

# GOSSIP ALGORITHMS AND THEIR VARIANTS

Vivek Borkar  
IIT Bombay

December 12, 2014

# Outline

Classical ('*vanilla*') gossip

Random gossip

Optimal gossip

Nonlinear gossip

# 'Gossip' algorithm

$$x_i(n+1) = \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

$P = [[p(j|i)]]_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$  irreducible stochastic matrix with unique stationary distribution  $\pi \implies x(n) \rightarrow \pi^T x(0) \mathbf{1}$ .

Research focus on rate of convergence: Design a 'good'  $P$  ((doubly) stochastic, low |second eigenvalue|, ...) (Boyd, Shah, Ghosh, ...)

Ref: '*Gossip Algorithms*', D. Shah, NOW Publishers, 2009.

Often a component of a 'larger' scheme:

$$x_i(n + 1) = (1 - a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n) + \dots, \quad n \geq 0.$$

*Examples:* Distributed computation, Synchronization, 'Flocking', Coordination of mobile agents

The objective often is 'consensus'.

# The DeGroot model

Models opinion formation in society.

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

New opinion a convex combination of own previous opinion and opinions of neighbors/peers/friends.

Convergence  $\implies$  asymptotic agreement.

What about **random** gossip?

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + ax_{\xi_{n+1}(i)}(n),$$

where  $\xi_n(i)$  IID  $\approx p(\cdot|i)$ .

Convergence?

Yes!!

And **consensus**:  $x(n) \rightarrow c\mathbf{1}$ , but  $c$  may not be  $\pi^T x(0)$ !

Analysis based on re-writing the iteration as

$$x_i(n+1) = (1-a)x_i(n) + a \sum_{j=1}^d p(j|i)x_j(n) + aM_j(n+1),$$

where  $\{M(n)\}$  is a martingale difference sequence. This is a '*constant step-size stochastic approximation*'.

Fact: Standard 'intuition' would suggest asymptotically a random walk along the degenerate direction  $c\mathbf{1}, c \in \mathcal{R}$ , but we still get convergence because 'noise'  $\{M(n)\}$  is also killed asymptotically at a fast enough rate.

But what if we want the actual average  $\pi^T x(0)$ ?

Alternative scheme based on the ‘Poisson equation’:  
for  $f(i) = x(0)$ ,

$$V(i) = f(i) - \beta + \sum_j p(j|i)V(j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d. \quad (1)$$

Solution  $(V(\cdot), \beta)$  satisfies:  $\beta$  unique,  $= \pi^T f$ ,  $V$  unique up to additive scalar.

Can solve (1) by the ‘relative value iteration’

$$V^{n+1}(i) = f(i) - V^n(i_0) + \sum_j p(j|i)V^n(j), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The ‘offset’  $V^n(i_0)$  stabilizes the iteration, other choices are possible (e.g.,  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_k V^n(k)$ ).



‘*Reinforcement learning*’: stochastic approximation version of RVI – for a simulated chain  $\{X_n\} \approx p(\cdot|\cdot)$ .

$$V^{n+1}(i) = (1 - a(n)I\{X_n = i\})V^n(i) + a(n)I\{X_n = i\}(f(i) - V^n(i_0) + V^n(X_{n+1})).$$

Then  $V^n(i_0) \rightarrow \beta$  a.s.

(**Not** fully decentralized: needs  $V^n(i_0)$  to be broadcast. Can replace it by  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_k V^n(k)$  which can be calculated in a distributed manner by another gossip on a faster time scale.)

'Multiplicative' analog of the previous case: for  $f(i) > 0$ , choose  $V^0(i) > 0 \forall i$  and do:

$$V^{n+1}(i) = \frac{f(i) \sum_j p(j|i) V^n(j)}{V^n(i_0)}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

More generally, for irreducible nonnegative  $Q = [[q(i, j)]]$ , set

$$f(i) = \sum_k q(i, k), \quad p(j|i) = \frac{q(i, j)}{f(i)}.$$

Then  $V^n(i_0) \rightarrow$  the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of  $Q$ ,  
 $V^n \rightarrow$  the corresponding eigenvector.

('power' method)

Applications : ranking, risk-sensitive control

'Learning' version: for  $V^0(\cdot) > 0$ ,

$$V^{n+1}(i) = (1 - a(n)I\{X_n = i\})V^n(i) + a(n)I\{X_n = i\} \left( \frac{f(i)V^n(X_{n+1})}{V^n(i_0)} \right).$$

Numerically better even when the eigenvalue is known!

(The first term on RHS scales slower than the second.)

Similar evolution occurs in models of emergent networks

(Jain - Krishna)

# OPTIMAL GOSSIP

Gossip for opinion manipulation (e.g., advertising):

$P_1$  := submatrix of  $P$  corresponding to  $n - m$  rows and corresponding columns,

$P_2$  := submatrix of  $P$  corresponding to the same  $n - m$  rows and remaining  $m$  columns.

These  $m$  columns correspond to nodes whose ‘opinion’ is frozen at  $x^*$ . Then we have (in  $\mathcal{R}^{n-m}$ ):

$$x(n + 1) = x(n) + a(n) [P_1 x(n) + P_2 x^* \mathbf{1}].$$

Assume  $P_1$  strictly sub-stochastic, irreducible. Then:

$x(n) \rightarrow x^* \mathbf{1}$  exponentially at rate  $\lambda :=$  the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of  $P_1$ .

$\implies$  consensus on a pre-specified value.

Objective: Minimize  $\lambda$  over all subsets of cardinality  $m$  (i.e., find the  $m$  most important nodes for information dissemination)

Hard combinatorial problem, even the nonlinear programming relaxation is highly non-convex and the projected gradient scheme with multi-start does not do too well.

$\implies$  Use '**engineer's licence**'.

For  $\tau :=$  the first passage time to frozen nodes,  
 $\lambda = -\lim_{t \uparrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P(\tau > t)$  and  $E[\tau] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} P(\tau \geq t)$ .

$\implies$  Use  $E[\tau]$  as a surrogate cost.

This is *monotone and supermodular*  $\implies$  greedy scheme  
is  $(1 - \frac{1}{e})$ -optimal (Nemhauser-Wolsey-Fisher)

Important observation: best  $m$  nodes  $\neq$  top  $m$  nodes  
according to individual merit!

What about controlling the transition probabilities?

Consider controlling the nonlinear o.d.e.

$$\dot{x}(t) = \alpha(P_1^{u(t)} - I)x(t) + \alpha P_2^{u(t)}(x^* \mathbf{1}) + (1 - \alpha)F(x(t))$$

with 'cost'

$$E \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta t} \sum_i |x_i(t) - x^*|^2 dt \right].$$

Here  $P^u = [[p(j|i, u)]]$ .



Can write down the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation and verification theorem.

$\implies$  Optimal

$$u_i^*(t) \in \operatorname{Argmax} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} p(j|i, \cdot) x_j^*(t) + x^* \sum_{j=n-m+1}^n p(j|i, \cdot) \right)$$

for  $x < x^*$ , and,

$$u_i^*(t) \in \operatorname{Argmin} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} p(j|i, \cdot) x_j^*(t) + x^* \sum_{j=n-m+1}^n p(j|i, \cdot) \right)$$

for  $x > x^*$ .

( $\implies$  greatest 'push' towards  $x^*$ .)

# **NONLINEAR GOSSIP**

# STOCHASTIC APPROXIMATION

Consider the Robbins-Monro scheme in  $\mathcal{R}^d$ :

$$x(n+1) = x(n) + a(n)[h(x(n)) + M(n+1)].$$

Here:

- $h : \mathcal{R}^d \mapsto \mathcal{R}^d$  Lipschitz,
- $\{M(n)\}$  a martingale difference sequence w.r.t.  
 $\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(x(m), M(m), m \leq n), n \geq 0$ , i.e.,

$$E[M(n+1)|\mathcal{F}_n] = 0.$$

Also, there exists  $K \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$E \left[ \|M(n+1)\|^2 | \mathcal{F}_n \right] \leq K (1 + \|x(n)\|^2).$$

- Step-sizes  $a(n) > 0$  satisfy:

$$\sum_n a(n) = \infty, \quad \sum_n a(n)^2 < \infty.$$

# 'ODE Approach' (Derevitskii-Fradkov-Ljung)

View the iteration as a noisy discretization of the ODE

$$\dot{x}(t) = h(x(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

This is well posed under our hypotheses.

**Definition:** A set  $A$  is invariant if

$$x(0) \in A \implies x(t) \in A \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{R}.$$

## Definition (continued):

$A$  is *Internally Chain Transitive* if given any  $x, y \in A$ , and  $\epsilon > 0, T > 0$ , we can find  $n \geq 1$ , and

$$x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n = y \in A$$

such that for  $0 \leq i < n$ , the trajectory  $x^i(t), t \geq 0$ , of

$$\dot{x}^i(t) = h(x^i(t)), \quad x^i(0) = x_i,$$

satisfies  $\|x^i(t) - x^{i+1}\| < \epsilon$  for some  $t \geq T$ .

## Benaim's theorem:

If  $\sup_n \|x(n)\| < \infty$  a.s., then  $x(n) \rightarrow$  a compact  
connected nonempty internally chain transitive  
invariant set of the ODE, a.s.

## THE TSITSIKLIS MODEL

- ‘Agents’/processors placed at the nodes of an irreducible directed graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with node set  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $|\mathcal{V}| := N$  and edge set  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\mathcal{N}(i) := \{i\text{'s neighbors}\}$ .
- For  $i \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $P = [[p(j|i)]]$  stochastic,  $\mathcal{G}$ -compatible,  
$$x_i(n+1) = \sum_j p(j|i)x_j(n) + a(n)[h(x_i(n)) + M_i(n+1)].$$



- At each instant, every node takes,
  - a weighted average of its neighbors' values (**'gossip' component**), and,
  - adds a correction based on its own computation (**'learning' component**).
  
- Delays, asynchrony, etc. (shall worry about it later).

Similar models in synchronization, flocking/coordination,  
...

Objective: **CONSENSUS**

# Nonlinear gossip I: quasi-linear case

For each  $i \in \mathcal{V}$ , consider the  $d$ -dimensional iteration

$$x_i(n+1) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} p_{x(n)}(j|i) x_j(n) + a(n) [h_i(x_i(n)) + M_i(n+1)].$$

Here,  $P_x$  is an irreducible stochastic matrix where  $x \mapsto P_x$  is Lipschitz, with  $(\min)_j^+ p_x(j|i) \geq \Delta > 0$ .

For a fully distributed algorithm, the  $i$ th row of  $P_{x(n)}$  should depend only on  $x_j(n)$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{N}(i) \cup \{i\}$ , but we use  $x(n)$  without loss of generality.

Let  $\pi_x :=$  the unique stationary distribution under  $P_x$ .

## CONSENSUS:

if  $\sup_{i,n} \|x_i(n)\| < \infty$  a.s., then

$$\|x_i(n) - x_j(n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

(Not surprising, standard arguments work.)

## MAIN RESULT ( $d = 1$ ):

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{c\mathbf{1} : c \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . Let  $x(n) = [x_1(n), \dots, x_N(n)]^T$ .

If  $\sup_{i,n} \|x_i(n)\| < \infty$  a.s., then almost surely,  
 $x(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0 :=$  an internally chain transitive invariant set  
of  $N$ -fold copy of the ODE

$$\dot{y}(t) = \sum_k \pi_y \mathbf{1}(k) h_k(y(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

contained in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**General case:** Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \{x = [(x^1)^T : \cdots : (x^N)^T]^T \in \mathcal{R}^{d \times N} : \\ x^i = [x_1^i, \cdots, x_d^i]^T, 1 \leq i \leq N; x_k^i = x_k^j \forall i, j\}.$$

Consider

$$\dot{y}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^N \pi_{\psi(y(t))}(i) h_i(y(t)).$$

where  $\psi(y) := [y^T : y^T : \cdots : y^T]^T$  for  $y \in \mathcal{R}^d$ .

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is invariant under this dynamics.

**Theorem**  $\sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty$  a.s.  $\implies x(n) \xrightarrow{n \uparrow \infty}$  a compact connected non-empty internally chain transitive invariant set  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  of the  $N$ -fold product of the above dynamics, a.s.

(That is, dynamics in  $\mathcal{R}^N$  wherein each component satisfies the above o.d.e.)

Stronger results possible for special cases  
(e.g., convergence for  $d = 1!$ )

**Example:** Consider  $h_i = -\nabla f \ \forall i$ . Let  $|\mathcal{N}(i)| = M \ \forall i$  and for a prescribed  $T > 0$  ('temperature')

$$p_x(j|i) = \frac{1}{M} e^{-\frac{(f(x_j) - f(x_i))^+}{T}}, \quad j \in \mathcal{N}(i),$$

$$= 0, \quad j \notin \mathcal{N}(i), j \neq i,$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}(i)} p_x(k|i), \quad j = i.$$

Then

$$\pi_x = \frac{e^{-\frac{f(x_i)}{T}}}{\sum_j e^{-\frac{f(x_j)}{T}}}.$$

This puts more weight on low values of  $f$   
(spatial annealing).

Can think of this scheme as a '*leaderless swarm*' by analogy with *Particle Swarm Optimization*, wherein each particle uses information from self, neighbors, and the 'best so far', i.e., a leader. Here the last piece is 'emergent' from a distributed gossip.

**Another example:** Dependence of  $P_x$  on  $x$  due to mobility.



A '*stability test*': Define

$$g(x) := \sum_i \pi_x(i) h_i(x),$$

$$g_c(x) := \frac{g(cx)}{c} \text{ for } c > 0,$$

$$g_\infty(x) := \lim_{c \uparrow \infty} g_c(x),$$

assumed to exist. Then  $g_c, g_\infty$  are Lipschitz.

Consider the ODE ('scaling limit')

$$\dot{x}_\infty(t) = g_\infty(x_\infty(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

If this has the origin as the unique asymptotically stable equilibrium, then  $\sup_n \|x(n)\| < \infty$  a.s.

Intuition: Iterates large in absolute value track this o.d.e. after scaling, hence exhibit stabilizing drift.

# Nonlinear gossip II: fully nonlinear case

$$x_i(n+1) = f_i(x(n)) + a(n) [h_i(x_i(n)) + M_i(n+1)], \quad i \in \mathcal{V}.$$

- $f := [f_1, \dots, f_N]^T : (\mathcal{R}^d)^N \mapsto (\mathcal{R}^d)^N$  is continuous, and,
- $P(x) = \lim_{n \uparrow \infty} f^{(n)}(x)$  ( $:= f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f$ ,  $n$  times) exists, with the limit being uniform on compacts. (Then  $P(P(x)) = P(f(x)) = f(P(x)) = P(x) \in C := \{x : P(x) = x\}$ .)

## Assumptions:

1.  $P$  is Frechet differentiable with its Frechet derivative  $\bar{P}_x(\cdot)$  continuous in  $x$ .
2.  $\bar{P}_{f(\cdot)}h(\cdot)$  is Lipschitz. (Ideally, should be 'local', but we ignore this issue.)
3.  $E [\|M(n+1)\|^4 | \mathcal{F}_n] \leq F(x(n))$  for some continuous  $F$ .

Assume  $\sup_n \|x(n)\| < \infty$  a.s.

Consider the ODE

$$\dot{x}(t) = \bar{P}_{x(t)}(h(x(t))).$$

**MAIN RESULT:**  $x(n) \rightarrow$  a compact connected nonempty internally chain transitive invariant set of the above ODE contained in  $C$ , a.s.

**Example:**  $P :=$  a projection to a convex set,  
 $x(n + 1) = f(x(n))$  an iterative scheme for calculating  
the projection.

In this case, we get a projected version of the distributed  
stochastic approximation scheme.

$\implies$  Need distributed scheme for computing projections  
on, e.g., intersection of convex sets.

**COMING SOON:** A distributed version of the Boyle-  
Dykstra-Han scheme\*

\*joint work with Soham Phade

Some standard issues in distributed computation:

1. Interprocessor delays
2. Asynchrony: not all updates at the same time
3. Updates may be on 'local clock'

Replace

$$x_i(n+1) = f_i(x(n)) + a(n)[ \cdots \cdots ]$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(n+1) = & (1 - b(\nu(i, n))I\{i \in B(n)\})x_i(n) + b(\nu(i, n))I\{i \in B(n)\} \\ & \times f_i(x_1(n - \tau_{1i}(n)), \cdots, x_N(n - \tau_{Ni}(n))) + \\ & a(\nu(i, n))I\{i \in B(n)\}[h_i(x_1(n - \tau_{1i}(n)), \cdots) + M_i(n+1)], \end{aligned}$$

with  $\sum_n b(n) < \infty$ ,  $\sum_n b(n)^2 < \infty$ ,  $a(n) = o(b(n))$ .



Here,

- $B(n) := \{ \text{nodes 'active' at time } n \},$
- $\nu(i, n) := \# \text{ updates by } i \text{ till time } n. \text{ Need:}$

$$\liminf_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{\nu(i, n)}{n} > 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

This ensures that all processors update comparably often.

- $\tau_{ji}(n) :=$  the delay with which  $j$ 's output was received by  $i$  at time  $n$ ,

i.e., at time  $n$ ,  $i$  has access to  $x_j(n - \tau_{ji}(n))$ , but not  $x_j(m)$ ,  $m > n - \tau_{ji}(n)$ .

- Additional conditions on stepsizes.

Among them: if  $\tau(t), t \geq 0$ , denotes the time scaling ('algorithmic' or 'ODE' time scale) given by

$$\tau(n) := \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b(m), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with linear interpolation on each  $[n, n + 1]$ , then

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \frac{\tau(\alpha n)}{\tau(n)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

For example,  $b(n) = \frac{1}{n} \implies \tau(t) \approx \log t$  will do.

Under above modifications, earlier results hold:

1. Bounded delays 'squeezed out' (i.e., they lead to asymptotically negligible error) due to time scaling (more generally, conditional moment conditions suffice)
2. Asynchrony / local clocks compensated for by the choice of stepsize (get back the original limiting ODE modulo time-scaling)

## References

1. VB, R. Makhijani, R. Sundaresan, **Asynchronous gossip for averaging and spectral ranking**, *IEEE J. Selected Topics in Signal Processing* 8(4), 2014.
2. VB, A. Karnik, U. Jayakrishnan Nair, S. Nalli, **Manufacturing consent**, to appear in *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*.
3. A. S. Mathkar, VB, **Nonlinear gossip**, *submitted*.