When is a function nearly constant?

Oliver Johnson O.Johnson@bristol.ac.uk Twitter: @BristOliver

PAD Institute, School of Mathematics, University of Bristol

17th March 2017

Oliver Johnson 0.Johnson@bristol.ac.uk

4 □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

When is a function nearly constant?

Outline of the talk

Approximately constant functions

Continuous Bakry-Émery theory

Discrete Bakry-Émery theory

Applications

References

- Based on: A discrete log-Sobolev inequality under a Bakry-Émery type condition
- arxiv:1507.06268.
- ▶ To appear in Annals IHP 2017 doi:10.1214/16-AIHP778

Approximately constant functions 1: derivatives

- Consider a differentiable function f, say from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} .
- ▶ What does it mean to say *f* is approximately constant?
- Can think in a variety of senses.
- One idea is " $f'(x) \simeq 0$ ".
- Given reference probability measure μ , we could think

$$\int f'(x)^2 d\mu(x)$$
 is "small"

Approximately constant functions 2: variances

• Given f and μ could also consider variance of f.

• Write
$$\lambda_{\mu,f} = \int f(x) d\mu(x)$$

Then define

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) = \int \left(f(x) - \lambda_{\mu,f}\right)^2 d\mu(x).$$

• Again, might require $\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f)$ "small".

Approximately constant functions 3: entropy

• Given positive f and μ also consider (relative) entropy of f.

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f) \\ &:= \int f(x) \log f(x) d\mu(x) - \left(\int f(x) d\mu(x) \right) \log \left(\int f(x) d\mu(x) \right) \\ &= \int f(x) \log f(x) d\mu(x) - \lambda_{\mu,f} \log \lambda_{\mu,f}. \end{aligned}$$

- Jensen's inequality applied to θ(t) = t log t (which is convex) means Ent_μ(f) ≥ 0.
- If f constant, $f(x) \equiv c$ then $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f) = c \log c c \log c = 0$.
- Hence $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f)$ "small" implies f close to constant?
- In fact work with $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f^2)$.

Comparison of conditions

- ▶ If *f* is constant, then
 - 1. $\int f'(x)^2 d\mu(x) = 0$, 2. $\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) = 0$
 - 3. $\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f^2) = 0.$
- (Assuming μ supported everywhere) if any quantity is zero, then f is constant.
- Hence 1., 2. and 3. are equivalent, and each equivalent to f being constant.
- More interesting question: What is relationship between:

A.
$$\int f'(x)^2 d\mu(x)$$
 is small,

- B. $\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f)$ is small
- C. Ent_{μ}(f^2) is small?

Poincaré inequalities

Definition

We say μ has Poincaré constant C if for all f

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leq C \int f'(x)^2 d\mu(x).$$

- Fix μ with mean zero and variance σ^2 .
- Taking f(x) = x, $\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) = \sigma^2$, RHS is $\int f'(x)^2 d\mu(x) = 1$.
- Hence μ has Poincaré constant at least σ^2 .

Theorem (Folklore?)

If μ is Gaussian with variance σ^2 then μ has Poincaré constant σ^2 .

- In fact, having PC equal to σ^2 characterizes Gaussian.
- Can prove theorem by expanding *f* in Hermite polynomials.
- Poincaré constant can be infinite.

Log-Sobolev inequalities

Definition

We say μ has log-Sobolev constant C if for all f

•
$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f^2) \leq 2C \int f'(x)^2 d\mu(x).$$

• Equivalently take $g = f^2$. Then $f'(x)^2 = g'(x)^2/(4g(x))$, so

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(g) \leq rac{C}{2}\int rac{g'(x)^2}{g(x)}d\mu(x).$$

Theorem (Gross 1975)

 μ Gaussian with variance σ^2 has log-Sobolev constant σ^2 .

- ► In fact, follows from Entropy Power Inequality (Stam 1959).
- ▶ Log-Sobolev *C* implies Poincaré constant *C* (take $f = 1 + \epsilon h$).

Continuous Bakry-Émery theory

- Value of Poincaré and log-Sobolev constant for Gaussians can be generalized.
- Key: (second-order differential) operator L, self-adjoint wrt μ .

i.e.
$$\int Lf(x)g(x)d\mu(x) = \int f(x)Lg(x)d\mu(x).$$

Allows the creation of so-called carré du champ operator Γ₁.

Definition

►

For any functions f and g, write

$$\Gamma_1(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \left[L(fg) - fLg - gLf \right].$$

Oliver Johnson 0.Johnson@bristol.ac.uk

When is a function nearly constant?

Motivating example

Example

- Suppose $d\mu(x) = dx$ (OK, not a prob. measure).
- Take Lf(x) = f''(x). Self-adjoint by integration by parts

$$\int f''(x)g(x)dx = -\int f'(x)g'(x)dx = \int f(x)g''(x)dx.$$

• Then $\Gamma_1(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} [L(fg) - fLg - gLf]$ means

$$\Gamma_1(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(f''g + 2f'g' + fg'' \right) - fg'' - gf'' \right) = f'g'.$$

• NB $\int \Gamma_1(f,g)(x)dx = -\int f(x)Lg(x)dx = -\int g(x)Lf(x)dx.$

Iterated operator Γ_2

Definition

For any functions f and g, write

$$\Gamma_2(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \left[L\left(\Gamma_1(f,g) \right) - \Gamma_1(f,Lg) - \Gamma_1(g,Lf) \right].$$

Example

For motivating example Lf = f'':

$$\Gamma_2(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(f'g' \right)'' - f'g''' - g'f''' \right)$$

= $f''g''$

Oliver Johnson 0. Johnson@bristol.ac.uk

3

When is a function nearly constant?

Central definition

Condition (Bakry-Émery condition)

Say the Bakry-Émery condition holds with constant c if for all functions f:

 $\Gamma_2(f,f) \ge c\Gamma_1(f,f).$

Deep. Relates to curvature of underlying space?

Further key example

Example

- For function U(x), take $d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp(-U(x)) dx$.
- Write

$$Lf(x) = f''(x) - U'(x)f'(x).$$

• Simple to verify *L* is self-adjoint with respect to μ .

• Further
$$\Gamma_1(f,g) = f'g'$$
, and

$$\Gamma_2(f,g) = f''(x)g''(x) + U''(x)f'(x)g'(x).$$

- If U''(x) ≥ c then Γ₂(f, f) ≥ cΓ₁(f, f), i.e Bakry-Émery condition holds with constant c.
- Think of $U(x) = cx^2/2$ and μ Gaussian with variance 1/c.

Main results of Bakry-Emery theory

Theorem

If the Bakry-Émery condition holds with constant c then

1. the Poincaré inequality holds with constant 1/c; i.e.

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leq \frac{1}{c} \int \Gamma_1(f,f)(x) d\mu(x).$$

2. the logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds with constant 1/c; i.e.

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu}(f) \leq \frac{1}{2c} \int \frac{\Gamma_1(f,f)(x)}{f(x)} d\mu(x).$$

- If μ is Gaussian with variance σ^2 then as above take
 - $c = 1/\sigma^2$, and recover folklore result and Gross log-Sobolev.

Discrete Bakry-Émery theory

► Give version of BE theory for mass functions V (supported on whole of Z₊).

Definition

Fix probability mass function V, write L_V and L_V^* for the adjoint (with respect to counting measure) by:

$$L_V f(x) := (f(x+1) - f(x)) - \frac{V(x-1)}{V(x)} (f(x) - f(x-1)),$$

$$L_V^* f(x) := f(x-1) - \left(1 + \frac{V(x-1)}{V(x)}\right) f(x) + \frac{V(x)}{V(x+1)} f(x+1).$$

Use convention V(-1) = 0.

PAD Institute, School of Mathematics, University of Bristol

Understanding action of L_V

- Introduced by Caputo, Dai Pra and Posta in this context.
- Corresponds to evolution of birth-and-death Markov chain:
 - 1. upward jumps at rate 1,
 - 2. downward jumps from x at rate V(x-1)/V(x).
- If $V = \prod_{\lambda}$ (Poisson) this is $M/M/\infty$ queue.
- Note V is invariant distribution.
- Probability mass functions p_t := p exp(tQ) satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t(x)=L_V^*p_t(x).$$

• Or, functions $f_t = \exp(tQ)f$ satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f_t(x)=L_Vf_t(x).$$

Discrete log-concavity 'log-concave plus'

Definition

Given probability mass function V, write

$$\mathcal{E}^{(V)}(x) := \frac{V(x)^2 - V(x-1)V(x+1)}{V(x)V(x+1)} = \frac{V(x)}{V(x+1)} - \frac{V(x-1)}{V(x)}.$$

Condition (*c*-log-concavity) If $\mathcal{E}^{(V)}(x) \ge c$ for all $x \in Z_+$, we say V is *c*-log-concave. Example

- If $V = \Pi_{\lambda}$ then $\mathcal{E}^{(V)}(x) \equiv \lambda$.
- ▶ If V is ULC (see Pemantle, Liggett), it is c-log-concave.

• Sum of Bernoulli
$$p_i$$
 has $c = \left(\sum_j p_j / (1 - p_j)\right)^{-1}$

Discrete Γ_1 and Γ_2

Definition

For any functions f and g, write

$$\Gamma_1^{(V)}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} [L_V(fg) - fL_Vg - gL_Vf] \Gamma_2^{(V)}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} [L_V(\Gamma_1^{(V)}(f,g)) - \Gamma_1^{(V)}(f,L_Vg) - \Gamma_1^{(V)}(g,L_Vf)]$$

Condition (Integrated BE(c))

V satisfies the integrated BE(c) condition if for all functions f:

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \Gamma_2^{(V)}(f,f)(x) \ge c \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \Gamma_1^{(V)}(f,f)(x).$$

Oliver Johnson 0.Johnson@bristol.ac.uk

-

Brute force calculation

Proposition

For any f and g, writing Lf(x) = f(x+1) - 2f(x) + f(x-1) and $\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$ we deduce:

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \Gamma_1^{(V)}(f,g)(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) (\Delta f(x)) (\Delta g(x)),$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \Gamma_2^{(V)}(f,g)(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \mathcal{E}^{(V)}(x) (\Delta f(x)) (\Delta g(x))$$

$$+ \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) L f(x+1) L g(x+1).$$

Hence, if V is c-log-concave (if $\mathcal{E}^{(V)}(x) \ge c$ for all x) then the integrated BE(c) condition holds.

Oliver Johnson 0.Johnson@bristol.ac.uk

PAD Institute, School of Mathematics, University of Bristol

When is a function nearly constant?

Main result of my paper

Theorem (New modified log-Sobolev inequality) Fix c-log-concave V. For any positive f:

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{V}(f) \leq \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) f(x+1) \left(\log \left(\frac{f(x+1)}{f(x)} \right) - 1 + \frac{f(x)}{f(x+1)} \right)$$

- Since $\log 1/u 1 + u \ge 0$ for all u > 0, RHS is positive.
- Strengthens and generalizes previous log-Sobolev inequalities of 1. Wu 2. Caputo et al 3. Bobkov and Ledoux.
- e.g. linearizing log and taking $V = \Pi_{\lambda}$ recover

$$\operatorname{Ent}_V(f) \leq \lambda \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \frac{\Delta f(x)^2}{f(x)}.$$

► Is sharp: equality holds for $V = \prod_{\lambda}$, $f(x) = \exp(ax + b)$.

Sketch of proof

- Study $\Theta(t) := \sum_{x} V(x) f_t(x) \log f_t(x)$ for $f_t = \exp(tQ) f$.
- As in Caputo et al.

$$\Theta'(t) = -\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \left(f_t(x+1) - f_t(x) \right) \log \left(\frac{f_t(x+1)}{f_t(x)} \right).$$

Similarly take:

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \left(f_t(x+1) \log \left(\frac{f_t(x+1)}{f_t(x)} \right) - f_t(x+1) + f_t(x) \right).$$

Deduce

$$\psi'(t) \leq -\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \mathcal{E}^{(V)}(x) (f_t(x+1)-f_t(x)) \log\left(rac{f_t(x+1)}{f_t(x)}
ight).$$

When is a function nearly constant?

Sketch of proof (cont.)

• Deduce
$$(-\Theta'(t)) \leq \frac{1}{c}(-\psi'(t))$$
.

Hence

$$egin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{Ent}_V(f)&=&\Theta(0)-\Theta(\infty)\ &=&\int_0^\infty-\Theta'(t)dt\ &\leq&rac{1}{c}\int_0^\infty(-\psi'(t))dt=rac{1}{c}\psi(0) \end{array}$$

and the result follows.

< □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → < □ → <

Poincaré inequality

Theorem (Poincaré inequality)

- Fix probability mass function V.
- For any f, writing $\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) f(x)$:

$$\operatorname{Var}_V(f) \leq \frac{1}{c} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} V(x) \Delta f(x)^2,$$

if and only if V satisfies the integrated BE(c) condition.

► Hence certainly true for c-log-concave V.

Application 1: Concentration of measure

Proposition

- Fix probability mass function V satisfying new modified log-Sobolev inequality with constant c.
- ► Then, writing $h(s) = (1+s)\log(1+s) s$, for any function g with $\sup_{x} |g(x+1) g(x)| \le 1$:

$$V\left(\{g \ge \mu_V g + t\}\right) \le \exp\left(-rac{h(ct)}{c}
ight).$$

- ► Hence certainly true for *c*-log-concave *V*.
- Sharp: if $V = \Pi_{\lambda}$ and g(x) = x then equality holds.

Application 2: Decay of entropy

• Consider probability distributions such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V_t(x) &= \alpha_t \left(V_t(x) - V_t(x-1) \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t(x) &= \alpha_t \left(\frac{V_t(x)}{V_t(x+1)} p_t(x+1) - \frac{V_t(x-1)}{V_t(x)} p_t(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

• E.g.
$$V = \Pi_t$$
 and p_t is "p thinned by t".

Proposition

If $V_t(x)$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}^{(V_t)}(x) \ge c_t$ for all x then

$$D(p_t || V_t) \leq D(p || V) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \alpha_s c_s ds\right).$$

Application 3: hypercontractivity

Consider probability measures and functions such that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V_t(x) = \alpha_t \left(V_t(x) - V_t(x-1) \right), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_t(x) = \alpha_t \frac{V_t(x-1)}{V_t(x)} \left(g_t(x) - g_t(x-1) \right).$$

Proposition

If V_t satisfies the new modified log-Sobolev inequality with constant c_t : writing $q(t) = p \exp\left(-\int_0^t \alpha_s c_s ds\right)$ then

$$\|\exp(g)\|_{V,p}\leq \|\exp(g_t)\|_{V_t,q(t)}.$$

(Note that $q(t) \leq p$).