigenvalues for each of the elements in the diagonalized basis (Corollary 4.5).

In Sec. 5, we consider Eisenstein series of arbitrary level $\mathcal{N}$ and arbitrary character $\chi$, and we directly evaluate the action of $T(p), T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)$ for primes $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$ so that we can explicitly construct a basis of simultaneous eigenforms for these Hecke operators. To help us diagonalize the space with respect to these operators, we introduce a group action of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ on the space of Eisenstein series where $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}=(\mathbb{Z} / \mathcal{N} \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ (Proposition 5.1). Then we use characters $\psi$ on this group to average Eisenstein series relative to this group action; by orthogonality of characters, this yields a basis $\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}\right\}$ for the space of Eisenstein series, where $\sigma$ indexes our natural basis. In Corollary 5.3 we show that for any prime $p \nmid \mathcal{N}, \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T(p)=\lambda_{\sigma, \psi}(p) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$ where

$$
\lambda_{\sigma, \psi}(p)=\psi_{1}(p) \bar{\psi}_{2}\left(p^{n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{1} \chi(p) p^{k-i}+1\right)
$$

here $\psi(v, w)=\psi_{1}(v) \psi_{2}(w)$. In Theorem 5.4 we evaluate the action of $T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)$ on the natural basis. Theorems 5.2 and 5.4 show that the Hecke operators commute with the group action of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ on the space of Eisenstein series; we let $R(w)$ be the operator corresponding to the action of the group element $(1, w)$. Then to obtain more attractive eigenvalues, we introduce operators $T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)$ so that the algebra generated by

$$
\left\{T(p), T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right), R(p): \text { prime } p \nmid \mathcal{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

is the algebra generated by $\left\{T(p), T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right), R(p)\right.$ : prime $\left.p \nmid \mathcal{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$, and in Corollary 5.5, we show $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$ where

$$
\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n, j) p^{(k-n) j+j(j-1) / 2} \chi\left(p^{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{j}\left(\psi_{2} \chi(p) p^{k-i}+1\right)
$$

(here $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n, j)$ is the number of $j$-dimensional subspaces of an $n$-dimensional space over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$. When $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, we show $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}=0$ unless $\psi_{1}=\prod_{0<d \leq n} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}^{d}$ and $\psi_{2}=\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}^{2}\left(\right.$ where $\left.\sigma=\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{n}\right)\right)$, and then with such $\psi, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T(p)=\lambda_{\sigma, \psi}(p) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ (where $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ is as in Corollary 4.3).

Note that when $\chi^{2}=1, T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)$ is the operator introduced in [10] and again in [11] so that $\theta^{(n)}(\operatorname{gen} L) \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \theta^{(n)}($ gen $L)$ where $\theta^{(n)}($ gen $L)$ is the averaged ("genus") theta series attached to the genus of the lattice $L$, which is equipped with a positive definite quadratic form.

As all the arguments herein are valid when considering non-holomorphic Eisenstein series in the variables $\tau$ and $s$ (defined in Sec. 3), the results extend immediately to include these forms (with $k$ replaced by $k+s$ in the formulas).

## 2. Notation and Hecke Operators

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the group of $2 n \times 2 n$ integral, symplectic matrices; we often write these in block form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$ where $A, B, C, D$ are $n \times n$ matrices.

Subgroups of importance to us include

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\infty} & =\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} & =\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}): \operatorname{det} A=1\right\}, \\
\Gamma(\mathcal{N}) & =\left\{\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}): \gamma \equiv I(\mathcal{N})\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) & =\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}): C \equiv 0(\mathcal{N})\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

here $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. It is well known that for $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}* & * \\ M & N\end{array}\right), \gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}* \\ M^{\prime} & * \\ N^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \gamma$ if and only if $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$. Suppose $\left(\begin{array}{ll}K & L \\ M & N\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$; then $(M N)$ is a coprime symmetric pair, meaning that $M, N$ are integral, $M^{t} N$ is symmetric, and for every prime $p, \operatorname{rank}_{p}(M N)=n$, where $\operatorname{rank}_{p}$ denotes the rank over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, given any coprime symmetric pair of $n \times n$ matrices $(M N)$, there exists some $\left(\begin{array}{ll}K & L \\ M & N\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. We often write $(M, N)=1$ to denote that a pair of integral matrices $(M N)$ is coprime.

Degree $n>1$ Siegel modular forms have as their domain

$$
\mathcal{H}_{n}=\left\{X+i Y: X, Y \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n, n}, Y>0\right\}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n, n}$ denotes the set of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{R}$, and $Y>0$ means that the quadratic form represented by $Y$ is positive definite. For $n, k, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $\chi$ a Dirichlet character modulo $\mathcal{N}$, a Siegel modular form of degree $n$, weight $k$, level $\mathcal{N}$, character $\chi$ is a holomorphic function $f: \mathcal{H}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (holomorphic in all variables of $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$ ) so that for all $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, we have

$$
f\left((A \tau+B)(C \tau+D)^{-1}\right)=\chi(\operatorname{det} D) \operatorname{det}(C \tau+D)^{k} f(\tau)
$$

(Note that this generalizes the definition of a classical modular form, except in that case, where $n=1$, we also require

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow i \infty}(c \tau+d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)<\infty
$$

for all $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$.) We use $\mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ to denote the space of all such forms.
To define the Hecke operators, fix a prime $p$. Set $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and take $f \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$. We define

$$
f\left|T(p)=p^{n(k-n-1) / 2} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\chi}(\gamma) f\right| \delta^{-1} \gamma,
$$

where $\delta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p I_{n} & \\ & I_{n}\end{array}\right), \gamma$ varies over

$$
\left(\delta \Gamma \delta^{-1} \cap \Gamma\right) \backslash \Gamma,
$$

and for $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$,

$$
f(\tau) \mid \gamma^{\prime}=\left(\operatorname{det} \gamma^{\prime}\right)^{k / 2} \operatorname{det}(C \tau+D)^{-k} f\left((A \tau+B)(C \tau+D)^{-1}\right)
$$

We define

$$
f\left|T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)=p^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{\gamma} \bar{\chi}(\gamma) f\right| \delta_{j}^{-1} \gamma
$$

where $\delta_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X_{j} & \\ & X_{j}^{-1}\end{array}\right), X_{j}=X_{j}(p)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}{ }^{2 I_{j}} & \\ & I_{n-j}\end{array}\right)$, and $\gamma$ varies over

$$
\left(\delta_{j} \Gamma \delta_{j}^{-1} \cap \Gamma\right) \backslash \Gamma
$$

To help us describe a set of matrices giving the action of each Hecke operator, we fix the following notation. For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that $r+s \leq n$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{r, s}=X_{r, s}(p)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
p I_{r} & & \\
& I & \\
& & \\
& & \frac{1}{p} I_{s}
\end{array}\right)(n \times n), \\
& \mathcal{K}_{r, s}=\mathcal{K}_{r, s}(p)=X_{r, s} \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) X_{r, s}^{-1} \cap \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { set } X_{r}=X_{r, 0}, \mathcal{K}_{r}=\mathcal{K}_{r, 0}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Let $p$ be a prime, $f \in \mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$.
(a) We have

$$
f\left|T(p)=p^{n(k-n-1) / 2} \sum_{0 \leq r \leq n} \chi\left(p^{n-r}\right) \sum_{G, Y} f\right|\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{r}^{-1} & \\
& \frac{1}{p} X_{r}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G^{-1} & Y^{t} G \\
& { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right)
$$

where, for each $r, G$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{r}(p)$ and $Y$ varies over

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{r}(p)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Y_{0} & \\
& 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n, n}: Y_{0} \quad r \times r, \text { varying modulo } p\right\}
$$

(Here $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n, n}$ denotes the set of integral, symmetric $n \times n$ matrices.)
(b) For $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \mid T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)= & p^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{n_{0}+n_{2} \leq j} \chi\left(p^{j-n_{0}+n_{2}}\right) \sum_{G, Y} f \left\lvert\,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} & \\
& X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G^{-1} & Y^{t} G \\
& { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, for each pair $n_{0}, n_{2}, G=G_{1} G_{2}$, where $G_{1}$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}(p)$,

$$
G_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
I_{n_{0}} & & \\
& G^{\prime} & \\
& & I_{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $G^{\prime}$ varying over $\mathrm{SL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z}) /{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}(p)$ where $n^{\prime}=n-n_{0}-n_{2}, j^{\prime}=j-n_{0}-n_{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{K}_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p I_{j^{\prime}} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{SL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z})\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{p} I_{j^{\prime}} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

and $Y$ varies over $\mathcal{Y}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}\left(p^{2}\right)$, the set of all integral, symmetric $n \times n$ matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{0} & Y_{2} & Y_{3} & 0 \\
{ }^{t} Y_{2} & Y_{1} / p & 0 & \\
{ }^{t} Y_{3} & 0 & & \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $Y_{0} n_{0} \times n_{0}$, varying modulo $p^{2}, Y_{1} j^{\prime} \times j^{\prime}$, varying modulo $p$ provided $p \nmid \operatorname{det} Y_{1}$, and $Y_{2}, Y_{3}$ varying modulo $p$ with $Y_{3} n_{0} \times n_{2}$.

Proof. Fix $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} x_{n}$ (a reference lattice).
By Lemma 6.2, as $G$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{r}, \Omega=\Lambda G X_{r}$ varies over all lattices $\Omega, p \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \Lambda$ with $[\Lambda: \Omega]=p^{r}$. Thus by Proposition 3.1 in [7] and (the proof of) Theorem 6.1 in [7], claim (1) of the proposition follows.

For $\Omega$ another lattice on $\mathbb{Q} \Lambda$, let mult ${ }_{\{\Lambda: \Omega\}}(x)$ be the multiplicity of the value of $x$ among the invariant factors $\{\Lambda: \Omega\}$. By Lemma 6.3 , as $G_{1}$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}(p), \Omega=\Lambda G_{1} X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}$ varies over all lattices $\Omega, p \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \frac{1}{p} \Lambda$, with $\operatorname{mult}_{\{\Lambda: \Omega\}}(1 / p)=n_{2}, \operatorname{mult}_{\{\Lambda: \Omega\}}(p)=n_{0}$. Then with $\Omega=\Omega_{0} \oplus \Omega_{1} \oplus \Omega_{2}$, $\Lambda=\frac{1}{p} \Omega \oplus \Omega_{1} \oplus p \Omega_{2}$, as $G^{\prime}$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z}) /{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}(p)$,

$$
\Omega_{1} G^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{j^{\prime}} & \\
& 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { modulo } p
$$

varies over all dimension $j^{\prime}$ subspaces of $\Omega_{1} / p \Omega_{1}$. Thus by Proposition 2.1 in [7] and (the proofs of) Theorems 4.1 and 6.1 in [7], claim (2) of the proposition follows.

Remark. For $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$so that $p \nmid \mathcal{N}^{\prime}$, by Lemma 6.1 we can choose $G$ in the above proposition so that $G \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$, and since $\mathcal{N}^{\prime} Y$ will vary over a set of representatives for $\mathcal{Y}_{r}(p)$ or $\mathcal{Y}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}\left(p^{2}\right)$ as $Y$ does, we can choose $Y$ in the above proposition so that $Y \equiv 0\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Also, when $p \mid \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$
f\left|T(p)=p^{n(k-n-1) / 2} \sum_{Y} f\right|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{p} I_{n} & \frac{1}{p} Y \\
& I_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $Y$ varies over $\mathcal{Y}_{n}(p)$, and

$$
f\left|T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)=p^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{G, Y} f\right|\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{j}^{-1} & \\
& X_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G^{-1} & Y^{t} G \\
& { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $G$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}(p)$ and $Y$ varies over $\mathcal{Y}_{j, 0}\left(p^{2}\right)$.
To describe the Hecke eigenvalues, we make use of the following elementary functions: Fix $m \geq 0$. With $r>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\delta}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\delta}_{p}(m, r)=\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}\left(p^{m-i}+1\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}(m, r)=\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}\left(p^{m-i}-1\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\mu}(m, r) / \boldsymbol{\mu}(r, r)
\end{aligned}
$$

(note that $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(m, r)$ is the number of $r$-dimensional subspaces of an $m$-dimensional space over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$. Take $\boldsymbol{\delta}(m, 0)=\boldsymbol{\mu}(m, 0)=1$. For $r<0$, we take $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)=0$. As well, we will use the following functions: With $p$ prime, $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, and $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, let $\operatorname{sym}_{p}(t)$ be the number of invertible matrices in $\mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}$, the set of symmetric $t \times t$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$. More generally, let $\chi$ be a character of square-free modulus $\mathcal{N}$, with $p \mid \mathcal{N}$; set

$$
\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(t)=\sum_{U \in \mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}} \chi_{p}(\operatorname{det} U),
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(t-s, s)=\sum_{U} \chi_{p}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
U_{1} & U_{2} \\
{ }^{t} U_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

where $U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}U_{1} & U_{2} \\ { }_{t} U_{2} & 0\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{t, t}$ with $U_{1}$ of size $(t-s) \times(t-s)\left(\operatorname{so~}_{\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}}(t, 0)=\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(t)\right)$. Note that as $U$ varies over invertible matrices in $\mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}$, so does $\bar{U}$ (where $U \bar{U}=I$ in $\mathbb{F}^{t, t}$ ), we have $\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\bar{\chi}}(t)=\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(t) ; \operatorname{similarly}, \operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\bar{\chi}}(t-s, s)=\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(t-s, s)$. Also, take $\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(0)=\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(0,0)=1$. Although we will not use the precise values of these functions in this work, one can use the theory of quadratic forms over finite fields to show that for $p$ odd and $\varepsilon=\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{sym}_{p}^{\chi}(b, c)= \begin{cases}\frac{p^{m^{2}+m-c} \boldsymbol{\mu}(b, b)}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m-c, m-c)} & \text { if } b+c=2 m \text { and } \chi_{p}=1, \\ \frac{\varepsilon^{m} p^{m^{2}} \boldsymbol{\mu}(b, b)}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m-c, m-c)} & \text { if } b+c=2 m, \chi_{p}^{2}=1, \text { and } \chi_{p} \neq 1, \\ \frac{p^{m^{2}+m} \boldsymbol{\mu}(b, b)}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m-c, m-c)} & \text { if } b+c=2 m+1 \text { and } \chi_{p}=1, \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and for $p=2$,
$\operatorname{sym}_{2}^{\chi}(b, c)= \begin{cases}\frac{2^{m(m+1)} \boldsymbol{\mu}(b, b)}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m-c, m-c)} & \text { if } b+c=2 m+1, \\ \frac{2^{m(m+1)} \boldsymbol{\mu}(b, b)}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m-1, m-1)}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(2 m-1,2 c)}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m-1, c)}+\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}(m, c)\right) & \text { if } b+c=2 m .\end{cases}$
$\left(\right.$ Here $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p}, \boldsymbol{\delta}=\boldsymbol{\delta}_{p}$.)
For $p$ prime, $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n, m}$, we write $\operatorname{rank}_{p} M$ to denote the rank of $M$ over $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$; we will also refer to this rank as the $p$-rank of $M$.

Recall that for $\chi$ a character modulo $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$ so that $\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$, we know that $\chi$ factors uniquely as $\chi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime}} \chi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}}$ where $\chi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime}}$ is a character modulo $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}}$ is a character modulo $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}$.

In what follows, we will sometimes use the matrices $G_{ \pm}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & \\ & I_{n-1}\end{array}\right)$ and $\gamma_{ \pm}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{lll}G_{ \pm} & \\ & & G_{ \pm}\end{array}\right)$.

## 3. Defining Siegel Eisenstein Series

Fix $k, n, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, \chi$ a character modulo $\mathcal{N}$. To define Eisenstein series for $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ with $k$ even, one can begin by defining a $\Gamma(\mathcal{N})$-Eisenstein series

$$
\sum_{\delta^{*}} 1(\tau) \mid \delta^{*} \text { where } 1(\tau) \left\lvert\,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}(C \tau+D)^{-k}\right.
$$

and $\delta^{*}$ varies so that $\Gamma_{\infty} \Gamma(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\delta^{*}} \Gamma_{\infty} \delta^{*}$ (disjoint); then for $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, one can consider

$$
\sum_{\delta^{*}, \delta} \bar{\chi}(\delta) 1(\tau) \mid \delta^{*} \gamma \delta,
$$

where $\delta$ varies so that $\Gamma_{\infty} \gamma \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\delta} \Gamma_{\infty} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma \delta$ (disjoint). However, when $k$ is odd, these sums are not well defined, since with $\gamma_{ \pm}$as defined in Sec. 2, we have $\gamma_{ \pm} \in \Gamma_{\infty}$ and $1(\tau)\left|\gamma_{ \pm} \delta^{*}=(-1)^{k} 1(\tau)\right| \delta^{*}$ for any $\delta^{*} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Further, for $k$ even or odd, the latter sum is not well defined unless $\chi$ is trivial on any matrix in $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ that stabilizes $\Gamma_{\infty} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma$. Thus we proceed as follows.

Let $\delta^{*} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ vary so that

$$
\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\delta^{*}} \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \delta^{*}(\text { disjoint })
$$

and set

$$
\mathbb{E}^{*}(\tau)=\sum_{\delta^{*}} 1(\tau) \mid \delta^{*}
$$

Since $1(\tau)\left|\delta \delta^{*}=1(\tau)\right| \delta^{*}$ for $\delta \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{+}, \mathbb{E}^{*}$ is well defined. Further, provided $k>$ $n+1, \mathbb{E}^{*}(\tau)$ converges absolutely uniformly on subsets $\left\{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_{n}: \Im \tau \geq Y\right\}$ for any
$Y \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{n, n}$ with $Y>0$, and so $\mathbb{E}^{*}$ is analytic (in all variables of $\tau$ ). So suppose $k>n+1$. Now take $\beta \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that

$$
\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\beta} \Gamma_{\infty} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \beta(\text { disjoint })
$$

and for $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, set

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}(\beta) \mathbb{E}^{*}\left|\gamma \beta+\sum_{\beta} \bar{\chi}\left(\gamma_{ \pm} \beta\right) \mathbb{E}^{*}\right| \gamma_{ \pm} \gamma \beta
$$

(where $\gamma_{ \pm}$is as defined in Sec. 2). Note that

$$
\Gamma_{\infty} \gamma \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\beta}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma \beta \cup \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma_{ \pm} \gamma \beta\right)
$$

Let

$$
\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}=\left\{\delta^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}): \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma \delta^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma\right\}
$$

denote the subgroup of $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ that stabilizes $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma$. Thus with $\delta$ varying over $\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}), \delta^{\prime}$ over $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \backslash \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$, and noting that $\mathbb{E}^{*} \mid \gamma_{ \pm}=(-1)^{k} \mathbb{E}^{*}$, we find

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=\left(1+\chi(-1)(-1)^{k}\right) \sum_{\delta^{\prime}, \delta} \bar{\chi}\left(\delta^{\prime} \delta\right) \mathbb{E}^{*} \mid \gamma \delta^{\prime} \delta
$$

Since $\delta^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+} v$ we have $\gamma \delta^{\prime} \gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, so $\mathbb{E}^{*}\left|\gamma \delta^{\prime}=\mathbb{E}^{*}\right| \gamma$. Hence

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=\left(1+\chi(-1)(-1)^{k}\right) \sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \bar{\chi}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \sum_{\delta} \bar{\chi}(\delta) \mathbb{E}^{*} \mid \gamma \delta .
$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=0$ if $\chi(-1) \neq(-1)^{k}$, or if $\chi$ is not trivial on $\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$. Also note that when $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$, we have $\gamma_{ \pm} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ and hence $\mathbb{E}^{*}=\mathbb{E}^{*} \mid \gamma_{ \pm}=(-1)^{k} \mathbb{E}^{*} ;$ so $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=0$ if $\mathcal{N} \leq 2$ and $k$ is odd.

Suppose $\mathcal{N}>2$ or $k$ is even; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow i \infty I} \mathbb{E}^{*}(\tau) & =\#\left\{\delta^{*} \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}): \delta^{*} \in \Gamma_{\infty}\right\} \\
& = \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } \mathcal{N} \leq 2 \\
1 & \text { if } \mathcal{N}>2\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{2\left[\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}: \Gamma(\mathcal{N})\right]} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}
$$

Suppose $\chi(-1)=(-1)^{k}$, $\chi$ is trivial on $\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$, and suppose still that either $\mathcal{N}>2$ or $k$ is even; we show that $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \neq 0$. We have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}(\tau)=\sum_{\delta^{*}, \delta} \bar{\chi}(\delta) 1(\tau) \mid \delta^{*} \gamma \delta
$$

where $\delta^{*}$ varies over $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ and $\delta$ varies over $\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. We have $\delta^{*} \gamma \delta \gamma^{-1} \in$ $\Gamma_{\infty}$ only if $\Gamma_{\infty} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma \delta=\Gamma_{\infty} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma$, and since $\Gamma_{\infty}=\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \cup \gamma_{ \pm} \Gamma_{\infty}^{+}$, we have
$\delta^{*} \gamma \delta \gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_{\infty}$ only if $\delta \in \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$or $\delta \in \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+} \gamma^{-1} \gamma_{ \pm} \gamma$. If $\delta \in \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$then $\mathbb{E}^{*} \mid \gamma \delta \gamma^{-1}=\mathbb{E}^{*}$ and by assumption $\chi(\delta)=1$. If $\delta=\beta \gamma^{-1} \gamma_{ \pm} \gamma^{-1}$ for some $\beta \in \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$, then with our assumptions,

$$
\bar{\chi}(\delta) \mathbb{E}^{*}\left|\gamma \delta \gamma^{-1}=\bar{\chi}\left(\gamma_{ \pm}\right) \mathbb{E}^{*}\right| \gamma_{ \pm}=\mathbb{E}^{*}
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow i \infty I} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}(\tau) \mid \gamma^{-1}=\#\left\{\delta^{*}, \delta: \delta^{*} \gamma \delta \gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_{\infty}\right\}
$$

(where $\delta^{*}$ varies over $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}), \delta$ varies over $\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ ), and this number is at least 1. Hence $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \neq 0$. Noting that $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma \pm \gamma}^{\prime}=(-1)^{k} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}$, as $\gamma_{\sigma}$ varies over a set of representatives for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, the non-zero $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent. Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. For $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}$ be as defined above.
(a) We have $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \neq 0$ if and only if (1) $\chi(-1)=(-1)^{k}$, (2) $\chi$ is trivial on $\Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}$, and (3) either $\mathcal{N}>2$ or $k$ is even.
(b) When $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \neq 0$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}(\tau)=\sum_{\delta} \bar{\chi}(\delta) 1(\tau) \mid \gamma \delta$ where $\delta \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ varies so that $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \gamma \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\delta} \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \gamma \delta$ (disjoint); equivalently, with $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}(\tau)=\sum_{(M N)} \bar{\chi}(M, N) \operatorname{det}(M \tau+N)^{-k}
$$

where $(M N)$ are coprime symmetric pairs varying so that

$$
\left.\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(C D) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{(M N)} \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \text { (disjoint }\right)
$$

and $\chi(M, N)=\chi(\delta)$ for $\delta \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(Z)(C D) \delta$.
(c) With $\gamma_{\sigma}$ varying over a set of representatives for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, the nonzero $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}$ form a basis for $\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$, the space of Eisenstein series of degree $n$, weight $k$, level $\mathcal{N}$, and character $\chi$.

Remark. (1) Having fixed representatives $\left\{\gamma_{\sigma}\right\}$ for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, we consider $\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}\right\}$ to be a "natural" basis for $\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$.
(2) For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $k+\Re s>n+1$, we can define a non-holomorphic Eisenstein series by replacing $\operatorname{det}(M \tau+N)^{-k}$ by

$$
\operatorname{det}(M \tau+N)^{-k}|\operatorname{det}(M \tau+N)|^{-s} .
$$

Then all the arguments and results herein are trivially modified to extend to these non-holomorphic forms.

The next three propositions describe some useful relations when working with Eisenstein series; then for $\mathcal{N}$ square-free, we describe a convenient set of representatives for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and how to evaluate $\chi(M, N)$.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\delta \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma^{\prime}=$ $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \gamma \delta$. Then $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma^{\prime}}=\chi(\delta) \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}$.

Proof. We have $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=2 \sum_{h} \bar{\chi}\left(\beta_{h}\right) \mathbb{E}^{*} \mid \gamma \beta_{h}$ where $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{h} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \beta_{h}$ (disjoint). Thus $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\delta \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{h} \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) \delta \beta_{h}$ (recall that $\Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ is a normal subgroup of $\left.\operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$; since $\left[\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}): \Gamma(\mathcal{N})\right]<\infty$, this last union must be disjoint. Thus

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}^{\prime}=2 \sum_{h} \bar{\chi}\left(\delta \beta_{h}\right) \mathbb{E}^{*}\left|\gamma \delta \beta_{h}=2 \bar{\chi}(\delta) \sum_{h} \bar{\chi}\left(\beta_{h}\right) \mathbb{E}^{*}\right| \gamma^{\prime} \beta_{h}=\bar{\chi}(\delta) \mathbb{E}_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

Since $\Gamma_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{+}=\delta \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+} \delta^{-1}$, we have $\left[\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}): \Gamma_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{+}\right]=\left[\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}): \Gamma_{\gamma}^{+}\right]$and so the proposition follows.

Proposition 3.3. Fix $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $(M I)$, $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)$, ( $\left.M^{\prime \prime} I\right)$ are coprime symmetric pairs so that $\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \equiv\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)(\mathcal{N})$ and $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M I) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Then $\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \in\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ and hence $\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M I) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. Since $\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $N^{\prime} \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$, we have $\left(\mathcal{N} M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=1$. Thus there is some $\left(\begin{array}{ll}K^{\prime} & L^{\prime} \\ M^{\prime} & N^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $L^{\prime} \equiv 0(\mathcal{N})$, and hence $K^{\prime} \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$. Set

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K^{\prime} & L^{\prime} \\
M^{\prime} & N^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
M^{\prime \prime} & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

thus $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ and $\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right)=\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M I) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$.
Proposition 3.4. For $\gamma \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, there exists some $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ M^{\prime \prime} & I\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \gamma^{\prime \prime} \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Equivalently, for $(M N)$ a coprime symmetric pair, there is some symmetric $M^{\prime \prime}$ so that

$$
(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

Proof. Given $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}* & * \\ M & N\end{array}\right), \gamma^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & \\ M^{\prime \prime} & I \\ I\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, recall that we have $\gamma \in$ $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \gamma^{\prime \prime} \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if $\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. By Proposition 3.3, it suffices to show that there is some $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that $N^{\prime} \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$; we proceed algorithmically.

Fix a prime $q$ dividing $\mathcal{N}$ and take $t$ so that $q^{t} \| \mathcal{N}$. Using Lemma 6.1, we can choose $E_{0}, G_{0} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E_{0}, G_{0} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N} / q^{t}\right)$ and $E_{0} N^{t} G_{0}^{-1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}N_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(q^{t}\right)$ where $N_{1}$ is $d \times d$ and invertible modulo $q$ (so $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} N$ ). We can adjust $E_{0}, G_{0}$ so that $N_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & \\ & I\end{array}\right)\left(q^{t}\right)$, for some $a$. Similarly, we can choose $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & v \\ w & x\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}u & v \\ w & x\end{array}\right) \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N} / q^{t}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}u & v \\ w & x\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a}{a}\end{array}\right)\left(q^{t}\right)\left(\right.$ where $\left.a \bar{a} \equiv 1\left(q^{t}\right)\right)$. Then

$$
\gamma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u & & v \\
& I_{n-1} & \\
w & & x \\
& & I_{n-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

and $E_{0}(M N)\left(\begin{array}{cc}G_{0} & \\ & { }^{t} G_{0}^{-1}\end{array}\right) \gamma_{0} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}M_{1} & M_{2} \\ M_{3} & M_{4}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & 0\end{array}\right)\left(q^{t}\right)$ with $M_{1} d \times d$. By the symmetry of $M^{t} N, M_{3} \equiv 0\left(q^{t}\right)$; since $(M, N)=1, M_{4}$ is invertible modulo $q$. Thus using

Lemma 6.1 we can find $E_{1}^{\prime}, G_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n-d}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E_{1}^{\prime}, G_{1}^{\prime} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N} / q^{t}\right)$,

$$
M_{4}^{\prime}=E_{1}^{\prime} M_{4} G_{1}^{\prime} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & \\
& a^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(q^{t}\right), \text { some } a^{\prime} .
$$

Take $E_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & E_{1}^{\prime}\end{array}\right), G_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & G_{1}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$. Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can choose $W^{\prime}$ so that $W^{\prime} \equiv 0\left(\mathcal{N} / q^{t}\right)$ and $W^{\prime} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{n-d-1} & \bar{a}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)\left(q^{t}\right)$ where $a^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} \equiv 1\left(q^{t}\right)$; set $W=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0_{d} & \\ & W^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$. Then with

$$
(C D)=E_{1} E_{0}(M N)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{0} & \\
& \\
& { }^{t} G_{0}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \gamma_{0}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G_{1} & \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
\hline
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & W \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have $(C D) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}),(C D) \equiv(M N)\left(\mathcal{N} / q^{t}\right)$, and $D \equiv I\left(q^{t}\right)$.
Next, suppose $p$ is another prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$ with $p^{r} \| \mathcal{N}$. Applying the above process to the pair $(C D)$, we obtain a pair $\left(C^{\prime} D^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ with $\left(C^{\prime} D^{\prime}\right) \equiv(M N)\left(\mathcal{N} /\left(q^{t} p^{r}\right)\right)$ and $D^{\prime} \equiv I\left(q^{t} p^{r}\right)$. Continuing, we obtain $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ with $N^{\prime} \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$. Applying Proposition 3.3 completes the proof.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(M N)$ be a coprime symmetric pair. There is some symmetric matrix $M_{\sigma}$ so that $(M N) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and for each prime $q$ with $q \| \mathcal{N}$, we have $M_{\sigma} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$ where $d=d(q)=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M$. Thus when $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free and $M_{\sigma}$ is as above, we have $(M N) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$ for all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}$. Further, with $\mathcal{N}$ square-free, we can take $M_{\sigma}$ diagonal, and we have

$$
\operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) .
$$

Proof. First note that if $(M N),\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)$ are coprime symmetric pairs with $(M N) \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, then $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$ for all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}$, since elements of $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ are of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$ with $C \equiv 0(\mathcal{N})$ and thus $A$ invertible modulo $\mathcal{N}$.

From Proposition 3.4, we know that there is a symmetric matrix $M^{\prime \prime}$ so that $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Suppose $q$ is prime with $q \| \mathcal{N}$; let $d=d(q)=$ $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M^{\prime \prime}$. If $d=0$ then set $E_{q}=I_{n}$ and $\gamma_{q}=I_{2 n}$. Otherwise, using Lemma 6.1, we can choose $E_{q} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E_{q} \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$ and $E_{q} M^{\prime \prime t} E_{q} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & & \\ & I_{d-1} & \\ & & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$; choose $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
w & x \\
y & z
\end{array}\right) \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
w & x \\
y & z
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{a} & \bar{a}-1 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right)(q)
$$

where $a \bar{a} \equiv 1(q)$, and set

$$
\gamma_{q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{t} E_{q} & \\
& E_{q}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w & & & x \\
& I_{n-1} & & 0 \\
y & & z & \\
& 0 & & I_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Set $E=\prod_{q \| \mathcal{N}} E_{q}, \gamma=\prod_{q \| \mathcal{N}} \gamma_{q}$. Thus $E \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$; set $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)=$ $E\left(M^{\prime \prime} I\right) \gamma$. So $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)(\mathcal{N})$ for some symmetric $M_{\sigma}$ with $M_{\sigma} \equiv$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d(q)} & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$ for all primes $q \| \mathcal{N}$. Then by Proposition 3.3, $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)$ $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$.

Suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free; then we can use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to choose $M_{\sigma}$ diagonal with $M_{\sigma} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d(q)} & \\ & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$ for each prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma_{ \pm} \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) \cup \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) G_{ \pm}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the proposition.
Using Proposition 3.5, we fix a set of representatives $\left\{\gamma_{\sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ M_{\sigma} & I\end{array}\right)\right\}_{\sigma}$ for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that when $q$ is a prime with $q \| \mathcal{N}$, we have $M_{\sigma} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & { }_{0}\end{array}\right)(q)$ for some $d=d(q)$, and when $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, $M_{\sigma}$ is diagonal. Let $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ denote $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}$.

Proposition 3.6. Suppose that $\chi(-1)=(-1)^{k}$, and either $\mathcal{N}>2$ or $k$ is even.
(1) Suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$ and $q$ is prime so that $q \| \mathcal{N}$; let $d=d(q)=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$. If $0<d<n$ then $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$.
(2) Suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free. Then $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$ for all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ so that $0<\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}<n$.

Proof. (1) Suppose we have a prime $q \| \mathcal{N}$ with $0<d<n$ where $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$. Choose $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $q \nmid u$, and (using Lemma 6.1) choose $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}u & \bar{u}\end{array}\right)(q)$ where $u \bar{u} \equiv 1(q)$. Set $E=\left(\begin{array}{lll}w & & x \\ & I_{n-2} & z \\ y & & z\end{array}\right)$,

Thus $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \delta \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, and $E\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \delta \equiv\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)(\mathcal{N})$. So $\delta \in \Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$, and thus $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}=\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid \delta$. We also have $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid \delta=\chi_{q}\left(u^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$, this means $\chi_{q}^{2}(u)=1$, and this holds for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $q \nmid u$. Hence $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$.
(2) Now suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, and that for each prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ with $0<$ $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}<n$, we have $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$. To show $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$, we need to show $\chi$ is trivial
on $\Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$. To do this, we show that for all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}, \chi_{q}$ is trivial on $\Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$. So take $\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$. Thus there exist $\delta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}E^{E^{-1}} & W E \\ & E\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{\infty}^{+}, \beta^{\prime} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ so that $\delta \beta^{\prime} \gamma_{\sigma} \beta=\gamma_{\sigma}$. Thus $E\left(M_{\sigma} A M_{\sigma} B+D\right) \equiv\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)(\mathcal{N})$. Fix a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$, and set $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$.

When $d=0$, we have $E D \equiv I(q)$, so $\operatorname{det} D \equiv \operatorname{det} \bar{E} \equiv 1(q)$ and $\chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} D)=1$. When $d=n$, we have $E A \equiv I \equiv A^{t} D(q)$, so $\operatorname{det} D \equiv \operatorname{det} E \equiv 1(q)$ and $\chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} D)=1$.

Now suppose $0<d<n$. Write

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1} & A_{2} \\
A_{3} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad D=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
D_{1} & D_{2} \\
D_{3} & D_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E_{1} & E_{2} \\
E_{3} & E_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1}, D_{1}, E_{1}$ are $d \times d$. Since $E M_{\sigma} A \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{d} & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$, we have $E_{3}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right) \equiv 0(q)$. Since $A$ is invertible modulo $q$, the rows of $\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)$ are linearly independent modulo $q$, and hence we must have $E_{3} \equiv 0(q), \operatorname{rank}_{q} E_{1}=d$, $\operatorname{rank}_{q} E_{4}=n-d$, and

$$
1=\operatorname{det} E \equiv \operatorname{det} E_{1} \cdot \operatorname{det} E_{4}(q)
$$

Also, since

$$
E_{1}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right) \equiv\left(I_{d} 0\right)(q), \quad E_{4}\left(D_{3} D_{4}\right) \equiv\left(0 I_{n-d}\right)(q),
$$

we have $A_{2}, D_{3} \equiv 0(q), A_{1} \equiv \bar{E}_{1}(q), D_{4} \equiv \bar{E}_{4}(q)$. Since $A^{t} D \equiv I(q)$, we must have $D_{1} \equiv{ }^{t} E_{1}(q)$. Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{det} D \equiv \operatorname{det} E_{1} \cdot \operatorname{det} \bar{E}_{4} \equiv\left(\operatorname{det} E_{1}\right)^{2}(q)
$$

and hence

$$
\chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} D)=\chi_{q}^{2}\left(\operatorname{det} E_{1}\right)=1
$$

Thus with $\beta \in \Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$, for all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ we have $\chi_{q}(\beta)=1$; consequently, by Proposition 3.1, $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.7. Suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0,(M N) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ where $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, and fix a prime $q$ so that $q \| \mathcal{N}$. There are $E_{0}, E_{1} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
E_{0} M E_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)(q)
$$

with $M_{1}$ invertible modulo $q$; for any such $E_{0}, E_{1}$ we have

$$
E_{0} N^{t} E_{1}^{-1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{1} & N_{2} \\
0 & N_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\chi_{q}(\gamma)=\chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} \bar{M}_{1} \cdot \operatorname{det} N_{4}\right)$. Further, for any $G \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$
\chi_{q}(G M, G N)=\chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} G) \chi_{q}(M, N)=\chi_{q}\left(M G^{-1}, N^{t} G\right)
$$

Proof. By assumption, $(M N)=E\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ for some $E \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Set $d=$ $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$. If $d=0$ then $N \equiv E D(q)$ so $\chi_{q}(\gamma)=\chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} N)$. If $d=n$ then $M \equiv$ $E A \equiv E^{t} D^{-1}(q)$ so $\chi_{q}(\gamma)=\chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} \bar{M})($ where $M \bar{M} \equiv I(q))$.

Suppose $0<d<n$. By Proposition 3.5, we know $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}=d$, so there are $E_{0}, E_{1} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E_{0} M E_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$ with $M_{1} d \times d$ and invertible modulo $q$. Then by the symmetry of $M^{t} N$, we have $E_{0} N^{t} E_{1}^{-1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}N_{1} & N_{2} \\ 0 & N_{4}\end{array}\right)(q)$ with $N_{1} d \times d$, and $N_{4}$ invertible modulo $q$ since $(M, N)=1$. Set $E_{2}=E_{0} E$; given the shape of $M_{\sigma}$ and of $E_{0} M E_{1}$, we must have $E_{2} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}E^{\prime} & \stackrel{*}{c} \\ 0 & E^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)(q)$ with $E^{\prime} d \times d$ and invertible modulo $q$. Hence

$$
E_{0}(M N)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{1} & \\
& { }^{t} E_{1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=E_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{\sigma} I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
{ }^{t} E_{2} & \\
& E_{2}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.M^{\prime} I\right) \gamma^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{t} E_{2}^{-1} & \\
& E_{2}
\end{array}\right) \gamma\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{1} & \\
& { }^{t} E_{1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}) \quad \text { and } \quad M^{\prime} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E^{\prime t} E^{\prime} & \\
& 0
\end{array}\right)(q)
$$

Write $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right), A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A_{1} & A_{2} \\ A_{3} & A_{4}\end{array}\right), D=\left(\begin{array}{ll}D_{1} & D_{2} \\ D_{3} & D_{4}\end{array}\right)$ where $A_{1}, D_{1}$ are $d \times d$. Since $\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & 0\end{array}\right) \equiv M^{\prime} A(q)$, we have $A_{2} \equiv 0(q), A_{1}$ invertible modulo $q$, and $M_{1} \equiv$ $E^{\prime t} E^{\prime} A_{1}(q)$. Then since $A^{t} D \equiv I(q)$, we have $D_{3} \equiv 0(q), A_{1}{ }^{t} D_{1} \equiv I \quad(q)$, $N_{4} \equiv D_{4}(q)$. Thus

$$
\chi_{q}(\gamma)=\chi_{q}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\chi_{q}^{2}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} \bar{M}_{1} \cdot \operatorname{det} N_{4}\right)
$$

(where $M_{1} \bar{M}_{1} \equiv I(q)$ ). Since $0<d<n$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$, we know from Proposition 3.6 that $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$.

Suppose $(M N)=E\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ where $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$; take $G \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. If $\operatorname{det} G=1$ then the above argument shows $\chi_{q}(G M, G N)=\chi_{q}(M, N)$. Say $\operatorname{det} G=$ $-1 ;$ then $E^{\prime}=G E G_{ \pm} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$
G(M N) \equiv E^{\prime} G_{ \pm}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma \equiv E^{\prime}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma_{ \pm} \gamma(q)
$$

Hence $\chi_{q}(G M, G N)=\chi_{q}\left(\gamma_{ \pm} \gamma\right)=\chi_{q}(-1) \chi_{q}(M, N)$. Somewhat similarly,

$$
\left(M G^{-1} N^{t} G\right)=E\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G^{-1} & \\
& \\
& { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right)
$$

so $\chi_{q}\left(M G^{-1}, N^{t} G\right)=\chi_{q}\left(\gamma\left({ }^{G^{-1}} \quad{ }^{t} G\right)\right)=\chi_{q}(\gamma) \chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} G)$.

## 4. Hecke Operators on Siegel Eisenstein Series of Square-free Level

Throughout this section, we assume $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, $\chi$ is a character modulo $\mathcal{N}$ so that $\chi(-1)=(-1)^{k}$; further, we assume either $\mathcal{N}>2$ or $k$ is even.

Let $\sigma$ be a "multiplicative partition" of $\mathcal{N}$, meaning $\sigma=\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{n}\right)$ where $\mathcal{N}_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $\mathcal{N}_{0} \cdots \mathcal{N}_{n}=\mathcal{N} ;$ take $M_{\sigma}$ to be a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix so that for each $d, 0 \leq d \leq n$, we have $M_{\sigma} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}_{d}\right)$. By Proposition 3.1, as we vary $\sigma$, the matrices $\gamma_{\sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ M_{\sigma} & I\end{array}\right)$ give us a set of representatives for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, and by Proposition 3.5 we have $\Gamma_{\infty} \gamma_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \gamma_{\sigma} \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Thus given any coprime
symmetric pair ( $M N$ ), there is a unique multiplicative partition $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{N}$ so that $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$.

To ease notation, we write $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ to denote $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}$.
Theorem 4.1. Fix a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ and a multiplicative partition

$$
\sigma^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

of $\mathcal{N} / q ;$ let $X_{d}=X_{d}(q)\left(\right.$ as defined in Sec. 2). For $0 \leq d \leq n$, let $\sigma_{d}=\left(\mathcal{N}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{n}\right)$ where

$$
\mathcal{N}_{i}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime} & \text { if } i \neq d \\ q \mathcal{N}_{d}^{\prime} & \text { if } i=d\end{cases}
$$

Then when $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d}} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d}} \mid T(q)= & q^{k d-d(d+1) / 2} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(q X_{d}^{-1} M_{\sigma_{d}}, X_{d}^{-1}\right) \\
& \cdot \sum_{t=0}^{n-d} q^{-d t-t(t+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(d+t, t) \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d+t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\right.$ with $\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t)$ as defined in Sec. 2).
Proof. To ease notation further, temporarily write $\mathbb{E}_{d^{\prime}}$ for $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d^{\prime}}}$ and $M_{d^{\prime}}$ for $M_{\sigma_{d^{\prime}}}$. Also, write $\mathcal{K}_{d}$ for $\mathcal{K}_{d}(q), \mathcal{Y}_{n}$ for $\mathcal{Y}_{n}(q), X_{r}$ for $X_{r}(q), \boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(m, r)$.

By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{d}(\tau) \mid T(q)=q^{-n(n+1) / 2} \sum_{M, N, Y} \bar{\chi}(M, N) \operatorname{det}(M \tau / q+M Y / q+N)^{-k}
$$

where $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and $Y$ varies over $\mathcal{Y}_{n}$; recall that we can take $Y \equiv 0(\mathcal{N} / q)$. (Note that in Proposition 2.1, when $p \mid \mathcal{N}$ we have $\chi\left(p^{n-r}\right)=0$ unless $r=n$.) Using left multiplication from $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ to adjust each representative ( $M N$ ), we can assume $q$ divides the lower $n-d$ rows of $M$. Set

$$
\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)=X_{d}(M / q M Y / q+N)=\frac{1}{q} X_{d}(M M Y+q N)
$$

clearly $M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ are integral given our assumption that $q$ divides the lower $n-d$ rows of $M$. We know that the upper $d$ rows of $M$ are linearly independent modulo $q$, as are the lower $n-d$ rows of $N$. Thus $\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=1$, and with $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M^{\prime}$, we have $d^{\prime} \geq d$. Since $\operatorname{rank}_{q^{\prime}} M_{d^{\prime}}=\operatorname{rank}_{q^{\prime}} M_{d}$ for all primes $q^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{N} / q$, by Proposition 3.5 we have $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d^{\prime}} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Also, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}(M \tau / q+M Y / q+N)^{-k}=q^{k d} \operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime} \tau+N^{\prime}\right)^{-k}
$$

Reversing, given $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d^{\prime}} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ (with $d^{\prime} \geq d$ ), we need to identify the equivalence classes $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n}$ so that

$$
\frac{1}{q} X_{d}(M M Y+N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)
$$

Equivalently, we need to identify $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n}$ and the equivalence classes

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) q X_{d}^{-1} E\left(M^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}-M^{\prime} Y\right) / q\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}),
$$

where $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)$ is a coprime symmetric pair. For $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $X_{d}^{-1} E X_{d} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d}$; thus we need to identify $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n}$ and $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
q X_{d}^{-1} E\left(M^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}-M^{\prime} Y\right) / q\right)
$$

is an integral, coprime pair with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} q X_{d}^{-1} E M^{\prime}=d$ (that $M^{t} N$ is symmetric is automatic). For each coprime symmetric pair $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)$, let $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of all pairs $(E, Y)$ that meet the above criteria (note that $\mathcal{C}_{d}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)$ could be empty); then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{d}(\tau) \mid T(q)=q^{k d-n(n+1) / 2} \sum_{\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)} c_{d}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime} \tau+N^{\prime}\right)^{-k}
$$

where

$$
c_{d}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{E, Y} \bar{\chi}\left(q X_{d}^{-1} E M^{\prime}, X_{d}^{-1} E\left(N^{\prime}-M^{\prime} Y\right)\right),
$$

with the sum over all $(E, Y) \in \mathcal{C}_{d}\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)$.
We also know that $\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ is equal to

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{(C \tau+D)^{-k}:(C D) \text { coprime, symmetric }\right\} \cap \mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)
$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ is invariant under the Hecke operators. Hence $\mathbb{E}_{d} \mid T(q)$ is again an Eisenstein series, and so the above discussion shows that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{d} \mid T(q)=q^{k d-n(n+1) / 2} \sum_{d^{\prime} \geq d} c_{d}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}, I\right) \mathbb{E}_{d^{\prime}}
$$

Thus we need to compute $c_{d}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}, I\right)$ for each $d^{\prime} \geq d$.
Fix $d^{\prime} \geq d$, and choose $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$; note that we can choose $E \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$. With $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n}$, set

$$
(M N)=q X_{d}^{-1} E\left(M_{d^{\prime}}\left(I-M_{d^{\prime}} Y\right) / q\right)
$$

To have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=d$, we need the top $d$ rows of $E M_{d^{\prime}}$ to have $q$-rank $d$; by Lemma 6.4(a), the number of such $E$ is $q^{d\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)} \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, d\right)$. Also, since $M_{d^{\prime}} \equiv\binom{I_{d^{\prime}}}{0}(q)$, the upper left $d \times d^{\prime}$ block of $E$ must have $q$-rank $d$; thus using left multiplication from $\mathcal{K}_{d}$, we can assume $E=\left(\begin{array}{cc}E^{\prime} & W \\ 0 & I\end{array}\right)$ where $E^{\prime} \in \operatorname{SL}_{d^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z})$. (Note that we can still assume that $E \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$.) So fix such $E$ (and thus fix $M$ ). Set $G=\left(\begin{array}{ll}E^{\prime} & { }_{I}\end{array}\right)$; so $\left(\begin{array}{ll}G^{-1} & \\ & { }^{t} G\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. We know that $N$ is integral if and only if $E N^{t} G=X_{d}^{-1}\left(E^{t} G-E M_{d^{\prime}} G^{-1} \cdot G Y^{t} G\right)$ is integral; also, when $N$ is integral, $(M, N)=1$ if and only if $\left(M G^{-1}, N^{t} G\right)=1$. Write $E^{\prime t} E^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}E_{1} & E_{2} \\ t_{E_{2}} & E_{3}\end{array}\right), W=\binom{W_{1}}{W_{2}}$,

$$
G Y^{t} G=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_{1} & Y_{2} & Y_{3} \\
{ }^{t} Y_{2} & Y_{4} & Y_{5} \\
{ }^{t} Y_{3} & { }^{t} Y_{5} & Y_{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $E_{1}, Y_{1}$ are $d \times d$ and symmetric, $E_{3}, Y_{4}$ are $\left(d^{\prime}-d\right) \times\left(d^{\prime}-d\right)$ (and symmetric), and $W_{1}$ is $d \times\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)$. We have $E M_{d^{\prime}} G^{-1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{d^{\prime}} & 0\end{array}\right)(q)$, so $N^{t} G$ is integral if and only if $\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right) \equiv\left(E_{1} E_{2} W_{1}\right)(q)$. When $N^{t} G$ is integral, we have

$$
N^{t} G \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(E_{1}-Y_{1}\right) / q & \left(E_{2}-Y_{2}\right) / q & \left(W_{1}-Y_{3}\right) / q \\
0 & E_{3}-Y_{4} & W_{2}-Y_{5} \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right)(q)
$$

so $\left(M G^{-1}, N^{t} G\right)=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(E_{3}-Y_{4}\right)=d^{\prime}-d$. As $Y_{4}$ varies over symmetric $\left(d^{\prime}-d\right) \times\left(d^{\prime}-d\right)$ matrices modulo $q$, so does $E_{3}-Y_{4}$. Recall that we can choose $Y \equiv 0(\mathcal{N} / q)$; thus for $E, Y$ as above, we have $M_{d^{\prime}} \equiv M_{d}(\mathcal{N} / q)$, so

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}(M, N)=\chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(q X_{d}^{-1} M_{d}, X_{d}^{-1}\right)=\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{d}, X_{d}\right)
$$

and

$$
\chi_{q}(M, N)=\chi_{q}\left(M G^{-1}, N^{t} G\right)=\chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(E_{3}-Y_{4}\right)\right) .
$$

Since $Y_{5}, Y_{6}$ are unconstrained modulo $q$,

$$
c_{d}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}, I\right)=q^{d\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)+\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)\left(d^{\prime}-d\right)+\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)\left(n-d^{\prime}+1\right) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, d\right) \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}\left(d^{\prime}-d\right)
$$

(recall $Y_{6}$ is symmetric). Collecting terms and setting $t=d^{\prime}-d$ yield the result.

To help us diagonalize the space Eisenstein series of square-free level, we put a partial ordering on $\{\sigma\}$, the multiplicative partitions of $\mathcal{N}$, as follows.

Definition. Let $\sigma, \alpha$ be multiplicative partitions of $\mathcal{N}$, and let $q$ be a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$. We write $\sigma<\alpha(q)$ if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}<\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}, \sigma=\alpha(q)$ if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}$, and $\sigma \leq \alpha(q)$ if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma} \leq \operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}$. For $Q \mid \mathcal{N}$, we write $\sigma<\alpha(Q)$ if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}<$ $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}$ for all primes $q \mid Q, \sigma=\alpha(Q)$ if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}$ for all primes $q \mid Q$, $\sigma \leq \alpha(Q)$ if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma} \leq \operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}$ for all primes $q \mid Q$,

We first determine how to find eigenforms for $T(q)$.
Corollary 4.2. Suppose $\sigma$ is a multiplicative partition of $\mathcal{N}$ so that $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$, and let $q$ be a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$. For partitions $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\alpha=\sigma(\mathcal{N} / q), \alpha>\sigma(q)$, there are $a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q) \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}+\sum_{\substack{\alpha=\sigma(\mathcal{N} / q) \\ \alpha>\sigma(q)}} a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}
$$

is an eigenform for $T(q)$, and $a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q) \neq 0$ only if either (1) $\chi_{q}=1$, or (2) $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}-\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$ is even. With such $a_{\sigma, \alpha}$ and $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$, the eigenvalue of $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}+\sum_{\substack{\alpha=\sigma(\mathcal{N} / q) \\ \alpha>\sigma(q)}} a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$ is

$$
\lambda_{\sigma}(q)=q^{k d-d(d+1) / 2} \chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\sigma}, X_{d}\right)
$$

where $q \bar{q} \equiv 1(\mathcal{N} / q)$.

Proof. By Lemma $6.6 \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t)=0$ if and only if (1) $\chi_{q}=1$, or (2) $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$ and $t$ is even. Thus by Theorem 4.1, the subspace

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}: \alpha=\sigma(\mathcal{N} / q), \alpha \geq \sigma(q), \mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \neq 0, \text { and either }(1) \chi_{q}=1,\right. \\
& \text { or } \left.(2) \chi_{q}^{2}=1 \text { and } \operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}-\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma} \text { is even }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is invariant under $T(q)$, and the matrix for $T(q)$ on this subspace basis (ordered with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\alpha}$ increasing) is upper triangular with diagonal entries $\lambda_{\alpha}(q)$. Then the standard process of diagonalizing an upper triangular matrix yields the result.

We now diagonalize the space of Eisenstein series with respect to

$$
\{T(q): q \text { prime }, q \mid \mathcal{N}\}
$$

and obtain a multiplicity-one result for the Eisenstein series of square-free level.
Corollary 4.3. Suppose $\sigma$ a multiplicative partition of $\mathcal{N}$ so that $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$. For a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ and $\alpha$ a multiplicative partition of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\alpha \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N})$, set $a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q)=1$ if $\alpha=\sigma(q)$, and otherwise set $a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q)=a_{\rho, \alpha}(q)$ where $\rho$ is a multiplicative partition of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\rho=\alpha(\mathcal{N} / q), \rho=\sigma(q)$, and $a_{\rho, \alpha}(q)$ is as in Corollary 4.2. For $Q \mid \mathcal{N}$ and $\alpha \geq \sigma(Q)$, set

$$
a_{\sigma, \alpha}(Q)=\prod_{\substack{q \mid Q \\ q \text { prime }}} a_{\sigma, \alpha}(q) .
$$

Then with

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}=\sum_{\alpha \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N})} a_{\sigma, \alpha}(\mathcal{N}) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha},
$$

for every prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T(q)=\lambda_{\sigma}(q) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ (where $\lambda_{\sigma}(q)$ is as in Corollary 4.2). Further, for $\sigma \neq \rho(\mathcal{N})$, there is some prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ so that $\lambda_{\sigma}(q) \neq \lambda_{\rho}(q)$.

Proof. Fix a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$. For $\alpha, \beta$ multiplicative partitions of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\alpha \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N})$, $\beta=\alpha(\mathcal{N} / q)$, and $\beta=\sigma(q)$, we have $a_{\sigma, \alpha}(\mathcal{N})=a_{\sigma, \beta}(\mathcal{N} / q) a_{\beta, \alpha}(q)$. Thus, varying $\beta, \alpha$ so that $\beta \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N} / q), \beta=\sigma(q), \alpha=\beta(\mathcal{N} / q), \alpha \geq \beta(q)$, we have

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}=\sum_{\beta} a_{\sigma, \beta}(\mathcal{N} / q) \sum_{\alpha} a_{\beta, \alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha} .
$$

By Corollary 4.2,

$$
\sum_{\alpha} a_{\beta, \alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha} \mid T(q)=\lambda_{\beta}(q) \sum_{\alpha} a_{\beta, \alpha}(q) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha} .
$$

So to show $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T(q)=\lambda_{\sigma}(q) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$, we need to show that $\lambda_{\beta}(q)=\lambda_{\sigma}(q)$ for any $\beta$ so that $\beta \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N} / q), \beta=\sigma(q)$, and $a_{\sigma, \beta}(\mathcal{N} / q) \neq 0$. Equivalently, we need to show that for $\beta \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N} / q), \beta=\sigma(q)$ with $a_{\sigma, \beta}(\mathcal{N} / q) \neq 0$, we have

$$
\chi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\beta}, X_{d}\right)=\chi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\sigma}, X_{d}\right)
$$

for all primes $q^{\prime} \mid \mathcal{N} / q($ where $q \bar{q} \equiv 1(\mathcal{N} / q))$.

Let $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$, and fix $\beta$ so that $\beta \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N} / q), \beta=\sigma(q)$, and $a_{\sigma, \beta}(\mathcal{N} / q) \neq 0$. Let $q^{\prime}$ be a prime dividing $\mathcal{N} / q$. If $\beta=\sigma\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then $M_{\beta} \equiv M_{\sigma}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ and so

$$
\chi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\beta}, X_{d}\right)=\chi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\sigma}, X_{d}\right) .
$$

So suppose $\beta>\sigma\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Since $a_{\sigma, \beta}(\mathcal{N} / q) \neq 0$, by Corollary 5.3 we either have $\chi_{q^{\prime}}=1$, or $\chi_{q^{\prime}}^{2}=1$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{q^{\prime}} M_{\beta}, \operatorname{rank}_{q^{\prime}} M_{\sigma}$ of the same parity. Consequently (using Proposition 3.7),

$$
\chi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\beta}, X_{d}\right)=\chi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q} X_{d} M_{\sigma}, X_{d}\right)
$$

Hence $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T(q)=\lambda_{\sigma}(q) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$, proving the first part of the corollary.
To prove the second part, suppose now that $\sigma \neq \rho(\mathcal{N})$. Thus for some prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$, we have $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma} \neq \operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\rho}=d^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\left|\lambda_{\sigma}(q)\right|=q^{k d-d(d+1) / 2} \neq q^{k d^{\prime}-d^{\prime}\left(d^{\prime}+1\right) / 2}=\left|\lambda_{\rho}(q)\right|,
$$

since $0 \leq d, d^{\prime} \leq n$ and $k>n+1$.
Now we evaluate the action of $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$ on $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$. Note that since the Hecke operators commute, the multiplicity-one result of Corollary 4.3 tells us that each $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ is an eigenform for $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)(1 \leq j \leq n)$, and in fact for $T(p), T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)(1 \leq j \leq n)$ for any prime $p$. So we could simply do enough computation to find the eigenvalue $\lambda_{j ; \sigma}\left(q^{2}\right)$, but we take just a bit more effort and give a complete description of $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$. Then in Corollary 4.5 we simplify our expressions for the $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$-eigenvalues.

Theorem 4.4. Assume $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, and fix a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$. For $\sigma$ a multiplicative partition of $\mathcal{N} / q$ and $0 \leq d \leq n$, let $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d}}$ be the level $\mathcal{N}$ Eisenstein series as in Theorem 4.1; suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d}} \neq 0$. Then for $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d}} \mid T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{n-d} A_{j}(d, t) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d+t}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{j}(d, t)= & q^{(j-t) d-t(t+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(d+t, t) \\
& \cdot \sum_{d_{1}=0}^{j} \sum_{d_{5}=0}^{j-d_{1}} \sum_{d_{8}=0}^{d_{5}} q^{a_{j}\left(d ; d_{1}, d_{5}, d_{8}\right)} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} M_{\sigma_{d}} X_{j}, X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} X_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}\left(d, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}\left(t, d_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}\left(n-d-t, d_{1}+n-d-j-d_{8}\right) \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}\left(t-d_{5}, d_{8}\right) \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}\left(t-d_{5}-d_{8}\right) \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}\left(d_{5}, d_{8}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$r=j-d_{1}-d_{5}+d_{8}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j}\left(d ; d_{1}, d_{5}, d_{8}\right)= & (k-d)\left(2 d_{1}+d_{5}-d_{8}\right)+d_{1}\left(d_{1}-d_{8}-j-1\right) \\
& +d_{8}\left(j-d_{5}\right)-d_{5}\left(d_{5}+1\right) / 2+d_{8}\left(d_{8}+1\right) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\right.$ Here $\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(b, c)$ is as defined in Sec. 2.) Thus $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma_{d}} \mid T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)=A_{j}(d, 0) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma_{d}}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, temporarily write $\mathbb{E}_{d^{\prime}}$ for $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma_{d^{\prime}}}$ and $M_{d^{\prime}}$ for $M_{\sigma_{d^{\prime}}}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{r, s}=\mathcal{K}_{r, s}(q), \mathcal{Y}_{j, 0}=\mathcal{Y}_{j, 0}\left(q^{2}\right), X_{r, s}=X_{r, s}(q), \boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(m, r)$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{q}(m, r)$.

By Proposition 2.1,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{d}\left|T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)=q^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{G, Y} \mathbb{E}_{d}\right|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{j}^{-1} & \\
& X_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G^{-1} & Y^{t} G \\
& { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $G$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}, Y$ over $\mathcal{Y}_{j, 0}$; recall that we can take $G \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$ and $Y \equiv 0(\mathcal{N} / q)$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{d}(\tau) \mid & \mid T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
& =q^{j(k-n-1)} \sum_{G, Y, M, N} \bar{\chi}(M, N) \operatorname{det}\left(M X_{j}^{-1} G^{-1} \tau+M X_{j}^{-1} Y^{t} G+N X_{j}{ }^{t} G\right)^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ ).
Take $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Let $d_{1}$ be the $q$-rank of the first $j$ columns of $M$ (so $d_{1} \leq j$ ); using left-multiplication from $\operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we can adjust our choice of representative to assume $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & M_{2} \\ q M_{3} & M_{4} \\ q M_{5}^{\prime} & q M_{6}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$ where $M_{1}$ is $d_{1} \times j$ (so $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{1}=d_{1}$ ), $M_{4}$ is $d_{4} \times(n-j)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{4}=d_{4}=d-d_{1}$. Correspondingly, write $N=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}N_{1} & N_{2} \\ N_{3} & N_{4} \\ N_{5}^{\prime} & N_{6}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$ where $N_{1}$ is $d_{1} \times j$ and $N_{4}$ is $d_{4} \times(n-j)$. Take $r$ so that $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & 0 \\ M_{5}^{\prime} & N_{6}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)=$ $n-d_{4}-r$; so adjusting our choice of representative, we can assume

$$
\left(q M_{5}^{\prime} q M_{6}^{\prime} N_{5}^{\prime} N_{6}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q M_{5} & q M_{6} & N_{5} & N_{6} \\
q^{2} M_{7} & q M_{8} & N_{7} & q N_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{6}, N_{6}$ are $(n-d-r) \times(n-j)$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(\begin{array}{ll}M_{1} & 0 \\ M_{5} & N_{6}\end{array}\right)=n-d_{4}-r$. Note that since $(M, N)=1$, we must have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} N_{7}=r$. Then

$$
X_{d_{1}, r}(M N)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{j}^{-1} & \\
& X_{j}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{1} & q M_{2} & q^{2} N_{1} & q N_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4} & q N_{3} & N_{4} \\
M_{5} & q M_{6} & q N_{5} & N_{6} \\
M_{7} & M_{8} & N_{7} & N_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

has $q$-rank $n$. Hence for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{j}$,

$$
\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)=X_{d_{1}, r}(M N)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{j}^{-1} & \\
& X_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G^{-1} & Y^{t} G \\
0 & { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a coprime symmetric pair with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M^{\prime}=d+t$ for some $t \geq 0$. Note that $\operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime} \tau+N^{\prime}\right)^{-k}=q^{-k\left(d_{1}-r\right)} \operatorname{det}\left(M X_{j}^{-1} G^{-1} \tau+M X_{j}^{-1} Y^{t} G+N X_{j}{ }^{t} G\right)^{-k}$.

As discussed in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{d} \mid T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)=\sum_{d^{\prime}=d}^{n} c_{d}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}\right) \mathbb{E}_{d^{\prime}}
$$

for some $c_{d}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$. So reversing, suppose $d^{\prime} \geq d$ and $\mathbb{E}_{d^{\prime}} \neq 0$. To compute $c_{d}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}\right)$, we need to identify the equivalence classes $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{j, 0}, G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$ so that

$$
X_{d_{1}, r}(M N)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{j}^{-1} & \\
& X_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G^{-1} & Y^{t} G \\
0 & { }^{t} G
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d^{\prime}} I\right) .
$$

Equivalently, we need to identify $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{j, 0}, G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$ and the equivalence classes

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} E\left(M_{d^{\prime}} I\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G & -G Y \\
& { }^{t} G^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{j} & \\
& X_{j}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{d} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

where $E \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. For $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have $X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} E X_{d_{1}, r} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r}$, so we only need to consider $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we need to consider all $E, G, Y$ so that with

$$
(M N)=X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} E\left(M_{d^{\prime}} I\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G & -G Y \\
& { }^{t} G^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{j} & \\
& X_{j}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$M, N$ are integral with $(M, N)=1$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=d$ (that $M^{t} N$ is symmetric is automatic). Note that since we can take $E, G \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$ and $Y \equiv 0(\mathcal{N} / q)$ and we know $M_{d^{\prime}} \equiv M_{d}(\mathcal{N} / q)$, for such $(M N)$ we have

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}(M, N)=\chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} M_{d} X_{j}, X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} X_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

For $E, G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, write

$$
E M_{d^{\prime}} G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3}^{\prime} & M_{4}^{\prime} \\
M_{7} & M_{8}
\end{array}\right), \quad E^{t} G^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{1} & N_{2} \\
N_{3}^{\prime} & N_{4}^{\prime} \\
N_{7} & N_{8}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $M_{1}, N_{1}$ are $d_{1} \times j, M_{7}, N_{7}$ are $r \times j$. Then

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & M_{2} / q \\
q M_{3}^{\prime} & M_{4}^{\prime} \\
q^{2} M_{7} & q M_{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

So to have $M$ integral, we need $M_{2} \equiv 0(q)$, and to have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=d$, we need $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{4}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)=d$. So suppose these conditions are met. We have $Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}U & V \\ t_{V} & 0\end{array}\right)$ where $U$ is $j \times j$ and symmetric; to have $N$ integral, we need $N_{1} \equiv M_{1} U+M_{2}^{t} V\left(q^{2}\right), N_{2} \equiv M_{1} V(q)$, and $N_{3}^{\prime} \equiv M_{3}^{\prime} U+M_{4}^{\prime t} V(q)$. We are supposing that $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(M_{1} M_{2} N_{1} N_{2}\right)=d_{1}$ and $M_{2} \equiv 0(q)$, so we can solve these first two congruences only if $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{1}=d_{1}$. So supposing this condition is met, we have $M_{2} / q$ in the column span of $M_{1}$ modulo $q$, so we must have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{4}^{\prime}=d_{4}$ where
$d_{4}=d-d_{1}$. Then adjusting $E$ using left multiplication from $\mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r}$, and adjusting $G$ using right multiplication from $\mathcal{K}_{j}$, we can assume

$$
E M_{d^{\prime}} G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4} \\
M_{5} & M_{6} \\
M_{7} & M_{8}
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

where $M_{4}$ is $d_{4} \times(n-j)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{4}=d_{4}$ and $M_{6} \equiv 0(q)$; further, we can assume $M_{i}=\left(A_{i}^{\prime} A_{i}\right)$ where $A_{i}^{\prime}$ has $d_{1}$ columns when $i$ is odd, $d_{4}$ columns when $i$ is even, $A_{i}^{\prime} \equiv 0\left(q^{2}\right)$ for $i \neq 1,4$, and $A_{i} \equiv 0\left(q^{2}\right)$ for $i \leq 4$. Correspondingly, split $N_{3}^{\prime}$ as $\binom{N_{3}}{N_{5}}, N_{4}^{\prime}$ as $\binom{N_{4}}{N_{6}}$ where $N_{3}, N_{4}$ have $d_{4}$ rows, and split $N_{i}$ as $\left(B_{i}^{\prime} B_{i}\right)$ where $B_{i}^{\prime}$ has $d_{1}$ columns when $i$ is odd, $d_{4}$ columns when $i$ is even. Split $U$ as $\left(\begin{array}{cc}U_{1} & U_{2} \\ t_{2} U_{2} & U_{3}\end{array}\right)$ where $U_{1}$ is $d_{1} \times d_{1}$, and split $V$ as $\left(\begin{array}{ll}V_{1} & V_{2} \\ V_{3} & V_{4}\end{array}\right)$ where $V_{1}$ is $d_{1} \times d_{4}$. Then $M_{1} U \equiv A_{1}^{\prime}\left(U_{1} U_{2}\right)\left(q^{2}\right)$, $M_{1} V \equiv A_{1}^{\prime}\left(V_{1} V_{2}\right)(q), M_{4}{ }^{t} V \equiv A_{4}^{\prime}\left({ }^{t} V_{1}{ }^{t} V_{3}\right)(q)$. So to have $N$ integral, we need to choose $U_{1}, U_{2}, V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}$ so that

$$
\left(B_{1}^{\prime} B_{1}\right) \equiv A_{1}^{\prime}\left(U_{1} U_{2}\right)\left(q^{2}\right), \quad\left(B_{2}^{\prime} B_{2}\right) \equiv A_{1}^{\prime}\left(V_{1} V_{2}\right)(q), \quad B_{3} \equiv A_{4}^{\prime}{ }^{t} V_{3}(q)
$$

Then by the symmetry of $E M_{d^{\prime}}{ }^{t} E$, we have $B_{3}^{\prime t} A_{1}^{\prime} \equiv A_{4}^{\prime}{ }^{t} B_{2}^{\prime}(q)$, so we have $B_{3}^{\prime} \equiv A_{4}^{\prime t} V_{1}(q)$. By symmetry, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{5}^{\prime t} A_{1}^{\prime} & \equiv A_{5}{ }^{t} B_{1}+A_{6}{ }^{t} B_{2} \equiv A_{5}{ }^{t} U_{2}{ }^{t} A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{6}{ }^{t} V_{2}{ }^{t} A_{1}^{\prime}\left(q^{2}\right), \\
B_{6}^{\prime t} A_{4}^{\prime} & \equiv A_{5}{ }^{t} B_{3} \equiv A_{5} V_{3}{ }^{t} A_{4}^{\prime}(q), \\
B_{7}^{\prime t} A_{1}^{\prime} & \equiv A_{7}{ }^{t} B_{1}+A_{8}{ }^{t} B_{2} \equiv A_{7}{ }^{t} U_{2}{ }^{t} A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{8}{ }^{t} V_{2}{ }^{t} A_{1}^{\prime}(q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So to have $N$ integral, we also need to choose $U_{3}$ so that $B_{5} \equiv A_{5} U_{3}(q)$, and then the lower $n-d$ rows of $N$ are congruent modulo $q$ to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \left(B_{5}-A_{5} U_{3}-A_{6}{ }^{t} V_{4}\right) / q & 0 & B_{6}-A_{5} V_{4} \\
0 & B_{7}-A_{7} U_{3}-A_{8}{ }^{t} V_{4} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Further refining our choices for $E, G$ using $\mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r}, \mathcal{K}_{j}$, we can assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{5} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q \alpha_{5}^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(q^{2}\right), \quad A_{6} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & q \alpha_{6}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(q^{2}\right), \\
& A_{7} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{7} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad(q), \quad A_{8} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{8} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad(q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ is $d_{i} \times d_{i}$ and invertible modulo $q, \alpha_{5}^{\prime}$ is $\left(n-d-r-d_{5}\right) \times\left(j-d_{1}-d_{5}-d_{7}\right)$, and $\alpha_{6}^{\prime}$ is $\left(n-d-r-d_{5}\right) \times\left(n-j-d_{4}-d_{8}\right)$; here the top $r-d_{7}-d_{8}$ and bottom
$d_{8}$ rows of $A_{7}$ are 0 modulo $q$. Correspondingly, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} \\
\beta_{4} & \beta_{5} & \beta_{6}
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} \\
\gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4}
\end{array}\right), \\
& B_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} \\
\delta_{4} & \delta_{5} & \delta_{6} \\
\delta_{7} & \delta_{8} & \delta_{9}
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon_{1} & \epsilon_{2} \\
\epsilon_{3} & \epsilon_{4} \\
\epsilon_{5} & \epsilon_{6}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By symmetry and the invertibility of $\alpha_{5}, \alpha_{7}, \alpha_{8}$ modulo $q$, we have that $\beta_{4}, \beta_{6}, \gamma_{3}$, $\delta_{1}, \delta_{3}, \epsilon_{1} \equiv 0(q)$, the bottom $n-d-r-d_{5}$ rows of $B_{5}^{\prime}, B_{6}^{\prime}$, and the top $r-d_{7}-d_{8}$ rows of $B_{7}^{\prime}, B_{8}^{\prime}$ are 0 modulo $q$. Then since $E^{t} G^{-1}$ is invertible, we know that $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\beta_{5} & \gamma_{4} \\ \delta_{2} & \epsilon_{2}\end{array}\right)=n-d^{\prime}$.

## Write

$$
U_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{1} & \mu_{2} & \mu_{3} \\
{ }^{t} \mu_{2} & \mu_{4} & \mu_{5} \\
{ }^{t} \mu_{3} & { }^{t} \mu_{5} & \mu_{6}
\end{array}\right), \quad V_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nu_{1} & \nu_{2} \\
\nu_{3} & \nu_{4} \\
\nu_{5} & \nu_{6}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ is $d_{5} \times d_{5}, \mu_{6}$ is $d_{7} \times d_{7}, \nu_{1}$ is $d_{5} \times d_{8}, \nu_{5}$ is $d_{7} \times d_{8}$. To have $B_{5} \equiv A_{5} U_{3}(q)$, we need $\left(\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3}\right) \equiv \alpha_{5}\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right)(q)$, and $\beta_{5} \equiv 0(q)$ (and hence $\gamma_{4}$ is invertible $\operatorname{modulo} q$ ). When these conditions are met, we must have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} \gamma_{4}=n-d-r-d_{5}$, and by symmetry,

$$
\delta_{4}{ }^{t} \alpha_{5} \equiv \alpha_{7}{ }^{t} \beta_{3} \equiv \alpha_{7}{ }^{t} \mu_{3}{ }^{t} \alpha_{5}(q) .
$$

Then to have $(M, N)=1$, we need

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(B_{5}-A_{5} U_{3}-A_{6}{ }^{t} V_{4}\right) / q & B_{6}-A_{5} V_{4} \\
B_{7}-A_{7} U_{3}-A_{8}{ }^{t} V_{4} & q\left(B_{8}-A_{7} V_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

to have $q$-rank $n-d$. Note that modulo $q$, the matrix $B$ is congruent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right) / q & \left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{2}\right) / q & \left(\beta_{3}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{3}\right) / q & \gamma_{1}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{1} & \gamma_{2}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{2} \\
0 & * & * & 0 & \gamma_{4} \\
0 & \delta_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{5}-\alpha_{7}{ }^{t} \mu_{5} & \delta_{6}-\alpha_{7} \mu_{6} & 0 & 0 \\
\delta_{7}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{1} & \delta_{8}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{3} & \delta_{9}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{6} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $E{ }^{t} G^{-1}$ is invertible, and given that $\beta_{4}, \beta_{5}, \beta_{6}, \gamma_{3}$ and the lower $n-d-r-d_{5}$ rows of $B_{5}^{\prime}, B_{6}^{\prime}$ are 0 modulo $q$, we must have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} \gamma_{4}=n-d-r-d_{5}$. To have $B$ invertible modulo $q$, we need $\operatorname{rank}_{q} \delta_{2}=r-d_{7}-d_{8}$. Given the sizes of $\gamma_{4}, \delta_{2}$, this requires

$$
n-d-r-d_{5} \leq n-j-d_{4}-d_{8} \quad \text { and } \quad r-d_{7}-d_{8} \leq j-d_{1}-d_{5}-d_{7}
$$

so this requires $r=j-d_{1}-d_{5}+d_{8}$ (in which case $\gamma_{4}, \delta_{2}$ are square, and hence invertible modulo $q$ ).

Choose $(n-d) \times(n-d)$ permutation matrices $P_{1}, P_{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{5} & A_{6} \\
A_{7} & A_{8}
\end{array}\right) P_{2} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
\alpha_{5} & & & & \\
& \alpha_{8} & & & \\
& & 0 & & \\
& & & \alpha_{7} & \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right)(q), \\
& P_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{5} & B_{6} \\
B_{7} & B_{8}
\end{array}\right) P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\beta_{1} & \gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{2} \\
\delta_{7} & \epsilon_{5} & \epsilon_{6} & \delta_{9} & \delta_{8} \\
\beta_{4} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4} & \beta_{6} & \beta_{5} \\
\delta_{4} & \epsilon_{3} & \epsilon_{4} & \delta_{6} & \delta_{5} \\
\delta_{1} & \epsilon_{1} & \epsilon_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(So $P_{1}$ corresponds to the permutation (2 335 ), $P_{2}$ to the permutation (2 $\left.5 \begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & 4\end{array}\right)$.) Thus (still supposing that $\beta_{5} \equiv 0(q)$, and that $\gamma_{4}, \delta_{2}$ are invertible modulo $q$ ), we have that $P_{1} B P_{2}$ is congruent modulo $q$ to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right) / q & \gamma_{1}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{1} & \gamma_{2}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{2} & \left(\beta_{3}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{3}\right) / q & \left(\beta_{2}-\alpha_{5}-\mu_{2}\right) / q \\
\delta_{7}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{1} & 0 & 0 & \delta_{9}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{6} & \delta_{8}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \gamma_{4} & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{6}-\alpha_{7} \mu_{6} & \delta_{5}-\alpha_{7}{ }^{t} \mu_{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $B$ is invertible modulo $q$ if and only if $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right) / q & \gamma_{1}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{1} \\ \delta_{7}-\alpha_{8} \nu_{1} & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\delta_{6}-\alpha_{7} \mu_{6}$ are invertible modulo $q$. Note that by the symmetry of $M_{d^{\prime}}$, we know that ( $\delta_{6}-$ $\left.\alpha_{7} \mu_{6}\right)^{t} \alpha_{7}$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{5} / q & \left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{8} \\ \left(\delta_{7}-\alpha_{8} \nu_{1} \nu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{5} & 0\end{array}\right)$ are symmetric modulo $q$.

To compute $\chi(M, N)$, recall that we can (and do) assume that $E, G \equiv I(\mathcal{N} / q)$, $Y \equiv 0(\mathcal{N} / q)$, and we know that $M_{d^{\prime}} \equiv M_{d}(\mathcal{N} / q)$; so

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}(M, N)=\chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} M_{d} X_{j}, X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} X_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

To help compute $\bar{\chi}_{q}(M, N)$, let $G_{1}$ be the $n \times n$ permutation matrix so that

$$
E M_{d^{\prime}} G G_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1}^{\prime} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{4}^{\prime} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{5} & A_{6} \\
0 & 0 & A_{7} & A_{8}
\end{array}\right)(q)
$$

Setting $E_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & P_{1}\end{array}\right), G_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & P_{2}\end{array}\right)$, and remembering that ${ }^{t} P^{-1}=P$ for a permutation matrix $P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} E_{1} G_{1} G_{2}\right) \chi_{q}(M, N) \\
& \quad=\chi_{q}\left(E_{1} M G_{1} G_{2}, E_{1} N G_{1} G_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\bar{\chi}_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} A_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{det} A_{4}^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{det} \alpha_{5} \cdot \operatorname{det} \alpha_{7} \cdot \operatorname{det} \alpha_{8}\right) \chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} \gamma_{4} \cdot \operatorname{det} \delta_{2}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad \cdot \chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{5} / q & \left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{5} \nu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{8} \\
\left(\delta_{7}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{5} & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\delta_{6}-\alpha_{7} \mu_{6}\right)^{t} \alpha_{7}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $\chi_{q}\left(M_{d^{\prime}}, I\right)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} E_{1} G_{1} G_{2}\right) \\
&=\chi_{q}\left(E_{1} M_{d^{\prime}} G_{1} G_{2}, E_{1} G_{1} G_{2}\right) \\
&=\bar{\chi}_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} A_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{det} A_{4}^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{det} \alpha_{5} \cdot \operatorname{det} \alpha_{7} \cdot \operatorname{det} \alpha_{8}\right) \chi_{q}\left(\operatorname{det} \gamma_{4} \cdot \operatorname{det} \delta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To summarize: Given $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M^{\prime}=d^{\prime}$, and given choices for $d_{1}, d_{4}=$ $d-d_{1}, d_{5}, d_{7}, d_{8}=d^{\prime}-d-d_{5}-d_{7}$ and $r=j-d_{1}-d_{5}+d_{8}$ (with $d_{1}+d_{5}+d_{7} \leq j$, $d_{4}+d_{8} \leq n-j$ ), to be able to choose $E, G, Y$ so that $M, N$ are integral and coprime with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M=d$, we need to choose $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that the $q$-rank of the upper $d_{1}$ rows of $E M^{\prime}$ is $d_{1}$, and the $q$-rank of the upper $n-r$ rows of $E M^{\prime}$ is $d+d_{5}$ (where $d_{5} \leq j-d_{1}$; note this is only possible when $d^{\prime}-d-d_{5} \leq r$ ). By Lemma 6.4(b), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, d+d_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(n-d^{\prime}, n-r-d-d_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d+d_{5}, d_{1}\right) \\
\cdot q^{\left(d+d_{5}\right)\left(r+d+d_{5}-d^{\prime}\right)+d_{1}\left(n-d-d_{5}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

choices for $E$. Modifying $E$ using left multiplication from $\mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r}$, we can assume the upper $d+d_{5}$ rows of $E M_{d^{\prime}}$ have $q$-rank $d+d_{5}$. We need to choose $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$ to meet various conditions (as detailed in the preceding discussion); choosing $G_{0} \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
E M_{d^{\prime}} G_{0} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{q}\\
0 & C & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{1}$ is $d_{1} \times d_{1}, C$ is $\left(d_{4}+d_{5}\right) \times\left(d_{4}+d_{5}\right), C^{\prime}$ is $\left(d_{7}+d_{8}\right) \times\left(d_{7}+d_{8}\right)$ and $M_{1}, C, C^{\prime}$ are invertible modulo $q$, Lemma 6.5 describes the conditions that

$$
E\left(M_{d^{\prime}} I\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G & \\
& { }^{t} G^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

must meet, where $G=G_{0} G^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$ (note that as $G^{\prime}$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$, so does $G$ ). By Lemma 6.5 , we have

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{4}+d_{5}, d_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{7}+d_{8}, d_{8}\right) q^{\left(d_{4}+d_{8}\right)\left(j-d_{1}-d_{5}\right)-d_{7} d_{8}}
$$

choices for $G$. Then with further adjustments to $E$ using left multiplication from $\mathcal{K}_{d_{1}, r}$ and to $G$ using right multiplication from $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ (as described above), using notation as above and writing $\mu_{i}=\mu_{i}^{\prime}+q \mu_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, we have that $\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}, \mu_{3}^{\prime}$ are uniquely determined modulo $q, \mu_{4}, \mu_{5}$ are unconstrained modulo $q^{2}$, and $\mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{3}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{6}^{\prime \prime}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}$, $\nu_{4}, \nu_{5}, \nu_{6}$ are unconstrained modulo $q$. Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$; as $\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \nu_{1}, \mu_{6}^{\prime}$ vary modulo $q$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\beta_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{5} / q & \left(\gamma_{1}-\alpha_{5} \mu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{8} \\
\left(\delta_{7}-\alpha_{8}{ }^{t} \nu_{1}\right)^{t} \alpha_{5} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

varies over elements in $\mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{d_{5}+d_{8}, d_{5}+d_{8}}$ of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}C & D \\ t_{D} & 0\end{array}\right)$ with $C d_{5} \times d_{5}$, and ( $\delta_{6}-$ $\left.\alpha_{7} \mu_{6}^{\prime}\right)^{t} \alpha_{7}$ varies over $\mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{d_{7}, d_{7}}$. Hence as we vary $Y$ subject to these constraints, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{Y} \chi_{q}\left(X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} E M_{d^{\prime}} G X_{j}, X_{d_{1}, r}^{-1} E\left({ }^{t} G^{-1}-M_{d^{\prime}} G Y\right) X_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=q^{\left(j-d_{1}\right)\left(n-d_{1}-d_{4}+1\right)-d_{5}\left(j-d_{1}+d_{8}+1\right)-d_{7}\left(d_{7}+1\right) / 2} \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}\left(d_{5}, d_{8}\right) \operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}\left(d_{7}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields a formula for $A_{j}(d, t)$; to simplify this formula, note that $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, s)=$ $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, m-s)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{1}\right. & \left.+d_{4}+d_{5}, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, d_{1}+d_{4}+d_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{4}+d_{5}, d_{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d+d_{5}, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d+t, t-d_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d-d_{1}+d_{5}, d_{5}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d_{1}, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t-d_{5}, t-d_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d_{5}, d_{5}\right)} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t, d_{5}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t, d_{5}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d+t, d_{1}+t\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t, d_{5}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d_{1}, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t, t) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d_{5}, d_{5}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(d+t, t) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t, d_{5}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(t, t) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d_{1}, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(d_{5}, d_{5}\right)} \\
& =\boldsymbol{\beta}(d+t, t) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d, d_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(t, d_{5}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t=d^{\prime}-d$. We have the constraints that $r=j-d_{1}-d_{5}+d_{8}, d=d_{1}+d_{4}$, $t=d_{5}+d_{7}+d_{8}, d_{1}+d_{5}+d_{7} \leq j, d_{4}+d_{8} \leq n-j$, and $d_{8} \leq d_{5}$. Taking $0 \leq d_{1} \leq j$, $0 \leq d_{5} \leq j-d_{1}$, and $0 \leq d_{8} \leq d_{5}$, a summand in the final formula for $A_{j}(d, t)$ is 0 if the other constraints on the $d_{i}$ are not met.

Corollary 4.5. Let $\sigma$ be a multiplicative partition of $\mathcal{N}$, and suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$. Then for a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ and $d=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$, we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma}\left(q^{2}\right) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ where $\mathcal{N}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathcal{N}_{i} /\left(q, \mathcal{N}_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\lambda_{j ; \sigma}\left(q^{2}\right)=q^{j d} \sum_{\ell=0}^{j} q^{\ell(2 k-2 d-j+\ell-1)} \chi_{\mathcal{N}_{0}^{\prime}}\left(q^{2 \ell}\right) \chi_{\mathcal{N}_{n}^{\prime}}\left(q^{2(j-\ell)}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(d, \ell) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(n-d, j-\ell)
$$

Proof. Since $T(q)$ and $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$ commute, by Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, we know that $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ is an eigenform for $T_{j}\left(q^{2}\right)$ with eigenvalue $A_{j}(d, 0)$. By Theorem 4.4, using $\ell$ in place of $d_{1}$, and noting that $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, m-r)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{j}(d, 0)= & q^{j d} \sum_{\ell=0}^{j} q^{\ell(2 k-2 d+\ell-j-1)} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1} M_{\sigma_{d}} X_{j}, X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1} X_{j}^{-1}\right) \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}(d, \ell) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-d, j-\ell) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}X_{j} & \\ & X_{j}^{-1}\end{array}\right)$ is congruent modulo $\mathcal{N} / q$ to an element of $\operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus

$$
\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1} M_{\sigma_{d}} X_{j}, X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1} X_{j}^{-1}\right)=\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1} M_{\sigma_{d}}, X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1}\right) \chi_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(q^{j}\right)
$$

Then we use Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 to evaluate $\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N} / q}\left(X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1} M_{\sigma_{d}}, X_{\ell, j-\ell}^{-1}\right)$.

## 5. Hecke Operators on Eisenstein Series of Arbitrary Level

Fix $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $\chi$ a character modulo $\mathcal{N}$. Assume that $k>n+1, \chi(-1)=(-1)^{k}$, and that either $\mathcal{N}>2$ or $k$ is even. Let $\left\{\gamma_{\sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{\sigma} & 0 \\ M_{\sigma} & I\end{array}\right)\right\}_{\sigma}$ be a set of representatives for $\Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that when $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, ${ }_{M_{\sigma}}$ is as in Proposition 3.5, and let $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}=\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}$.

To more easily describe the action of Hecke operators on $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$, we define an action of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\times}$on Eisenstein series where $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}=(\mathbb{Z} / \mathcal{N} \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Toward this, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 5.1. Suppose $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ M & I\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(v w, \mathcal{N})=1$; set $(v, w) \cdot M=v\left(\begin{array}{cc}w & \\ & I\end{array}\right) M\left(\begin{array}{cc}w & \\ & I\end{array}\right)$ and $(v, w) \cdot \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & \\ (v, w) \cdot M & I\end{array}\right)$. With $v^{\prime} \equiv v(\mathcal{N})$, $w^{\prime} \equiv w(\mathcal{N})$, we have $\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \cdot \gamma \in(v, w) \cdot \gamma \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$; hence $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma}=\mathbb{E}_{\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \cdot \gamma}$. Further, suppose $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ M^{\prime} & I\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}=\mathbb{E}_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. Then $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma}=\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma^{\prime}}$, so we have an action of the group $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ on

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}: \gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
M & I
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\right\}
$$

When $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \sigma}=\left(\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}\left(w^{2}\right) \prod_{0<d \leq n} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}\left(v^{d}\right)\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma},
$$

where we write $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ for $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \sigma}$ for $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma_{\sigma}}$ with $\gamma_{\sigma}$ chosen as in Sec. 4.
Proof. Take $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}, v, w, v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}$ as in the statement of the proposition. By Proposition 3.5 we have $\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \cdot \gamma \in(v, w) \cdot \gamma \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, so by Proposition 3.2 we have $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma}=$ $\mathbb{E}_{\left(v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \cdot \gamma}$.

Now, given the assumption sthat $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}=\mathbb{E}_{\gamma^{\prime}}$, there is some $G \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\delta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that $G(M I) \delta=\left(M^{\prime} I\right)$ and $\chi(\operatorname{det} G \cdot \operatorname{det} D)=1$. By Lemma 6.1, there is some $\delta^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A^{\prime} & B^{\prime} \\ C^{\prime} & D^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that $\delta^{\prime} \equiv \delta(\mathcal{N}), \delta^{\prime} \equiv I(v)$; set
$\delta^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A^{\prime} & B^{\prime} / v \\ v C^{\prime} & D^{\prime}\end{array}\right)\left(\right.$ so $\left.\delta^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})\right)$. Since $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ maps onto $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / \mathcal{N} \mathbb{Z})$, we can find $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
E \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
w \cdot \operatorname{det} G & \\
& I
\end{array}\right) G\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{w} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right)(\mathcal{N}) ;
$$

set $G^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\operatorname{det} G & \\ & I\end{array}\right) E$. Take $\left(\begin{array}{ll}r & s \\ t & u\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
t & u
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
w & 0 \\
0 & \bar{w}
\end{array}\right)(\mathcal{N})
$$

and set

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
r & & s & \\
& I_{n-1} & & 0 \\
t & & u & \\
& 0 & & I_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(so $\beta \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ ). Then

$$
\left.\left.s G^{\prime}((v, w) \cdot M I)\right) \beta^{-1} \delta^{\prime \prime} \beta \equiv\left((v, w) \cdot M^{\prime} I\right)\right)(\mathcal{N}),
$$

so $\left.\left.\left((v, w) \cdot M^{\prime} I\right)\right) \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})((v, w) \cdot M I)\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Since $\chi\left(\beta^{-1} \delta^{\prime \prime} \beta\right)=\chi(\operatorname{det} D)$ and $\chi\left(\operatorname{det} G^{\prime}\right)=\chi(\operatorname{det} G)$, by Proposition 3.2 we have $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma}=\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \gamma^{\prime}}$.

Now suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free. For all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}$, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{q}(v, w) \cdot M=$ $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M$, so $\left((v, w) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Fix a prime $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ and take $d=$ $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}$. Thus by Proposition 3.5, we have $\mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \sigma}=\chi\left((v, w) \cdot M_{\sigma}, I\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$. If $d=0$ then $\chi_{q}\left((v, w) \cdot M_{\sigma}, I\right)=\chi_{q}(0, I)=1$. If $0<d<n$ then

$$
\chi_{q}\left((v, w) \cdot M_{\sigma}, I\right)=\chi_{q}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
v w^{2} & & \\
& v I_{d-1} & \\
& & 0
\end{array}\right), I\right)=\bar{\chi}_{q}\left(v^{d} w^{2}\right)
$$

and since $\chi_{q}^{2}=1, \bar{\chi}_{q}\left(v^{d} w^{2}\right)=\bar{\chi}_{q}\left(v^{d}\right)$. If $d=n$ then $\chi_{q}\left((v, w) \cdot M_{\sigma}, I\right)=\bar{\chi}_{q}\left(v^{n} w^{2}\right)$.

Suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$. We have $(1,-1) \cdot \gamma_{\sigma}=\gamma_{ \pm} \gamma_{\sigma} \gamma_{ \pm}$, and $\Gamma_{(1,-1) \cdot \gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}=\gamma_{ \pm} \Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+} \gamma_{ \pm}$, so $\chi$ is trivial on $\Gamma_{(1,-1) \cdot \gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$and hence $\mathbb{E}_{(1,-1) \cdot \sigma} \neq 0$. With $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\delta} \Gamma_{(1,-1) \cdot \gamma_{\sigma}}^{+}$ (disjoint), left multiplication by $\gamma_{ \pm}$gives us $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})=\bigcup_{\delta} \Gamma_{\gamma_{\sigma}}^{+} \gamma_{ \pm} \delta$ (disjoint). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{(1,-1) \cdot \sigma} \sum_{\delta} \bar{\chi}(\delta) \mathbb{E}^{*}\left|\gamma_{ \pm} \gamma_{\sigma} \gamma_{ \pm}\right| \delta \\
& \quad=\chi\left(\gamma_{ \pm}\right) \sum_{\delta} \bar{\chi}(\delta) \mathbb{E}^{*}\left|\gamma_{\sigma}\right| \gamma_{ \pm} \delta \\
& \quad=\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left((1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right)=E\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ for some $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, then by Proposition 3.2 we have $\mathbb{E}_{(1,-1) \cdot \sigma}=\chi(\gamma) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$, so from above we must have $\chi(\gamma)=1$. Thus if $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma^{\prime}$ and $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left((1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ for $\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime} \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, we have $\chi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=\chi\left(\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

So with $\chi(M, N)=\chi(\gamma)$ where $(M N) \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ or $(M N) \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left((1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ for $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \chi(M, N)$ is well defined. Also, $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}+\mathbb{E}_{(1,-1) \cdot \sigma}\right)$, a fact we will use in the proofs of Theorems 5.2 and 5.4.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$; fix a prime $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$ and $\bar{p}$ so that $p \bar{p} \equiv 1(\mathcal{N})$. Then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T(p)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} \chi\left(p^{n-r}\right) p^{k(n-r)-(n-r)(n+r+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n, r) \mathbb{E}_{\left(p, \bar{p}^{r}\right) \cdot \sigma}
$$

Proof. Write $\mathcal{K}_{r}$ for $\mathcal{K}_{r}(p), X_{r}$ for $X_{r}(p), \boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(m, r)$.
When $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma^{\prime}} \neq 0$, set

$$
\delta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-M_{\sigma^{\prime}} & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
(p \bar{p})^{3} M_{\sigma^{\prime}} & I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So $\delta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, and hence by Proposition $3.2, \mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma}}=\mathbb{E}_{\gamma_{\sigma} \delta}$. Thus we may replace $\gamma_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ by $\gamma_{\sigma^{\prime}} \delta$ (effectively, we may assume $p^{3} \mid M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ ).

Now, by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}(\tau) \mid T(p)= & p^{k n-n(n+1) / 2} \sum_{\substack{(M N) \\
r, G, Y}} \chi\left(p^{n-r}\right) \bar{\chi}(M, N) \\
& \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(p M X_{r}^{-1} G^{-1} \tau+p M X_{r}^{-1} Y^{t} G+N X_{r}^{t} G\right)^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}), 0 \leq r \leq n$, and for each $r$, $G$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{r}, Y$ varies over $\mathcal{Y}_{r}(p)$; recall that since $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$, we can take $G \equiv I(\mathcal{N}), Y \equiv 0(\mathcal{N})$. Write $M=\left(M_{1}^{\prime} M_{2}^{\prime}\right), N=\left(N_{1}^{\prime} N_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with $M_{1}^{\prime}, N_{1}^{\prime} n \times r$, and let $s=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{1}^{\prime} N_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. We can use left multiplication from $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ to adjust our representative $(M N)$ to assume that

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{1} & p N_{2} \\
N_{3} & N_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{3}, N_{3}$ are $s \times r$; so $\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{3} N_{4}\right)=s$, and $\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{2} N_{1}\right)=n-s$ since $\operatorname{rank}_{q}(M N)=n$. Set

$$
\left(M^{\prime} G N^{\prime t} G^{-1}\right)=X_{n-s}^{-1}\left(p M X_{r}^{-1} N X_{r}+p M X_{r}^{-1} Y\right) ;
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(p X_{n-s}^{-1} M X_{r}^{-1} X_{n-s}^{-1} N X_{r}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{1} & M_{2} & N_{1} & N_{2} \\
M_{3} & p M_{4} & p N_{3} & N_{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =n,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=1$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime} \tau+N^{\prime}\right)^{-k}=p^{k(n-s)} \operatorname{det}\left(p M X_{r}^{-1} G^{-1} \tau+p M X_{r}^{-1} Y^{t} G+N X_{r}^{t} G\right)^{-k}
$$

We know

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T(p) & \left.=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}+\mathbb{E}_{(1,-1) \cdot \sigma}\right) \right\rvert\, T(p) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma^{\prime}} c_{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\sigma^{\prime}}+\mathbb{E}_{(1,-1) \cdot \sigma^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $c_{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{C}$. So to compute $c_{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}$ for any given $\sigma^{\prime}$, we first identify those $r, s, G, Y$ and

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n-s}^{-1}\left(p M X_{r}^{-1} G^{-1} N X_{r}{ }^{t} G+p M X_{r}^{-1} Y^{t} G\right) \\
& \quad \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma^{\prime}} I\right) \cup \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left((1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma^{\prime}} I\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently, we identify $r, s, G, Y$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$-equivalence classes

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) X_{n-s} E\left(\frac{1}{p} M^{\prime} G X_{r}\left({ }^{t} G^{-1}-\frac{1}{p} M^{\prime} G Y\right) X_{r}^{-1}\right)
$$

that lie in $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, where $M^{\prime}=M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ or $(1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$, and $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that we only need to consider $E \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{n-s} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, as $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) X_{n-s} E=$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) X_{n-s}$ if and only if $E \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{n-s}$; note also that we can take $E \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$.

Take $M^{\prime}=M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ or $(1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ (some $\sigma^{\prime}$ ). Recall that we can assume $p^{3} \mid M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$, so with

$$
(M N)=X_{n-s} E\left(\frac{1}{p} M^{\prime} G X_{r}\left({ }^{t} G^{-1}-\frac{1}{p} M^{\prime} G Y\right) X_{r}^{-1}\right)
$$

we have $M \equiv 0(p)$, and we have $N$ integral with $\operatorname{rank}_{p} N=n$ if and only if $n-s=r$ and $E^{t} G^{-1} \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{r}$ (independent of the choice of $Y$ ). We know there are $p^{r(r+1) / 2}$ choices for $Y$, and by Lemma 6.2, $\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r)$ choices for $G$. Also, with $n-s=r$ and $E \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{r}{ }^{t} G$, we have $(M N) \equiv\left(\frac{1}{p} X_{r} M^{\prime} X_{r} I\right)(\mathcal{N})$. So when

$$
\left(\frac{1}{p} X_{r} M^{\prime} X_{r} I\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

we get a contribution of

$$
\bar{\chi}\left(\bar{p} X_{r} M_{\sigma^{\prime}} X_{r}, I\right) \chi\left(p^{n-r}\right) p^{k(n-r)+(r-n)(r+n+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r)
$$

toward $c_{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}$ (and a contribution of 0 otherwise).

To determine when $\left(\frac{1}{p} X_{r} M^{\prime} X_{r} I\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$, take $E^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$; then take $E^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ so that $E^{\prime \prime} \equiv I(p), E^{\prime \prime} \equiv E^{\prime}(\mathcal{N})$, $\gamma^{\prime} \equiv I(p), \gamma^{\prime} \equiv \gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Then set $E_{r}^{\prime}=X_{r}^{-1} E^{\prime \prime} X_{r}$,

$$
\gamma_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{p} X_{r} & \\
& X_{r}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \gamma^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p X_{r}^{-1} & \\
& X_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so $E_{r}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma_{r} \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Then $\left(\frac{1}{p} X_{r} M^{\prime} X_{r} I\right)$ is equal to $E^{\prime}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ or to $E^{\prime}\left((1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right) \gamma$ if and only if

$$
\left(M^{\prime} I\right) \equiv E_{r}^{\prime} X_{r}^{-1}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p X_{r}^{-1} & \\
& X_{r}
\end{array}\right) \gamma_{r}(\mathcal{N})
$$

or

$$
\left(M^{\prime} I\right) \equiv E_{r}^{\prime} G_{ \pm} X_{r}^{-1}\left(M_{\sigma} I\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p X_{r}^{-1} & \\
& X_{r}
\end{array}\right) \gamma_{ \pm} \gamma_{r}(\mathcal{N})
$$

Hence using Proposition 3.3, we have $\left(\frac{1}{p} X_{r} M^{\prime} X_{r} I\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if $\left(M^{\prime} I\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(p X_{r}^{-1} M_{\sigma} X_{r}^{-1} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Note that when $r>0$, we can find $G_{r} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $G_{r} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\bar{p}^{r-1} & & \\ & p I_{r-1} & \\ & & I\end{array}\right)(\mathcal{N})$, and then

$$
G_{r}\left(p X_{r}^{-1} M_{\sigma} X_{r}^{-1} I\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{t} G_{r} & \\
& \\
& G_{r}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\left(p, \bar{p}^{r}\right) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right)(\mathcal{N}) .
$$

Thus $\left(\frac{1}{p} X_{r} M^{\prime} X_{r} I\right) \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if

$$
\left(M^{\prime} I\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(\left(p, \bar{p}^{r}\right) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

Also, by Proposition 3.2, we have $\bar{\chi}\left(\gamma_{r}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma^{\prime}}=\mathbb{E}_{\left(p, \bar{p}^{r}\right) \cdot \sigma}$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T(p)=$ $\sum_{r=0}^{n} \chi\left(p^{n-r)} p^{k(n-r)+(r-n)(r+n+1) / 2} \mathbb{E}_{\left(p, \bar{p}^{r}\right) \cdot \sigma}\right.$, as claimed.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}=(\mathbb{Z} / \mathcal{N} \mathbb{Z})^{\times}, \psi \in \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$, the character group of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Set

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}=\sum_{v, w \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}} \bar{\psi}(v, w) \mathbb{E}_{(v, w) \cdot \sigma}
$$

Below we will show that when non-zero, $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$ is an eigenform for all $T(p), T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)$, $p$ prime not dividing $\mathcal{N}$. Note that by orthogonality of characters,

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{u \cdot \sigma}: u \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}: \psi \in{\widehat{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}}}\right\}
$$

Also, we have $\psi(v, w)=\psi_{1}(v) \psi_{2}(w)$ where $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ are characters on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$; using Proposition 5.1, when $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}=\sum_{v, w \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}} \bar{\psi}(v, w)\left(\prod_{0<d \leq n} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}\left(v^{d}\right)\right) \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}\left(w^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma},
$$

so $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}=0$ unless $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$ and $\psi_{1}=\prod_{0<d \leq n} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}^{d}, \psi_{2}=\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}^{2}$ where $\mathcal{N}_{d}$ is the product of all primes $q \mid \mathcal{N}$ so that $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{\sigma}=\bar{d}$.

Corollary 5.3. Suppose $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \neq 0$, and let $p$ be a prime with $p \nmid \mathcal{N}$. Let $\psi$ be a character on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}} \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$; so $\psi(v, w)=\psi_{1}(v) \psi_{2}(w)$ where $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ are characters on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Then $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T(p)=\lambda_{\sigma, \psi}(p) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$ where

$$
\lambda_{\sigma, \psi}(p)=\psi_{1}(p) \bar{\psi}_{2}\left(p^{n}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{2} \chi(p) p^{k-i}+1\right) .
$$

When $\mathcal{N}$ square-free, $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T(p)=\lambda_{\sigma}(p) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T(p)=\lambda_{\sigma}(p) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ where $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ is as in Corollary 4.3 and

$$
\lambda_{\sigma}(p)=\left(\prod_{0<d \leq n} \chi_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}\left(p^{d}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\chi(p) \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}\left(p^{2}\right) p^{k-i}+1\right) .
$$

Proof. Write $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(m, r)$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we can assume $p^{3} \mid M_{\sigma}$. Identify $p^{-1}$ with $\bar{p}$ where $p \bar{p} \equiv 1(\mathcal{N})$.

With $v, w$ varying over $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $r$ varying so that $0 \leq r \leq n$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T(p)=p^{k n-n(n+1) / 2} \sum_{v, w, r} \bar{\psi}(v, w) \chi\left(p^{n-r}\right) p^{-k r+r(r+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r) \mathbb{E}_{\left(p v, \bar{p}^{r} w\right) \cdot \sigma} .
$$

Making the change of variables $v \mapsto \bar{p} v$ and $w \mapsto p^{r} w$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T(p)=\psi_{1}(p) \chi\left(p^{n}\right) p^{k n-n(n+1) / 2} S(n, k) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}
$$

where

$$
S(n, k)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} \psi_{2} \chi\left(\bar{p}^{r}\right) p^{-k r+r(r+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r)
$$

Using that $\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r)=p^{r} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-1, r)+\boldsymbol{\beta}(n-1, r-1)$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(n, k) & =\left(\psi_{2} \chi(\bar{p}) p^{1-k}+1\right) S(n-1, k-1) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{2} \chi(\bar{p}) p^{i-k}+1\right) \\
& =\psi_{2} \chi\left(\bar{p}^{n}\right) p^{-n k+n(n+1) / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{2} \chi(p) p^{k-i}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free. With $\psi_{1}=\prod_{0<d \leq n} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}^{d}$ and $\psi_{2}=\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}^{2}$, we have $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}=\left|\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}\right|^{2} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$; recalling that $\chi_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}=\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{d}}$ for $0<d<n$ (see Proposition 3.6), the above result gives us $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T(p)=\lambda_{\sigma}(p) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$, as claimed. We also have $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}=\sum_{\alpha \geq \sigma(\mathcal{N})} a_{\sigma, \alpha} \mathbb{E}_{\alpha}$ with $a_{\sigma, \sigma}=1$; since the Hecke operators commute, the multiplicity-one result of Corollary 4.3 tells us $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}=\lambda_{\sigma}(p) \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$.

Theorem 5.4. With $p$ a prime not dividing $\mathcal{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)= & \beta_{p}(n, j) \sum_{r+s \leq j} \chi\left(p^{j-r+s}\right) p^{k(j-r+s)-(j-r)(n+1)} \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(j, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(j-r, s) \operatorname{sym}_{p}(j-r-s) \mathbb{E}_{\left(1, p^{s-r}\right) \cdot \sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\operatorname{sym}_{p}(t)$ is the number of invertible, symmetric $t \times t$ matrices modulo $\left.p\right)$.

Proof. To a large extent, we follow the line of reasoning in the proof of Theorem 5.2. We write $\mathcal{K}_{r, s}$ for $\mathcal{K}_{r, s}(p), X_{r, s}$ for $X_{r, s}(p), \boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(m, r)$.

As discussed at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 5.2, we can modify our representatives $\left(M_{\sigma^{\prime}} I\right)$ to assume $p^{3} \mid M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma}(\tau) \mid T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)= & \sum \chi\left(p^{j-n_{0}+n_{2}}\right) \bar{\chi}(M, N) p^{j(k-n-1)} \\
& \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(M X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} G^{-1} \tau+M X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} Y^{t} G+N X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}{ }^{t} G\right)^{-k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(M N)$ varies over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N}), n_{0}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ vary subject to $n_{0}+n_{2} \leq j, G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}, Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}\left(p^{2}\right)$; note that we can assume that $Y \equiv$ $0(\mathcal{N})$ and, using Lemma 6.1, that $G \equiv I(\mathcal{N})$. Given $(M N) \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$ and

$$
Y=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{0} & Y_{2} & Y_{3} & 0 \\
{ }^{t} Y_{2} & Y_{1} / p & 0 & \\
{ }^{t} Y_{3} & 0 & & \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}\left(p^{2}\right),
$$

we decompose $M, N$ into $3 \times 4$ block matrices as follows. First, write $M=$ $\left(M_{9}^{\prime} M_{10}^{\prime} M_{11}^{\prime} M_{12}^{\prime}\right), N=\left(N_{9}^{\prime} N_{10}^{\prime} N_{11}^{\prime} N_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ where $M_{9}^{\prime}, N_{9}^{\prime}$ are $n \times n_{0}, M_{10}^{\prime}, N_{10}^{\prime}$ are $n \times\left(j-n_{0}-n_{2}\right)$, and $M_{12}^{\prime}, N_{12}^{\prime}$ are $n \times n_{2}$. Let $s=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{9}^{\prime} M_{10}^{\prime} N_{12}^{\prime}\right)$; using left multiplication from $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we can assume

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p M_{5}^{\prime} & p M_{6}^{\prime} & M_{7}^{\prime} & M_{8}^{\prime} \\
M_{9} & M_{10} & M_{11} & M_{12}
\end{array}\right), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
N_{5}^{\prime} & N_{6}^{\prime} & N_{7}^{\prime} & p N_{8}^{\prime} \\
N_{9} & N_{10} & N_{11} & N_{12}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M_{8}^{\prime}, N_{8}^{\prime}$ are $(n-s) \times n_{2}, M_{9}, N_{9}$ are $s \times n_{0}, M_{10}, N_{10}$ are $s \times\left(j-n_{0}-n_{2}\right)$ (so $\left.s=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{9} M_{10} N_{12}\right)\right)$. Take $r$ so that

$$
n-r=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_{5}^{\prime} & M_{7}^{\prime} & N_{6}^{\prime}+M_{6}^{\prime} Y_{1} & N_{7}^{\prime} & N_{8}^{\prime} \\
M_{9} & 0 & M_{10} Y_{1} & 0 & N_{12}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus using left multiplication from $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ (leaving the lower $s$ rows fixed), we can assume that $p$ divides the upper $r$ rows of $\left(M_{5}^{\prime} M_{7}^{\prime} N_{6}^{\prime}+M_{6}^{\prime} Y_{1} N_{7}^{\prime} N_{8}^{\prime}\right)$, and so

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p^{2} M_{1} & p M_{2} & p M_{3} & M_{4} \\
p M_{5} & p M_{6} & M_{7} & M_{8} \\
M_{9} & M_{10} & M_{11} & M_{12}
\end{array}\right), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
N_{1} & N_{2} & p N_{3} & p^{2} N_{4} \\
N_{5} & N_{6} & N_{7} & p N_{8} \\
N_{9} & N_{10} & N_{11} & N_{12}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $M_{1}, N_{1} r \times n_{0}$. Also, since $p$ divides the upper $r$ rows of $N_{6}^{\prime}+M_{6}^{\prime} Y_{1}$, we have $N_{2} \equiv-M_{2} Y_{1}(p)$ and

$$
\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
M_{5} & M_{7} & N_{6}+M_{6} Y_{1} & N_{7} & N_{8} \\
M_{9} & 0 & M_{10} Y_{1} & 0 & N_{12}
\end{array}\right)=n-r
$$

Note that we necessarily have $\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{4} N_{1} N_{2}\right)=r$; since $Y_{1}$ is invertible modulo $p$ and $N_{2} \equiv-M_{2} Y_{1}(p)$, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M_{2} M_{4} N_{1}\right)=r$. Set

$$
\left(M^{\prime} G N^{\prime t} G^{-1}\right)=X_{r, s}^{-1}\left(M X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} \quad N X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}+M X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} Y\right)
$$

Hence $M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ are integral, and with

$$
Y^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{0} & Y_{2} & Y_{3} & 0 \\
{ }^{t} Y_{2} & & & \\
{ }^{t} Y_{3} & & & \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M^{\prime} G N^{\prime t} G^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(M^{\prime} G N^{\prime t} G^{-1}-M^{\prime} G Y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
M_{1} & M_{2} & M_{3} & M_{4} & N_{1} & \left(N_{2}+M_{2} Y_{1}\right) / p & N_{3} & N_{4} \\
M_{5} & 0 & M_{7} & 0 & 0 & N_{6}+M_{6} Y_{1} & N_{7} & N_{8} \\
M_{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{10} Y_{1} & 0 & N_{12}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \operatorname{rank}_{p}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
M_{1} & M_{2} & 0 & M_{4} & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
M_{5} & 0 & M_{7} & 0 & 0 & N_{6}+M_{6} Y_{1} & N_{7} & N_{8} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{10} Y_{1} & 0 & N_{12}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

So ( $M^{\prime} N^{\prime}$ ) is an integral coprime pair, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(M X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} G^{-1} \tau+N X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}{ }^{t} G+M X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1} Y^{t} G\right)^{-k} \\
& \quad=p^{k(s-r)} \operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime} \tau+N^{\prime}\right)^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now take an index $\sigma^{\prime}, E \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{r, s} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, and set

$$
(M N)=X_{r, s} E\left(M_{\sigma^{\prime}} G X_{n_{0}, n_{2}} \quad{ }^{t} G^{-1} X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1}-M^{\prime} G Y X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1}\right),
$$

where $M^{\prime}$ is $M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ or $(1,-1) \cdot M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$. We first determine exactly when $(M N)$ is an integral coprime pair, and then we determine when

$$
(M N) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

Recall that $G=G_{1} G_{2}$ (as described in Proposition 2.1) with $G_{1}$ varying over $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}$ and $G_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}I_{n_{0}} & & \\ & G^{\prime} & \\ & & I_{n_{2}}\end{array}\right)$ with $G^{\prime}$ varying over $\mathrm{SL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z}) /{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ where $n^{\prime}=n-n_{0}-n_{2}, j^{\prime}=j-n_{0}-n_{2}$; also recall that since we can assume $p^{3} \mid M_{\sigma^{\prime}}$, we have $M \equiv 0(p)$. So to have ( $M N$ ) integral and coprime, we need $X_{r, s} E^{t} G^{-1} X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}$ integral and invertible modulo $p$. Since $X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}$ and $G_{2}$ commute, to have $X_{r, s} E^{t} G^{-1} X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}$ integral, we need $E^{t} G_{1}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}N_{1} & N_{2} & N_{3} \\ p_{4} N_{4} & N_{5} & N_{6} \\ p^{2} N_{7} & p N_{8} & N_{9}\end{array}\right)$ where $N_{1}$ is $r \times n_{0}, N_{9}$ is $s \times n_{2}$, which means we have $\operatorname{rank}_{p} N_{1}=n_{0}, \operatorname{rank}_{p} N_{9}=s$. Then

$$
N \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
N_{1} & p N_{2} & p^{2} N_{3} \\
N_{4} & N_{5} & p N_{6} \\
N_{7} & N_{8} & N_{9}
\end{array}\right)\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

so to have $N$ invertible modulo $p$, we need $\operatorname{rank}_{p} N_{1}=r, \operatorname{rank}_{p} N_{9}=n_{2}$, meaning $r=n_{0}, s=n_{2}$; we then must have $\operatorname{rank}_{p} N_{5}=n-n_{0}-n_{2}$ since $E^{t} G_{1}^{-1}$ is invertible modulo $p$. So suppose $r=n_{0}, s=n_{2}$, and fix $G_{1}$. Then we have $X_{r, s} E^{t} G_{1}^{-1} X_{r, s}^{-1}$ integral if and only if $E^{t} G_{1}^{-1} \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{r, s}$; consequently, ( $M N$ ) is integral and coprime if and only if $r=n_{0}, s=n_{2}$, and $E \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{r, s}{ }^{t} G_{1}$.

To summarize: For any choices of $G_{1} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}, G_{2} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n^{\prime}}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{r, s}\left(p^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
(M N)=X_{r, s} E\left(M_{\sigma^{\prime}} G X_{n_{0}, n_{2}} \quad{ }^{t} G^{-1} X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1}-M^{\prime} G Y X_{n_{0}, n_{2}}^{-1}\right)
$$

integral and coprime if and only if $r=n_{0}, s=n_{2}$, and $E \in{ }^{t} \mathcal{K}_{r, s}{ }^{t} G_{1}$. There are $p^{r s} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-r, s)$ choices for $G_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-r-s, j-r-s)$ choices for $G_{2}$, and $p^{r(r+1)+r(n-r-s)} \operatorname{sym}_{p}(j-r-s)=p^{r(n-s+1)} \operatorname{sym}_{p}(j-r-s)$ choices for $Y$.

With $(M N)$ integral and coprime, we have $\bar{\chi}(M, N)=\bar{\chi}\left(X_{r, s} M_{\sigma^{\prime}} X_{r, s}, I\right)$, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.2, we find that

$$
(M N) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})
$$

if and only if $\left(M_{\sigma^{\prime}} I\right) \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})\left(\left(1, p^{r-s}\right) \cdot M_{\sigma} I\right) \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{N})$. Also, $\mathbb{E}_{\left(1, p^{r-s}\right) \cdot \sigma}=$ $\bar{\chi}\left(\left(1, p^{r-s}\right) \cdot M_{\sigma}, I\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma^{\prime}}$. Note also that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-r, s) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-r-s, j-r-s) \\
& \quad=\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(n, r) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n-r, s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n-r-s, j-r-s)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(r, r) \boldsymbol{\mu}(s, s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(j-r-s, j-r-s)} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(j, r+s)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(j, r+s)} \\
& \quad=\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r, s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this the theorem follows.

We now choose a different set of generators for the Hecke algebra to obtain more attractive eigenvalues.

Definitions. Let $p$ be a prime not dividing $\mathcal{N}$, and fix $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. As in [7], we set

$$
\widetilde{T}_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq j} \chi\left(p^{j-\ell}\right) p^{(j-\ell)(k-n-1)} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n-\ell, j-\ell) T_{\ell}\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

where $T_{0}\left(p^{2}\right)$ is the identity map. The effect of this averaging is to remove on $Y_{1}$ the condition that $p \nmid \operatorname{det} Y_{1}$ (where $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n_{0}, n_{2}}\left(p^{2}\right)$ is as described in Proposition 2.1). For $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ M & I\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we define

$$
R(u) \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}=\mathbb{E}_{(1, u) \cdot \gamma}
$$

and we extend $R(u)$ linearly to $\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$, which we know is spanned by all such $\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}$; by Proposition 5.1, $R(u)$ is well defined. By Theorems 5.2 and 5.4 , we see that $R(u)$ commutes with $T(p)$ and $T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)(p$ prime, $p \nmid \mathcal{N}, 1 \leq j \leq n)$. Thus

$$
\left\{T(p), T_{j}\left(p^{2}\right), R(p): \text { prime } p \nmid \mathcal{N}, 0 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

generates a commutative algebra of operators on $\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$. Set

$$
T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{i} p^{i(i-1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n-j+i, i) \widetilde{T}_{j-i}\left(p^{2}\right) R\left(\bar{p}^{i}\right)
$$

where $p \bar{p} \equiv 1(\mathcal{N})$. (Recall that $R(u)$ is defined for any $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(u, \mathcal{N})=1$, so $R\left(\bar{p}^{i}\right)$ makes sense.)

Corollary 5.5. We have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n, j) p^{(k-n) j+j(j-1) / 2} \chi\left(p^{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{j}\left(\psi_{2} \chi(p) p^{k-i}+1\right) .
$$

When $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, we have $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma} \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma} \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)$ $\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\sigma}$ where

$$
\lambda_{j ; \sigma}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(n, j) p^{(k-n) j+j(j-1) / 2} \chi\left(p^{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{j}\left(\chi \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{N}_{n}}^{2}(p) p^{k-i}+1\right)
$$

Proof. Write $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(m, r)$. Using Theorem 5.4, averaging over $v, w \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{N}}$ (and replacing $w$ by $w p^{r-s}$ inside the sum on $r, s$ in the formula of Theorem 5.4), we get $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid \widetilde{T}_{j}\left(p^{2}\right)=\widetilde{\lambda}_{j ; \sigma, \psi}\left(p^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\lambda}_{j ; \sigma, \psi}\left(p^{2}\right)= & \sum_{\ell, r, s} \chi\left(p^{j+s-r}\right) \psi_{2}\left(p^{s-r}\right) p^{j(k-n-1)+r(n+1)+k(s-r)} \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, \ell) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-\ell, j-\ell) \boldsymbol{\beta}(\ell, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(\ell-r, s) \operatorname{sym}_{p}(\ell-r-s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq \ell \leq j, 0 \leq r+s \leq \ell$, or equivalently, $0 \leq r+s \leq j, r+s \leq \ell \leq j$. We make the change of variables $\ell \mapsto j-\ell$ and use that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j-\ell) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-j+\ell, \ell) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-\ell, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-\ell-r, s) \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(j, \ell)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(j, \ell)} \\
&=\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r, s) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r-s, \ell) \\
&=\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r, s) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r-s, j-\ell-r-s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we make the change of variable $\ell \mapsto j-\ell-r-s$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\lambda}_{j ; \sigma, \psi}\left(p^{2}\right)= & \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j) \sum_{0 \leq r+s \leq j} \chi\left(p^{j+s-r}\right) \psi_{2}\left(p^{s-r}\right) p^{j(k-n-1)+r(n+1)+k(s-r)} \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}(j, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r, s) \cdot \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq j-r-s} \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r-s, \ell) \operatorname{sym}_{p}(\ell) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 6.7, the sum on $\ell$ is $p^{(j-r-s)(j-r-s+1) / 2}$.
We have $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid R\left(\bar{p}^{i}\right)=\bar{\psi}_{2}\left(p^{i}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$. Thus $\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi} \mid T_{j}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \psi}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i, r, s}(-1)^{i} p^{i(i-1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-j+i, i) \bar{\psi}_{2}\left(p^{i}\right) \chi\left(p^{j-i+s-r}\right) \psi_{2}\left(p^{s-r}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot p^{(j-i-r)(k-n-1)+k s+(j-i-r-s)(j-i-r-s+1) / 2} \\
& \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j-i) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-i, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-i-r, s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq i \leq j, 0 \leq r \leq j-i, 0 \leq s \leq j-i-r$. Making the change of variable $r \mapsto j-i-r$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)= & \sum_{i, r, s}(-1)^{i} p^{i(i-1) / 2} \chi\left(p^{r+s}\right) \psi_{2}\left(p^{s+r-j}\right) p^{r(k-n-1)+k s+(r-s)(r-s+1) / 2} \\
& \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-j+i, i) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j-i) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-i, j-i-r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(r, s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq i \leq j, 0 \leq r \leq j-i, 0 \leq s \leq r$, or equivalently, $0 \leq r \leq j, 0 \leq i \leq j-r$, $0 \leq s \leq r$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\beta}(n & -j+i, i) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j-i) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-i, r) \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}(j, i)}{\boldsymbol{\mu}(j, i)} \\
& =\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j, r) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r, i) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, using the relation $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)=p^{r} \boldsymbol{\beta}(m-1, r)+\boldsymbol{\beta}(m-1, r-1)$, we get

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{j-r}(-1)^{i} p^{i(i-1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}(j-r, i)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j=r \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence $\lambda_{j ; \sigma, \psi}^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=\chi\left(p^{j}\right) p^{j(k-n-1)+j(j+1) / 2} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, j) S(j, k-j)$ where

$$
S(j, y)=\sum_{s=0}^{j} p^{y s+s(s-1) / 2} \chi \psi_{1}\left(p^{s}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}(j, s)
$$

Using the identity $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, s)=p^{s} \boldsymbol{\beta}(m-1, s)+\boldsymbol{\beta}(m-1, s-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(j, k-j) & =\left(\chi \psi_{2}(p) p^{k-j}+1\right) S(j-1, k-j+1) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{j}\left(\chi \psi_{2}(p) p^{k-i}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

proving the corollary.

## 6. Lemmas

Lemma 6.1. Suppose $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$with $\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$.
(a) Given any $E^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, there is some $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $E \equiv E^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ and $E \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(b) Suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Then there is some $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ so that $\gamma^{\prime} \equiv \gamma\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof. (a) Choose $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $y \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}+z \mathcal{N}^{\prime}=1$. Thus $\left(y \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}, z \mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)=1$, so there are $w, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $w z\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-x y\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=1$. Hence $G_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}w \mathcal{N}^{\prime} & x \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \\ y \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} & z \mathcal{N}^{\prime}\end{array}\right) \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $G_{0} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right), G_{0} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}, G_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & b y \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), G_{2}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{rr}1 & 0 \\ b y \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} & 1\end{array}\right)$, we have $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $G_{1}, G_{2} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right), G_{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & b \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$, $G_{2} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ b & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Also, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $q \nmid a$, take $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $c \equiv 1\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $c \equiv a\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $\left(c, \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=1$ so there are $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $c u-\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} v=1$. Set $G_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}c & \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \\ v \mathcal{N}^{\prime} \mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} & u\end{array}\right)$. So $G_{3} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}), G_{3} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right), G_{3} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}c & 0 \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ (where $\left.c \bar{c} \equiv 1\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. For any $d, 0 \leq d \leq n-2$, the map

$$
G \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{lll}
I_{d} & & \\
& G & \\
& & I_{n-d-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an embedding of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ into $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we have matrices that allow us to perform "local" elementary row and column operations modulo $\mathcal{N}^{\prime}$ within $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, given some $E^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, there are $G, G^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $G, G^{\prime} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $G E^{\prime} G^{\prime} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$; hence with $E=\left(G^{\prime} G\right)^{-1}$, we have $E \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $E \equiv$ $I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right), E \equiv E^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) Write $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$; so $C \equiv 0\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Set $a=\operatorname{det} A$; since $A^{t} D \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$, we can choose $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $a \bar{a} \equiv 1\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. So we can choose $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $G \equiv$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\bar{a} & \\ & I\end{array}\right) A\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right), G \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. We know $A^{t} B$ is symmetric; set $W=\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \overline{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime \prime} G^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\bar{a} & \\ & I\end{array}\right) B$
where $\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime} \overline{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime \prime} \equiv 1\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Now take $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & \bar{a}\end{array}\right)\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}w & x \\ y & z\end{array}\right) \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Set

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
w & & x & \\
& I_{n-1} & & 0 \\
y & & z & \\
& 0 & & I_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G & G W \\
0 & { }^{t} G^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

so $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right), \gamma \equiv \gamma\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\gamma^{\prime} \equiv I\left(\mathcal{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
Lemma 6.2. Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} x_{n}$; fix a prime $q$ and let $\mathcal{K}_{d}=\mathcal{K}_{d}(q)$. The elements of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{d}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with lattices $\Omega$ where $q \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \Lambda$ and $[\Lambda: \Omega]=q^{d}$. The correspondence is given as follows: For $G \mathcal{K}_{d} \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{d}, \Omega$ is the lattice with basis

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \cdots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right) G\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q I_{d} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Further, the number of such $\Omega$ is $\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r)$.
Proof. Given $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we map $G$ to the sublattice of $\Lambda$ with basis

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right) G\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q I_{d} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Clearly each $\Omega$ described in the lemma can be obtained this way. Further, for $H \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right) G H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q I_{d} & \\
& I
\end{array}\right)
$$

is also a basis for $\Omega$ if and only if $H \in \mathcal{K}_{d}$. Also, each such $\Omega$ corresponds to a dimension $n-r$ subspace of $\Lambda / q \Lambda$, of which there are $\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, n-r)=\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, r)$.

For $d, r \geq 0, d+r \leq n$, let $\mathcal{K}_{d, r}(q)$ be the subspace of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
G_{1} & B_{1} & B_{2} \\
C_{1} & G_{2} & B_{3} \\
C_{2} & C_{3} & G_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $G_{1}$ is $d \times d, G_{3}$ is $r \times r, B_{1}, B_{3} \equiv 0(q), B_{2} \equiv 0\left(q^{2}\right)$.
Lemma 6.3. Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} x_{n}$; fix a prime $q$ and let $\mathcal{K}_{d, r}=\mathcal{K}_{d, r}(q)$. For $\Omega$ a sublattice of $\Lambda$ containing $q^{2} \Lambda$, let $m_{i}$ denote the multiplicity of $q^{i}$ among the invariant factors $\{\Lambda: \Omega\}$. Then the elements of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{d, r}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with sublattices $\Omega$ of $\Lambda$ containing $q^{2} \Lambda$ with $m_{0}=r$ and $m_{2}=d$. The
correspondence is given as follows: For $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{d, r}, \Omega$ is the lattice with basis

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right) G\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q^{2} I_{d} & & \\
& q I & \\
& & I_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Further, there are $q^{d r} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n, d) \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-d, r)$ such $\Omega$.
Proof. Given $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, we map $G$ to the sublattice of $\Lambda$ with basis

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right) G\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q^{2} I_{d} & & \\
& q I & \\
& & I_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly each $\Omega$ described in the lemma can be obtained this way. Further, for $H \in$ $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right) G H\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q^{2} I_{d} & & \\
& q I & \\
& & I_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is also a basis for $\Omega$ if and only if $H \in \mathcal{K}_{d, r}$.
On the other hand, given such $\Omega$, we have $\Omega=q^{2} \Lambda_{0} \oplus q \Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}$ where $\Lambda=$ $\Lambda_{0} \oplus \Lambda_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}$ with $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{0}=d$, $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{2}=r$. We can construct all such $\Omega$ as follows. First let $\Delta$ be the preimage in $\Lambda$ of a dimension $n-d$ subspace of $\Lambda / q \Lambda$; there are $\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, n-d)=\boldsymbol{\beta}(n, d)$ such subspaces. Then let $\Omega$ be the preimage in $\Delta$ of a dimension $r$ subspace of $\Delta / q \Delta$ that is independent of $\overline{q \Lambda}$; there are $q^{d r} \boldsymbol{\beta}(n-d, r)$ choices. Since $\Delta=\frac{1}{q} \Omega \cap \Lambda$, a different choice in step 1 or step 2 of this construction yields a different lattice $\Omega$.

Remark. Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} x_{n}, \Lambda^{\#}=\mathbb{Z} y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} y_{n}$ where $\left(y_{1} \cdots y_{n}\right)$ is the basis dual to $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)$. Then for $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, the basis dual to $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) G$ is $\left(y_{1} \ldots y_{n}\right)^{t} G^{-1}$; thus the elements of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{d}(q)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with subspaces $\mathbb{F} y_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} y_{d}^{\prime} \subseteq \Lambda^{\#} / q \Lambda^{\#}$. Similarly, the elements of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{d, r}(q)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with sublattices $\Omega^{\prime}$ where $q^{2} \Lambda^{\#} \subseteq \Omega^{\prime} \subseteq \Lambda^{\#}$ and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
y_{1} & \ldots & y_{n}
\end{array}\right)^{t} G^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
I_{d} & & \\
& q I & \\
& & q^{2} I_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a basis for $\Omega^{\prime}$.
Lemma 6.4. Fix a prime $q$; suppose $M^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n, n}$ with $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{=} \mathcal{K}_{d}(q)$, $\mathcal{K}_{m, r}=\mathcal{K}_{m, r}(q), \boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(m, r)$.
(a) For $0 \leq d \leq d^{\prime}$, there are $q^{d\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)} \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, d\right)$ choices for $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that the top $d$ rows of $E M^{\prime}$ are linearly independent modulo $q$.
(b) For $r, m, s \geq 0$ so that $d^{\prime}-r \leq m+s \leq d^{\prime}$, there are

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(n-d^{\prime}, n-r-m-s\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, m+s\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}(m+s, m) q^{m\left(n+r-d^{\prime}\right)+s\left(r+m+s-d^{\prime}\right)}
$$

choices for $E \in \mathcal{K}_{m, r} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that the $q$-rank of the top $m$ rows of $E M^{\prime}$ is $m$ and the q-rank of the top $n-r$ rows of $E M^{\prime}$ is $m+s$.

Proof. (a) Take $E_{0} \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ so that $q$ divides the lower $n-d^{\prime}$ rows of $E_{0} M^{\prime}$; as $E$ varies over a set of representatives for $\mathcal{K}_{d} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, so does $E E_{0}$. Thus we may as well assume that $q$ divides the lower $n-d^{\prime}$ rows of $M^{\prime}$. We know by Lemma 6.2 and the remark preceding this lemma that each $E \in \mathcal{K}_{d} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to a sublattice $\Omega=\mathbb{F} y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} y_{n}$ of $\Lambda=\mathbb{F} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} x_{n}$ with $[\Lambda: \Omega]=q^{n-d}$, where

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{d} & \\
& q I_{n-d}
\end{array}\right) E\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{d} & \\ & q I_{n-d}\end{array}\right) E M^{\prime}=d$ if and only if $E$ is chosen so that $\mathbb{F} y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} y_{d}$ is independent of $\mathbb{F} x_{d^{\prime}+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} x_{n}$; there are $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, d\right) q^{d\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)}$ such subspaces.
(b) Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} x_{n}$, and let $\Lambda\left({ }^{t} M^{\prime}\right) \bmod q$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{n, 1}$ obtained by replacing each $x_{i}$ by column $i$ of ${ }^{t} M^{\prime}$ modulo $q$. We know that each element $E \in \mathcal{K}_{m, r} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to a lattice $\Omega=\Lambda_{0} \oplus q \Lambda_{1} \oplus q^{2} \Lambda_{2}$ with basis

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right)^{t} E\left(\begin{array}{lll}
I_{m} & & \\
& q I & \\
& & q^{2} I_{r}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We want to choose $\Omega$ so that, with $\Delta=\frac{1}{q} \Omega \cap \Lambda=\Lambda_{0} \oplus \Lambda_{1} \oplus q \Lambda_{2}$, the map $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda\left({ }^{t} M^{\prime}\right) \bmod q$ takes $\Omega$ to a dimension $m$ subspace and $\Delta$ to a dimension $m+s$ subspace. Let $m_{i}=\operatorname{rank} \Lambda_{i}$.

Given $\Omega$ a sublattice of $\Lambda$ containing $q^{2} \Lambda$ and with $m_{0}=m, m_{2}=r, \Omega$ determines a unique dimension $n-r$ sublattice $\bar{\Delta}=\overline{\frac{1}{q} \Omega \cap \Lambda}$ of $\Lambda / q \Lambda$, and then with $\Delta$ the preimage of $\bar{\Delta}$ in $\Lambda$, and $\Omega$ determines a unique dimension $m$ sublattice $\bar{\Omega}$ of $\Delta / q \Delta$. Thus we can build all $\Omega$ corresponding to $\mathcal{K}_{m, r} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ by first choosing a dimension $n-r$ subspace $\bar{\Delta}$ of $\Lambda / q \Lambda$; then the preimage of $\bar{\Delta}$ is $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \oplus q \Lambda_{2}$ where $\Lambda_{2}$ has rank $r$ and $\Lambda=\Delta_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{2}$. Then in $\Delta / q \Delta$, we choose a dimension $m$ subspace $\bar{\Omega}$ that is independent of $\overline{q \Lambda}=\overline{q \Lambda_{2}}$; then the preimage of $\bar{\Omega}$ in $\Delta$ is $\Omega$. So each $\Omega$ corresponds to a (unique) dimension $n-r$ subspace $\bar{\Delta}$ of $\Lambda / q \Lambda$, and a (unique) dimension $m$ subspace of $\Delta / q \Delta$.

We know $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda\left({ }^{t} M^{\prime}\right) \bmod q$, so $\Lambda=W \oplus R$ where

$$
R=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda\left({ }^{t} M^{\prime}\right) \bmod q\right)
$$

So in $\Lambda / q \Lambda, \operatorname{dim} \bar{R}=n-d^{\prime}$. We choose $\bar{\Delta}$ of dimension $n-r$ in $\Lambda / q \Lambda$ so that $\operatorname{dim} \bar{\Delta} \cap \bar{R}=n-r-m-s$. Thus there are

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(n-d^{\prime}, n-r-m-s\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d^{\prime}, m+s\right) q^{(m+s)\left(m+s+r-d^{\prime}\right)}
$$

choices for $\Delta$ so that $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda\left({ }^{t} M^{\prime}\right) \bmod q$ takes $\Delta$ to a dimension $m+s$ subspace. Then $\Delta / q \Delta=\bar{U} \oplus \bar{R}^{\prime}$ where $\operatorname{dim} \bar{U}=m+s$ and $R^{\prime} \subseteq R+q \Lambda$. We choose $\bar{\Omega}$ of dimension $m$ and independent of $\bar{R}^{\prime}$; so we have $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m+s, m) q^{m(n-m-s)}$ choices for $\Omega \subseteq \Delta$ so that $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda\left({ }^{t} M^{\prime}\right) \bmod q$ takes $\Omega$ to a dimension $m$ subspace.

Lemma 6.5. Fix a prime $q$ and write $\boldsymbol{\beta}(m, r)$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{q}(m, r)$. Suppose $\left(M^{\prime} N^{\prime}\right)$ is an $n \times n$ coprime symmetric pair such that

$$
M^{\prime} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{q}\\
0 & C & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $A_{1} d_{1} \times d_{1}, C\left(d_{4}+d_{5}\right) \times\left(d_{4}+d_{5}\right), C^{\prime}\left(d_{7}+d_{8}\right) \times\left(d_{7}+d_{8}\right)$, and $A_{1}, C, C^{\prime}$ invertible modulo $q$. (So with $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{rank}_{q} M^{\prime}$, we have $d^{\prime}=d_{1}+d_{4}+d_{5}+d_{7}+d_{8}$.) Suppose also that $d_{1}+d_{5}+d_{7} \leq j \leq n-d_{4}-d_{8}$, and set $r=j-d_{1}-d_{5}+d_{8}$. Then there are

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{4}+d_{5}, d_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{7}+d_{8}, d_{8}\right) q^{\left(d_{4}+d_{8}\right)\left(j-d_{1}-d_{5}\right)-d_{7} d_{8}}
$$

choices for $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$ so that, writing

$$
M^{\prime} G=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4} \\
M_{5} & M_{6}
\end{array}\right), \quad N^{\prime t} G^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{1} & N_{2} \\
N_{3} & N_{4} \\
N_{5} & N_{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $M_{1}, N_{1} d_{1} \times j, M_{5}, N_{5} r \times j$, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{1}=d_{1}, M_{2} \equiv 0(q), \operatorname{rank}_{q} M_{4}=d_{4}$, $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\binom{M_{4}}{M_{6}}=d_{4}+d_{8}, \operatorname{rank}_{q}\binom{M_{1}}{M_{3}}=d_{1}+d_{5}$, the lower $n-r-d_{1}-d_{4}-d_{5}$ rows of $N_{3}$ are 0 modulo $q$, and the upper $r-d_{7}-d_{8}$ rows of $N_{5}$ have q-rank $r-d_{7}-d_{8}$.

Proof. Let $V=\mathbb{F} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} x_{n}$. We know by Lemma 6.2 that the elements $G \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) / \mathcal{K}_{j}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the subspaces $W=\mathbb{F} x_{j+1}^{\prime} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} x_{n}^{\prime}$ where $\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \ldots x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & \ldots & x_{n}\end{array}\right) \bar{G}$. We translate the lemma's criteria on $G$ to criteria on $W$, and then count such $W$.

Let $V^{\#}=\mathbb{F} y_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} y_{n}$ be the dual space for $V$; so for $G \in \operatorname{SL}_{n}(\mathbb{F})$, $\left(\begin{array}{lll}y_{1} & \ldots & y_{n}\end{array}\right)^{t} G^{-1}$ is the basis dual to $\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) \bar{G}$. Let $V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{n, 1}$ obtained by replacing each $x_{i}$ by the $i$ th column of $M^{\prime}$ modulo $q$. We split $V$ as $V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus V_{3} \oplus V_{4}$ as follows. Let ( $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ ) denote (the columns of) the top $d_{1}+d_{4}+d_{5}$ rows of $M^{\prime},\left(g_{1} \ldots g_{n}\right)$ the top $d_{1}$ rows of $M^{\prime}$; set

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{4} & =\operatorname{ker}\left(V \mapsto V\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
V_{3} \oplus V_{4} & =\operatorname{ker}\left(V \mapsto V\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)\right), \\
V_{2} \oplus V_{3} \oplus V_{4} & =\operatorname{ker}\left(V \mapsto V\left(g_{1} \ldots g_{n}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(So $\operatorname{dim} V_{4}=n-d^{\prime}, \operatorname{dim} V_{3}=d_{7}+d_{8}, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=d_{4}+d_{5}, \operatorname{dim} V_{1}=d_{1}$.) Thus with $W$ determined by $G$ as above, $M^{\prime} G$ meets the criteria of the lemma if and only if
the map $V \mapsto V\left(g_{1} \ldots g_{n}\right)$ takes $W$ to a dimension 0 subspace, $V \mapsto V\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)$ takes $W$ to a dimension $d_{4}$ subspace, $V \mapsto V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ takes $W$ to a dimension $d_{4}+d_{8}$ subspace.

This splitting $V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus V_{3} \oplus V_{4}$ corresponds to a splitting $V^{\#}=V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus$ $V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime} \oplus V_{4}^{\prime}$ where $V_{1}^{\prime}, V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime}, V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime}$ are uniquely determined (recall that $V_{4}^{\perp}=V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime}$, etc.). Let $\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right)$ be rows $d_{1}+d_{4}+d_{5}+1$ through $n-d_{7}-d_{8}$ of $N^{\prime},\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right)$ be rows $d_{1}+d_{4}+d_{5}+1$ through $n-r$ of $N^{\prime}$.

With $U^{\prime}=W^{\perp} \subseteq V^{\#}, N^{\prime t} G^{-1}$ meets the criteria of the lemma if and only if the map $V^{\#} \mapsto V^{\#}\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right)$ takes $U^{\prime}$ to a dimension 0 subspace, and $V^{\#} \mapsto$ $V^{\#}\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right)$ takes $U^{\prime}$ to a dimension $r-d_{7}-d_{8}$ subspace.

Now we construct and count all dimension $n-j$ subspaces $W$ of $V$ so that the above criteria for $W$ and $W^{\perp}$ is met.

We know by the symmetry of $M^{\prime t} N^{\prime}$ that

$$
V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker}\left(V^{\#} \mapsto V^{\#}\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right)\right)
$$

so ker $\left(V^{\#} \mapsto V^{\#}\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right)\right)=V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime} \oplus U_{4}^{\prime}$, with $U_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq V_{4}^{\prime}$. We need to choose $W$ so that under the map $V^{\#} \mapsto V^{\#}\left(N^{\prime}\right), U^{\prime}=W^{\perp}$ is mapped to a dimension 0 subspace. Equivalently, we need $U^{\prime} \subseteq V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime} \oplus U_{4}^{\prime}$, which means $W_{4} \subseteq W$ where $W_{4}=\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime} \oplus V_{3}^{\prime} \oplus U_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \subseteq V_{4}$. (So we can split $V_{4}=U_{4} \oplus W_{4}$.) Since $\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=1$, $\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right) \equiv(0 D)(q)$ where $D$ is $\left(n-d^{\prime}\right) \times\left(n-d^{\prime}\right)$ with $\operatorname{rank}_{q} D=n-d^{\prime}$. So $V^{\#} \mapsto V^{\#}\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{n}\right)$ automatically takes $U_{4}^{\prime}$ to a subspace of dimension $r-d_{7}-d_{8}$. Since $\left(M^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)=1$, we also know $\operatorname{rank}_{q}\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right)=n-j-d_{4}-d_{8}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(V^{\#} \mapsto V^{\#}\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right)\right)=r+d_{1}+d_{4}+d_{5}
$$

so $\operatorname{dim} U_{4}^{\prime}=r-d_{7}-d_{8}$; thus $\operatorname{dim} W_{4}=\operatorname{dim} V_{4}-\operatorname{dim} U_{4}^{\prime}=n-j-d_{4}-d_{8}$.
We need $\operatorname{dim} W=n-j$, and we need $V \mapsto V\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)$ to take $W$ to a dimension $d_{4}$ subspace. Thus $W$ must be of the form $W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}$ where $W_{3} \oplus$ $W_{4} \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(V \mapsto V\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)\right)=V_{3} \oplus V_{4}, \operatorname{dim} W_{3} \oplus W_{4}=n-j-d_{4}$, and $W_{2}$ is independent of $V_{3} \oplus V_{4}$. Since we need $V \mapsto V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ to take $W$ to a dimension $d_{4}+d_{8}$ subspace, we must have $W_{3}$ independent of $\operatorname{ker}\left(V \mapsto V\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right)=V_{4}$. So we extend $W_{4}$ to $W_{3} \oplus W_{4}$ where $\operatorname{dim} W_{3}=d_{8}$ with $W_{3}$ independent of $V_{4}$; thus we have $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{7}+d_{8}, d_{8}\right) q^{d_{8}\left(j-d_{1}-d_{5}-d_{7}\right)}$ choices for $W_{3}$. Then we extend $W_{3} \oplus W_{4}$ to $W_{2} \oplus W_{3} \oplus W_{4}$ where $\operatorname{dim} W_{2}=d_{4}$ and $W_{2}$ is independent of $V_{3} \oplus V_{4}$; thus we have $\boldsymbol{\beta}\left(d_{4}+d_{5}, d_{4}\right) q^{d_{4}\left(j-d_{1}-d_{5}\right)}$ choices for $W_{2}$.

Lemma 6.6. Suppose $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free, $\chi$ is a character modulo $\mathcal{N}$, and $q$ is a prime dividing $\mathcal{N}$. Set $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$
\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t)=\sum_{U \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{t, t}} \chi_{q}(\operatorname{det} U)
$$

Then $\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t) \neq 0$ if and only if either (1) $\chi_{q}=1$, or (2) $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$ and $t$ is even.
Proof. Say $q=2$. Then $\chi_{q}=1$ (since $\mathcal{N}$ is square-free), so $\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t)$ is the number of invertible, symmetric $t \times t$ matrices modulo 2; clearly this is non-zero.

So suppose $q$ is odd. Set $J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\omega & \\ & I_{t-1}\end{array}\right)$ where $\omega$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}$. We know $\mathrm{GL}_{t}(\mathbb{F})$ acts by conjugation on the subset of invertible elements of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{t, t}$; the orbits are represented by $I$ and $J$. Note that for $U \in F_{\mathrm{sym}}^{t, t}, U$ is in the orbit of $I$ (respectively, the orbit of $J$ ) if and only if, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, we have $\operatorname{det} U=\alpha^{2}$ (respectively, $\operatorname{det} U=\alpha^{2} \omega$ ); also, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, the number of $U \in \mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}$ with $\operatorname{det} U=\alpha^{2}$ (respectively, with $\operatorname{det} U=\alpha^{2} \omega$ ) is the number of $U \in \mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}$ with $\operatorname{det} U=1$ (respectively, with $\operatorname{det} U=\omega$ ). With $o(U)$ the order of the stabilizer of $U$, we know $o(U)=o\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ when $U, U^{\prime}$ are in the same orbit. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t)= & \frac{2}{q-1} \cdot \frac{\# \mathrm{GL}_{t}(\mathbb{F})}{o(I)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}} \chi_{q}\left(\alpha^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{q-1} \cdot \frac{\# \mathrm{GL}_{t}(\mathbb{F})}{o(J)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}} \chi_{q}\left(\alpha^{2} \omega\right) \\
= & \frac{\# \mathrm{GL}_{t}(\mathbb{F})}{q-1}\left(\frac{1}{o(I)}+\frac{\chi_{q}(\omega)}{o(J)}\right) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}} \chi_{q}^{2}(\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{sym}_{q}^{\chi}(t)=0$ if $\chi_{q}^{2} \neq 1$. Suppose $\chi_{q}^{2}=1$; then $\chi_{q}(\omega)=-1$ if and only if $\chi_{q} \neq 1$. Also, by the theory of quadratic forms over finite fields (see, for instance, [6]), we know $o(I)=o(J)$ if and only if $t$ is odd, so the lemma follows.

Lemma 6.7. For $p$ prime, $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, we have $\sum_{\ell=0}^{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(t, \ell) \operatorname{sym}_{p}(\ell)=p^{t(t+1) / 2}$.
Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$; take $V=\mathbb{F} x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} x_{t}$. For each $t-\ell$-dimensional subspace $R$ of $V$, fix $G_{R} \in \mathrm{GL}_{t}(\mathbb{F})$ so that $R=\mathbb{F} y_{\ell+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} y_{t}$ where $\left(\begin{array}{lll}y_{1} & \cdots & y_{t}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}x_{1} & \cdots & x_{t}\end{array}\right) G_{R}$. Take $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}$ so that $\operatorname{rank} Q=\ell$. Let $(V, Q)$ denote the quadratic space with $Q$ the quadratic form on $V$ relative to the basis $\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{t}\right)$. By the uniqueness of the radical of $V$ (with respect to $Q$ ), there exists a unique $R$ so that ${ }^{t} G_{R} Q G_{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}U & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ where $U \in \mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{\ell, \ell}$ with $U$ invertible, and there are $\operatorname{sym}_{p}(\ell)$ possibilities for $U$ (depending on $Q$ ). Hence $\mathbb{F}_{\text {sym }}^{t, t}$ is partitioned into sets $\{Q: \operatorname{rank} Q=\ell\}, 0 \leq \ell \leq t$, and given $\ell,\{Q: \operatorname{rank} Q=\ell\}$ is partitioned into sets $\left\{Q:{ }^{t} G_{R} Q G_{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}U & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)\right\}, R$ varying over dimension $t-\ell$ subspaces of $V$, of which there are $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(t, t-\ell)=\boldsymbol{\beta}_{p}(t, \ell), U$ varying over invertible elements on $\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{\ell, \ell}$, of which there are $\operatorname{sym}_{p}(\ell)$. From this the lemma follows.
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