## **OPT2** Problem Sheet 8. Lagrange multipliers method

## Equality constraints: "standard" Lagrange multipliers

- 1. Find the constrained extrema of the following functions:
  - (a)  $z = x^2 + y^2 xy + x + y 4$ , s.t. x + y + 3 = 0;
  - (b) Optional  $z = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}$ , s.t. x + y = 2;
  - (c)  $u = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ , s.t.  $\frac{x^2}{16} + \frac{y^2}{9} + \frac{z^2}{4} = 1;$
- 2. Find the dimensions of a rectangular open tin tub (a rectangular parallelepiped, without the upper facet) of the given volume V, whose production would require the minimum amount of tin (minimize the tub's surface area).

## Inequalty constraints: Kuhn-Tucker conditions

Note: Kuhn-Tucker (KT), or Lagrange/Kuhn-Tucker conditions arise as a modification of "standard" Largange multipliers for the case of inequality constraints. The acronym CQ refers to the "Constraint Qualification" nondegeneracy assumption, which is again an adaptation of the non-degeneracy condition of linear independence of constraints' gradients to the case of inequality constraints, requiring a bit more jargon. KT conditions are necessary conditions for some  $\boldsymbol{x}$  to be an extremum *only if* the assumption CQ is satisfied at  $\boldsymbol{x}$ . The assumption itself is that for each *feasible direction*  $\boldsymbol{v}$  (i.e a nonzero vector  $\boldsymbol{v}$  beginning at  $\boldsymbol{x}$ ), which forms an angle of no more than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  with the gradient of every constraint, which is tight at  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , there is a feasible path, beginning at  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , whose tangent vector at  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is  $\boldsymbol{v}$ . In other words, every *feasible direction*  $\boldsymbol{v}$  at  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a *true feasible direction*. (Otherwise KT conditions may or may not succeed, as the problems below indicate.) This jargon can be used in the standard Lagrange multipliers as well: there *true feasible* would be directions tangent to the feasible set, and just *feasible* – those, perpendicular to all gradients of constraints. A *true feasible* direction is always a *feasible one*. The non-degeneracy assumption is reversing it: it assumes that all feasible directions, which are easy to describe in terms of the constraints' gradients, are, in fact, *true* ones. That is, tangent to the feasible set in the case of equality constraints and pointing into the feasible set for the case of inequality constraints.

- 1. Problem: Max  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1$  for  $(x_1, x_2) \in F$ , where  $F = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \boldsymbol{x} \ge 0, (1 x_1)^5 x_2 \ge 0 \}$ 
  - (a) Sketch F, guess the maximizer.
  - (b) Show CQ is not satisfied at the maximiser. (I.e identify a feasible direction which is not a true feasible direction.)
  - (c) Set up the Lagrangian and verify that the system of Kuhn-Tucker conditions is inconsistent.
  - (d) Add an additional constraint  $x_1 \leq 1$ . Set up the Lagrangian again, write down the Kuhn-Tucker conditions, find their solutions, hence identifying the maximiser. Argue that CQ is now satisfied as well.
  - (e) Return to the initial problem, only now the objective is Max  $x_2$ . Guess the maximizer and verify your guess by solving the system of Kuhn-Tucker conditions. Argue that CQ is satisfied.
- 2. Let P be a problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of min x, subject to a constraint  $y^2 \leq x^3$ .
  - (a) Sketch the feasible set F and identify the minimizer.
  - (b) Set up the Lagrangian and the KT conditions for P, and show that they are inconsistent. What does this tell us about CQ at the minimiser?

- (c) Let P' be a problem, obtained by adding to P an extra constraint  $x \ge 0$ . Proceed as in (b) and show that now the KT conditions have a one-parameter family of solutions, which identifies the minimizer uniquely. Verify explicitly that not withstanding the success of KT method in (c), CQ still fails at the minimiser.
- 3. Optional: Consider a problem P: minimize x such that  $-x^5 \le y \le x^5$ . Do a sketch, find minimizer. Show that CQ are not satisfied. Write down the Lagrange/Kuhn-Tucker conditions and show that they are inconsistent.

Now modify the problem by adding a constraint  $x \ge 0$ . The sketch is the same. Show that CQ are now satisfied and Lagrange/Kuhn-Tucker conditions yield the minimiser.

- 4. Optional: Consider the quadratic program Max/Min  $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T C \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$ , s.t.  $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$ ,  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ . Above, C is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (a) Write the Kuhn-Tucker conditions for this problem.
  - (b) What assumption on C will be sufficient to ensure the existence and uniqueness of the global maximizer/minimizer.