Second class particles can perform simple random walks (in some cases) Joint with György Farkas, Péter Kovács and Attila Rákos

Márton Balázs

Budapest University of Technology and Economics

Workshop on Interacting Stochastic Particle Systems CRM, Montréal, Canada, May 18-23, 2009.

The models

Asymmetric simple exclusion process Zero range process Generalized ZRP Bricklayers process Stationary distributions

Hydrodynamics

The second class particle

Earlier results

The question





Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.


Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.



Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p, to the left with rate q = 1 - p < p.







Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$


Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



Particles jump to the right from site *i* with rate $r(\omega_i)$



i = i + 1



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $\mathbf{r}(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.


a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.

A generalized totally asymmetric zero range process:

TABLP

 $\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}$



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.



a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i)$.




























































































a brick is added with rate $r(\omega_i) + r(-\omega_{i+1})$.

A mirror-symmetrized version of the extended zero range. Left and right jumps of the dynamics cooperate, if $(r(\omega) \cdot r(1 - \omega) = 1; r \text{ non-decreasing}).$

Stationary product distributions

For the ASEP: the Bernoulli(ρ) distribution is time-stationary for any ($0 \le \rho \le 1$).

Stationary product distributions

For the ASEP: the Bernoulli(ρ) distribution is time-stationary for any ($0 \le \rho \le 1$).

For zero range, bricklayers: the product of marginals

$$\mu^{\theta}(\omega_i) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\theta\omega_i}}{r(\omega_i)!} \cdot \frac{1}{Z(\theta)}$$

is stationary for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ that makes $Z(\theta)$ finite.

Stationary product distributions

For the ASEP: the Bernoulli(ρ) distribution is time-stationary for any ($0 \le \rho \le 1$).

For zero range, bricklayers: the product of marginals

$$\mu^{\theta}(\omega_i) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\theta\omega_i}}{r(\omega_i)!} \cdot \frac{1}{Z(\theta)}$$

is stationary for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ that makes $Z(\theta)$ finite.

Here r(0)! := 1, and $r(z+1)! = r(z)! \cdot r(z+1)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The *density* $\varrho := \mathbf{E}(\omega)$ and the *hydrodynamic flux* $H := \mathbf{E}[\text{growth rate}]$ both depend on a parameter ϱ or θ of the stationary distribution.

The *density* $\varrho := \mathbf{E}(\omega)$ and the *hydrodynamic flux* $H := \mathbf{E}[\text{growth rate}]$ both depend on a parameter ϱ or θ of the stationary distribution.

• $H(\varrho)$ is the hydrodynamic flux function.

The *density* $\varrho := \mathbf{E}(\omega)$ and the *hydrodynamic flux* $H := \mathbf{E}[\text{growth rate}]$ both depend on a parameter ϱ or θ of the stationary distribution.

- $H(\varrho)$ is the hydrodynamic flux function.
- If the process is *locally* in equilibrium, but changes over some *large scale* (variables X = εi and T = εt), then

 $\partial_T \varrho(T, X) + \partial_X H(\varrho(T, X)) = 0$ (conservation law).

For the ASEP, $H(\varrho) = (p - q) \cdot \varrho(1 - \varrho)$, concave.

For the ASEP, $H(\varrho) = (p - q) \cdot \varrho(1 - \varrho)$, concave.

For the zero range and bricklayers, $H(\varrho)$ is convex/concave if the rate function *r* is convex/concave.

For the ASEP, $H(\varrho) = (p - q) \cdot \varrho(1 - \varrho)$, concave.

For the zero range and bricklayers, $H(\varrho)$ is convex/concave if the rate function *r* is convex/concave.

Special case: $r(\omega) = \text{const.} \cdot e^{\omega}$; $H(\varrho)$ is convex.

→ will be interested in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP.

For the ASEP, $H(\varrho) = (\rho - q) \cdot \varrho(1 - \varrho)$, concave.

For the zero range and bricklayers, $H(\varrho)$ is convex/concave if the rate function *r* is convex/concave.

Special case: $r(\omega) = \text{const.} \cdot e^{\omega}$; $H(\varrho)$ is convex.

 \rightsquigarrow will be interested in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP.

 \rightsquigarrow Either convex or concave, discontinuous shock solutions exist.

For the ASEP, $H(\varrho) = (p - q) \cdot \varrho(1 - \varrho)$, concave.

For the zero range and bricklayers, $H(\varrho)$ is convex/concave if the rate function *r* is convex/concave.

Special case: $r(\omega) = \text{const.} \cdot e^{\omega}$; $H(\varrho)$ is convex.

 \rightsquigarrow will be interested in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP.

→ Either convex or concave, discontinuous shock solutions exist. Let's look for the corresponding microscopic structure.











































































































































A single discrepancy t, the second class particle, is conserved.

Earlier results: as seen by the second class particle

From now on: ASEP, TAGEZRP, TAEBLP only; "E"=exponential.

Theorem (Derrida, Lebowitz, Speer '97) For the ASEP, the Bernoulli product distribution with densities



is stationary for the process, as seen from the second class particle, if

$$rac{arrho_{ ext{right}} \cdot (1 - arrho_{ ext{left}})}{arrho_{ ext{left}} \cdot (1 - arrho_{ ext{right}})} = rac{p}{q}$$

Earlier results: as seen by the second class particle

From now on: ASEP, TAGEZRP, TAEBLP only; "E"=exponential.

Theorem (Derrida, Lebowitz, Speer '97) For the ASEP, the Bernoulli product distribution with densities



is stationary for the process, as seen from the second class particle, if

$$rac{arrho_{ ext{right}} \cdot (1 - arrho_{ ext{left}})}{arrho_{ ext{left}} \cdot (1 - arrho_{ ext{right}})} = rac{p}{q}$$

Theorem (Belitsky and Schütz '02)

For the ASEP with the very same parameters, the Bernoulli product distribution μ_0 with densities



evolves according to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \frac{\varrho_{\mathit{left}}}{\varrho_{\mathit{right}}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \frac{\varrho_{\mathit{right}}}{\varrho_{\mathit{left}}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Theorem (Belitsky and Schütz '02)

For the ASEP with the very same parameters, the Bernoulli product distribution μ_0 with densities



evolves according to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_{0} = \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \frac{\varrho_{\textit{left}}}{\varrho_{\textit{right}}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_{0}] + \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \frac{\varrho_{\textit{right}}}{\varrho_{\textit{left}}} \cdot [\mu_{1} - \mu_{0}].$$

Multiple shocks and their interactions are also handled.

























Earlier results: as seen by the second class particle

Theorem (B. '01)

For the TAEBLP, the product distribution of marginals μ^{ϱ_l} with densities



is stationary for the process, as seen from the second class particle, if

 $\varrho_{left} - \varrho_{right} = 1.$

Earlier results: as seen by the second class particle

Theorem (B. '01)

For the TAEBLP, the product distribution of marginals μ^{ϱ_l} with densities



is stationary for the process, as seen from the second class particle, if

 $\varrho_{left} - \varrho_{right} = 1.$

Theorem (B. '04)

For the very same parameters, the product distribution μ_0 with densities



evolves according to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\textit{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\textit{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Theorem (B. '04)

For the very same parameters, the product distribution μ_0 with densities



evolves according to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\textit{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\textit{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Multiple shocks and their interactions are also handled.

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}}\cdot [\mu_{-1}-\mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}}\cdot [\mu_1-\mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}}\cdot [\mu_{-1}-\mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}}\cdot [\mu_1-\mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}}\cdot [\mu_{-1}-\mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}}\cdot [\mu_1-\mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:

with rate C_{left}:



$$rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}}\cdot [\mu_{-1}-\mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}}\cdot [\mu_1-\mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}}\cdot [\mu_{-1}-\mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}}\cdot [\mu_1-\mu_0].$$

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\mu_{-1} - \mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\mu_1 - \mu_0].$$
Earlier results: random walking shocks

Interpretation: random walking shock $\mu(t) = \mu_{X(t)}$:

with rate C_{right} :



$$rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}}\cdot [\mu_{-1}-\mu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}}\cdot [\mu_1-\mu_0].$$

 \rightsquigarrow Of course, the drift of the walk X(t) is the same as the expected drift of the second class particle in its stationary shock distribution,

 \rightsquigarrow Of course, the drift of the walk X(t) is the same as the expected drift of the second class particle in its stationary shock distribution,

and also agrees with the *Rankine Hugoniot* formula for the speed of shocks.

 \rightsquigarrow Of course, the drift of the walk X(t) is the same as the expected drift of the second class particle in its stationary shock distribution,

and also agrees with the *Rankine Hugoniot* formula for the speed of shocks.

Is it the second class particle that performs the simple random walk in the middle of a shock?

 \rightsquigarrow Of course, the drift of the walk X(t) is the same as the expected drift of the second class particle in its stationary shock distribution,

and also agrees with the *Rankine Hugoniot* formula for the speed of shocks.

Is it the second class particle that performs the simple random walk in the middle of a shock?

In what sense? Annealed w.r.t. the initial shock distribution... But what does this mean?

For the ASEP, let ν_0 be the Bernoulli product distribution

$$\nu_0 = \Bigl(\bigotimes_{i < 0} \mu^{\varrho_{\mathsf{left}}}\Bigr) \otimes \Bigl(\delta\Bigr) \otimes \Bigl(\bigotimes_{i > 0} \mu^{\varrho_{\mathsf{right}}}\Bigr),$$

where

$$\mu^{\varrho}(\omega=\omega) = \begin{cases} \varrho, & \text{if } \omega = 1, \\ 1-\varrho, & \text{if } \omega = 0; \end{cases} \qquad \delta(0, 1) = 1.$$



For the ASEP, let ν_0 be the Bernoulli product distribution



Does it satisfy

when

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\nu_{0} = p \cdot \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{left}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{right}}} \cdot [\nu_{-1} - \nu_{0}] + q \cdot \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{right}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{left}}} \cdot [\nu_{1} - \nu_{0}]$$

$$\frac{\rho_{\text{right}} \cdot (1 - \rho_{\text{right}})}{\rho_{\text{left}} \cdot (1 - \rho_{\text{right}})} = \frac{\rho}{q} \quad ?$$

For the TAEBLP, let ν_0 be the product distribution

$$\nu_0 = \Bigl(\bigotimes_{i < 0} \mu^{\varrho_{\mathsf{left}}}\Bigr) \otimes \Bigl(\delta^{\varrho_{\mathsf{right}}}\Bigr) \otimes \Bigl(\bigotimes_{i > 0} \mu^{\varrho_{\mathsf{right}}}\Bigr),$$

where

$$\mu^{\varrho}(\omega = \omega) = \frac{e^{\theta(\varrho) \cdot \omega}}{r(\omega)!} \cdot \frac{1}{Z(\theta(\varrho))};$$

$$\delta^{\varrho}(\omega, \omega + 1) = \frac{e^{\theta(\varrho) \cdot \omega}}{r(\omega)!} \cdot \frac{1}{Z(\theta(\varrho))}.$$



For the TAEBLP, let ν_0 be the product distribution



Does it satisfy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\nu_0 = C_{\mathsf{left}} \cdot [\nu_{-1} - \nu_0] + C_{\mathsf{right}} \cdot [\nu_1 - \nu_0].$$

when

$$\varrho_{\text{left}} - \varrho_{\text{right}} = 1 ?$$

Theorem (Gy. Farkas, P. Kovács, A. Rákos, B. '09) Yes, and yes. Even more, the thing also works for the TAGEZRP.

Theorem (Gy. Farkas, P. Kovács, A. Rákos, B. '09) Yes, and yes. Even more, the thing also works for the TAGEZRP.

The second class particle, annealed w.r.t. the initial shock product distribution, does perform a drifted simple random walk in these cases.

Theorem (Gy. Farkas, P. Kovács, A. Rákos, B. '09) Yes, and yes. Even more, the thing also works for the TAGEZRP.

The second class particle, annealed w.r.t. the initial shock product distribution, does perform a drifted simple random walk in these cases.

This explains both types of the previous results.

Theorem (Gy. Farkas, P. Kovács, A. Rákos, B. '09) Yes, and yes. Even more, the thing also works for the TAGEZRP.

The second class particle, annealed w.r.t. the initial shock product distribution, does perform a drifted simple random walk in these cases.

This explains both types of the previous results.

The presence of a second class particle in the measure significantly simplifies the computations. \rightsquigarrow This is how we discovered the TAGEZRP.

Nice, since

This might open up the path for applying methods physicists like (e.g. Bethe Ansatz).

Nice, since

This might open up the path for applying methods physicists like (e.g. Bethe Ansatz).

It also gives a rough tail bound for the second class particle in a *flat initial distribution*; essential in the $t^{2/3}$ proofs for the exponential models.

 Hunt for other models that have this exotic property; possibly recognize what makes these models so special;

- Hunt for other models that have this exotic property; possibly recognize what makes these models so special;
- Describe the interaction of these shocks. We expect that

- Hunt for other models that have this exotic property; possibly recognize what makes these models so special;
- Describe the interaction of these shocks. We expect that
 - they locally interact by exclusion in ASEP, and don't locally interact in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP, but

- Hunt for other models that have this exotic property; possibly recognize what makes these models so special;
- Describe the interaction of these shocks. We expect that
 - they locally interact by exclusion in ASEP, and don't locally interact in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP, but
 - their jump rates depend on their position, making them attract each other such that

- Hunt for other models that have this exotic property; possibly recognize what makes these models so special;
- Describe the interaction of these shocks. We expect that
 - they locally interact by exclusion in ASEP, and don't locally interact in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP, but
 - their jump rates depend on their position, making them attract each other such that
 - their center of mass has the Rankine-Hugoniot velocity for the large shock they jointly represent.

- Hunt for other models that have this exotic property; possibly recognize what makes these models so special;
- Describe the interaction of these shocks. We expect that
 - they locally interact by exclusion in ASEP, and don't locally interact in TAGEZRP, TAEBLP, but
 - their jump rates depend on their position, making them attract each other such that
 - their center of mass has the Rankine-Hugoniot velocity for the large shock they jointly represent.

Macroscopically it's one shock after all.

Thank you.